# Existing ARTPLAN 2012 Conceptual Planning Analysis

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	BGE	Arterial Name	Lakes of Champions	Study Period	Standard K					
Date Prepared	10/17/2018 6:04:11 PM	From	]	Modal Analysis	Multimodal					
Agency	BGE	То	]	Program	ARTPLAN 2012					
Area Type	Large Urbanized	Peak Direction	Eastbound	Version Date	12/12/2012					
Arterial Class	1									
File Name	\browngay.net\gfs\ProjectsY\City_of_Mont_Belvieu\6228- )0_HGAC_TIP_Application_Support\04_ENGR\03_Documents\6. Lakes of Champions\LOSPLAN\Existing.xap									
User Notes	Existing									

#### **Arterial Data**

к	0.09	PHF	1	Control Type	FullyActuated
D	0.565	% Heavy Vehicles	2	Base Sat. Flow Rate	1950

#### Automobile Intersection Data

Cross Street	Cycle Length	Thru g/C	Arr. Type	INT # Dir.Lanes	% Left Turns	% Right Turns	Left Turn Lanes	Left Turn Phasing	# Left Turn Lanes	LT Storage Length	Left g/C	Right Turn Lanes
	150	0.45	3	2	12	12	Yes	Protected	1	235	0.15	No

#### Automobile Segment Data

Segment #	Length	AADT	Hourly Vol.	SEG # Dir.Lanes	Posted Speed	Free Flow Speed	Median Type	On-Street Parking	Parking Activity
<b>1</b> (to )	5000	7428	378	2	30	35	Restrictive	No	N/A

## Automobile LOS

Segment #	Th Fl	ru Mvmt ow Rate	Adj. Sat Flow Rat	te y	v/c	Contro Delay	ol Int. Aj / L	pproach OS	Queue R	atio	Speed (mph)	Segment LOS
<b>1</b> (to )		333	31	172 (	0.233	25.	38	С		0.19	27.43	С
Arterial Length 0.	9583	Weighted g/C	0.45	FFS Dela	S ay	28.39	Threshold Delay	0.00	Auto Speed	27.43	3 Auto LOS	c c

# Automobile Service Volumes

Note: The maximum normally acceptable directional service volume for LOS E in Florida for this facility type and area type is 1000 veh/h/ln.

	A	В	С	D	E						
Lanes		Hourly Volume In Peak Direction									
1											
2											
3											
4											
*											
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Both Dir	rections							
2											
4											
6											
8											
*											
Lanes		Annu	al Average Daily Tr	raffic							
2											
4											
6											
8											
*											

	Outside		Pave Shldr				Sidewalk	Sidewalk Roadway		Passenger		Bus
Segment #	Lane Width	Pave Cond	/Bike Lane	Side Path	Side Path Separation	Side walk	Roadway Separation	Protective Barrier	Bus Freq	Load Factor	Amenities	Stop Type
<b>1</b> (to )	Typical	Desirable	No	No	N/A	Yes	Adjacent	No	0	0.8	Excellent	Typical

# Multimodal Segment Data

#### Pedestrian SubSegment Data

	% of Segment			Sidewalk			Separation			Barrier	
Segment #	1	2	3	1	2	3	1 2 3			1	2 3
1 (to )	100			Yes			Adjacent			No	

#### Multimodal LOS

	Bicyc Stree	le et	Bicycle Sidepath		Pedestrian					Bus		
Link #	Score	LOS	Score	LOS	1	2	3	Score	LOS	Adj. E	Buses	LOS
1 (to )	3.20	С	N/A	N/A				2.45	В		0.00	F
	Bicycle LOS	3.20	С			Pede: LOS	stria	n 2.45 B		Bus LOS	0.0	D F

Page 4 of 5

## MultiModal Service Volume Tables

	,									
	A	В	С	D	E					
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Peak Di	rection						
1	0	0	0	0	0					
2	0	0	0	0	0					
3	0	0	0	0	0					
4	0	0	0	0	0					
*	0	0	0	0	0					
Lanes		Hourly Volume In Both Directions								
2	0	0	0	0	0					
4	0	0	0	0	0					
6	0	0	0	0	0					
8	0	0	0	0	0					
*	0	0	0	0	0					
Lanes		Annı	ual Average Daily Tr	affic						
2	0	0	0	0	0					
4	0	0	0	0	0					
6	0	0	0	0	0					
8	0	0	0	0	0					
*	0	0	0	0	0					

#### Bicycle

#### Pedestrian

	A	В	C	D	E
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Peak Di	rection	
1	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
*	0	0	0	0	0
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Both Dir	ections	
2	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0
*	0	0	0	0	0
Lanes		Anni	ual Average Daily Tr	affic	
2	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0
*	0	0	0	0	0

#### Bus

А	В	С	D	E				
Buses Per Hour In Peak Direction								
Buses in Study Hour in Peak Direction (Daily)								

\* Service Volumes for the specific facility being analyzed, based on # of lanes from the intersection and segment data screens.

\*\* Cannot be achieved based on input data provided.

\*\*\* Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. See generalized tables notes for more details.

# Under the given conditions, left turn lane storage is highly likely to overflow. The number of directional thru lanes should be reduced accordingly.

## Facility weighted g/C exceeds normally acceptable upper range (0.5); verify that g/C inputs are correct. ### Intersection capacity (ies) are exceeded for the full hour; an operational level analysis tool is more appropriate for this situation.

# Proposed ARTPLAN 2012 Conceptual Planning Analysis

#### **Project Information**

Analyst	BGE	Arterial Name	Lakes of Champions	Study Period	Standard K
Date Prepared	10/17/2018 6:04:11 PM	From		Modal Analysis	Multimodal
Agency	BGE	То		Program	ARTPLAN 2012
Area Type	Large Urbanized	Peak Direction	Eastbound	Version Date	12/12/2012
Arterial Class	1				
File Name	\\browngay.net\gfs\Project 00_HGAC_TIP_Application_	tsY\City_of_Mont_Bel _Support\04_ENGR\0	vieu\6228- 3_Documents\6	5. Lakes of Champion	s\LOSPLAN\Proposed.xap
User Notes	Proposed				

#### **Arterial Data**

к	0.09	PHF	1	Control Type	FullyActuated
D	0.565	% Heavy Vehicles	2	Base Sat. Flow Rate	1950

#### Automobile Intersection Data

Cross Street	Cycle Length	Thru g/C	Arr. Type	INT # Dir.Lanes	% Left Turns	% Right Turns	Left Turn Lanes	Left Turn Phasing	# Left Turn Lanes	LT Storage Length	Left g/C	Right Turn Lanes
	150	0.45	3	2	12	12	Yes	Protected	1	235	0.15	No

#### Automobile Segment Data

Segment #	Length	AADT	Hourly Vol.	SEG # Dir.Lanes	Posted Speed	Free Flow Speed	Median Type	On-Street Parking	Parking Activity
1 (to )	5000	7000	356	4	30	35	Restrictive	No	N/A

## Automobile LOS

Segment #	Tł ≠ F	nru Mvmt Iow Rate	Adj. Sat Flow Rat	e v	/c	Contro Delay	ol Int. Aj / L	pproach OS	Queue R	atio	Speed (mph)	Segment LOS
<b>1</b> (to )		313	31	68 0	.220	25.	21	С		0.18	27.66	С
Arterial Length	0.9583	Weighted g/C	0.45	FFS Dela	y 🗌	27.35	Threshold Delay	0.00	Auto Speed	27.66	Auto LOS	с

# Proposed

# Automobile Service Volumes

Note: The maximum normally acceptable directional service volume for LOS E in Florida for this facility type and area type is 1000 veh/h/ln.

	А	В	С	D	E
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Peak Di	rection	
1					
2					
3					
4					
*					
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Both Dir	ections	
2					
4					
6					
8					
*					
Lanes		Annu	ual Average Daily Tr	affic	
2					
4					
6					
8					
*					

# Proposed

			Pave					Sidewalk				
	Outside		Shldr				Sidewalk	Roadway		Passenger		Bus
Segment	Lane	Pave	/Bike	Side	Side Path	Side	Roadway	Protective	Bus	Load		Stop
#	Width	Cond	Lane	Path	Separation	walk	Separation	Barrier	Freq	Factor	Amenities	Туре
<b>1</b> (to )	Typical	Desirable	Yes	Yes	10.00	Yes	Adjacent	No	0	0.8	Excellent	Typical

# Multimodal Segment Data

# Pedestrian SubSegment Data

	% (	of Segn	nent	Sidewalk			Separation			Barrier	
Segment #	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	23
<b>1</b> (to )	100			Yes			Adjacent			No	

#### Multimodal LOS

	Bicyc Stree	le et	Bicyc Sidepa	le ath		F	Ped	estrian			Bus	
Link #	Score	LOS	Score	LOS	1	2	3	Score	LOS	Adj. E	Buses	LOS
1 (to )	1.07	A	2.27	В				2.01	В		0.00	F
	Bicycle LOS	1.07	А			Pedes LOS	stria	n 2.01 B		Bus LOS	0.00	D F

#### MultiModal Service Volume Tables

#### А В С D Е Hourly Volume In Peak Direction Lanes \* Lanes Hourly Volume In Both Directions \* Annual Average Daily Traffic Lanes \*

#### Bicycle

#### Pedestrian

	A	В	C	D	E
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Peak Di	rection	
1	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
*	0	0	0	0	0
Lanes		Hourly	Volume In Both Dir	ections	
2	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0
*	0	0	0	0	0
Lanes		Anni	ual Average Daily Tr	affic	
2	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0
*	0	0	0	0	0

#### Bus

А	В	С	D	E					
Buses Per Hour In Peak Direction									
	Buses in St	udy Hour in Peak Direct	tion (Daily)						

Proposed

# Proposed

\* Service Volumes for the specific facility being analyzed, based on # of lanes from the intersection and segment data screens.

\*\* Cannot be achieved based on input data provided.

\*\*\* Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. See generalized tables notes for more details.

# Under the given conditions, left turn lane storage is highly likely to overflow. The number of directional thru lanes should be reduced accordingly.

## Facility weighted g/C exceeds normally acceptable upper range (0.5); verify that g/C inputs are correct. ### Intersection capacity (ies) are exceeded for the full hour; an operational level analysis tool is more appropriate for this situation.