

Coordination & Policy Work Group Meeting Agenda Thursday, July 16, 2015 2:00 PM to 4:00 PM H-GAC Conference Room A, Second Floor

Call to Order/Welcome/Introductions

Review Notes from 5/18/2015 Meeting

Old Business

Discussion: Discussion on BIG membership

- 1) The workgroup will review the results of the previous meeting; discuss the current BIG roster; consider suggestions from the group, including possible future representation from the East and West Fork San Jacinto (E&W) and Jarbo Bayou TMDLs; and finalize a recommendation to be presented to the BIG.
- 2) The workgroup will review and discuss other recommendations developed by E&W and Jarbo Bayou stakeholders.
- 3) The workgroup will consider whether to support the joining of the E&W Fork and Jarbo Bayou TMDL project areas to the BIG Project Area. Results will be presented to the BIG.

Discussion: Review draft 2015 Annual Report

The workgroup will review and comment on the draft 2015 Annual Report.

New Business

Discussion: Review 2016 Reporting

The workgroup will plan for the upcoming 2016 annual reporting period.

Announcements/Adjourn

Upcoming Meeting Schedule

To Be Determined



Coordination & Policy Work Group
Draft Meeting Summary
Monday, May 18, 2015
1:00 PM to 3:00 PM
H-GAC Conference Room A, Second Floor

Call to Order/Welcome/Introductions

Michael Bloom (RG Miller), Linda Broach (TCEQ), Richard Chapin (COH), Danielle Cioce (HC), Catherine Elliott (HCFCD), Phillip Goodwin (COH), Alisa Max (HC), Rachel Powers (CEC), Linda Shead (TxCP), Aaron Wieczorek (COH)

Review Notes from 3/30/2015 Meeting

Notes from the previous meeting not supplied.

Old Business

Discussion: Continue Discussion on BIG membership

The workgroup discussed the results of the previous meeting. It was noted that there were three vacancies on the BIG and that results of the March meeting concluded with the group supporting six BIG seats representing stakeholders on the East and West Fork of the San Jacinto River TMDL implementation process, one seat representing the Jarbo Bayou TMDL implementation process and one representing contact recreation. Stakeholders from the San Jacinto River and Jarbo Bayou watersheds are seeking to join the BIG and are interested in possible representation on the BIG.

Workgroup members voiced concern that the addition of seats to the BIG could affect the BIG's decision making process. The workgroup discussed the request for representation. The workgroup determined that the current vacancies could be filled by representatives from the two stakeholder groups. The workgroup then voted on whether additional seats should be added to the BIG above the current thirty-one members. A vote was taken by the work group. The vote resulted in the group supporting two additional seats above the current vacancies.

The workgroup will recommend to the BIG, four seats to represent the East and West Fork of the San Jacinto River TMDL stakeholder process and one seat to represent the Jarbo Bayou TMDL stakeholder process. It was noted that the BIG retains final decision making on this item.

Discussion: Final Recommendation Review

The workgroup reviewed and discussed the other recommendations made by E&W and Jarbo Bayou stakeholders (see table). The recommendations made by the stakeholder groups represent areas of implementation focus for those stakeholder groups and potential items for BIG workgroups to discuss.

older Process Recommendations
Jarbo Bayou TMDL Stakeholder Group
Maintain Coordination Committee
Encourage Regional Bacteria
Education
Address Geographic Differences
between Urban Core, Suburban, and
Coastal Areas
Address Boaters and Marinas as
Sources of Bacteria in the I-Plan

The workgroup asked H-GAC whether the stakeholders were expecting the BIG to provide support to these recommendations, anticipate changes to the I-Plan, and if the stakeholders understood that the I-Plan is voluntary. H-GAC and BIG members that participated in the TMDL stakeholder processes stated that the stakeholders were informed that the I-Plan is a voluntary plan that provides an implementation framework for each organization to select practices that best meet their needs. Stakeholders were also informed that the BIG does not provide funding but is a sounding board for ideas and a forum to discuss efforts to reduce bacteria. Finally stakeholders from the two watersheds were informed that the BIG functions through the individual workgroups and that the BIG is the final decision making body for items developed by the BIG workgroups.

The workgroup asked if any of the recommendations would require changes to the I-Plan. It was noted that all but one of the recommendations could be found in the I-Plan. Addressing Boaters and Marinas as a source is not currently in the I-Plan and would require the BIG workgroups to discuss the need and propose to the BIG any changes.

New Business

Discussion: Review BIG Annual Meeting Draft Agenda

The workgroup was provided a draft agenda for review. Members were asked if they wished to make any changes. It was noted that the original presentation on Sheldon Lake Restoration was removed and substituted with a presentation on the UHCL stormwater treatment wetland.

Discussion: Review draft 2015 Annual Report

The workgroup was provided a draft 2015 Annual Report. There was insufficient time to review the document. The workgroup was asked to review and provide comments and/or edits.

Announcements/Adjourn Upcoming Meeting Schedule

BIG Annual Meeting: May 26, 2015 1:00 PM-4:00 PM at H-GAC Conference Rm. B & C

BACTERIA IMPLEMENTATION GROUP
MEMBERSHIP ROSTER AFFILIATIONS AND REPRESENTATION
Draft "Strawman" Prepared by M. Bloom

PROPOSED O	SUGGESTED ACTION	SUBJECTIVE ALIGNMENT	EXISTING OFFICIAL BIG	OTHER AFFILIATION	EMPLOYER	NAME	NO. N
				T		2015	June 23, 201
					Nr. Bioom	awman. Prepared by	Draft St

	3					24.744	٤
Business / Industry	Add person representing coastal or boating interests.					┙	26
Business / Industry	Add person representing row forestry / silvaculture.					5 NEW	35
Business / Industry	Add person representing row crop agriculture.						34
Business / Industry	Woolfy representation description as shown	Business / Industry	Glear Creek TMD1		Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority	3 Phyllis Frank	œ.
Business / Industry	Wodify representation description as shown:	Business / Industry	Agriculture / Business		AECOM	725	32
Business / Industry		Business / Industry	Metro TMDL	American Council of Engineering Companies	IDS Engineering	1 Craig Maske	31
Business / Industry	Modify representation description as shown.	Business / industry	Agriculture / Business	Greater Houston Partnership	R. G. Miller Engineers	Michael Bloom	w
Small City / Utility District	Add person from a small city or district in Lake Houston watershed.						29
Small City / Utility District	Modify representation description as shown.	Small City / Utility District	Municipal		League City	8 Earl Smith	28
Small City / Utility District	Modify representation description as shown.	Small City / Utility District	Wildcard		Nottingham County MUD	L.	27
Small City / Utility District	Modify representation description as shown.	Small City / Utility District	Lake Houston TMDL		Schwartz, Paige, and Harding	6 Mitchell Page	26
Small City / Utility District	Fill with person from small city or MUD that is not a regulated MS4.	Small City / Utility District	Municipal		(Was City of Webster)		25
Small City / Utility District	Modify representation description as shown.	Small City / Utility District	Municipa!	Association of Water Board Directors	IDS Engineering		24
Small City / Utility District	Modify representation description as shown.	Small City / Utility District	Municipal		City of Conroe	3 Greg Hall	23
ange City	Wealth representation rescription assetown	Large City	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.		Play disjournes		
Target 190	Model received that a second contract of the		(Mate of Mo		STATE OF THE STATE	and the s	
large City	Provide segregal Biggraphs organisations of	Ligariti	Municipal		City of Housing	Carol Market	
Rural County	Add person from Liberty, San Jacinto, or Walker Counties.	Rural County	County				19
Rural County	Fill with Montgomery County person.	Rural County	County		(Was Montgomery County)		18
Rural County	Modify representation description as shown.	Rural County	County		Montgomery County		17
Urban County	Modify representation description as shown.	Urban County	County		Harris County Flood Control District	e***	16
Urban County	Modify representation description as shown.	Urban County	County	Pollution Control	Harris County		15
Urban County	Modify representation description as shown.	Urban County	County	Watershed Protection Group	Harris County		14
Public		Public	Public		LDP Consultants		13
Resource Agency / Academia	Modify representation description as shown.	Business / Industry	Public		Lone Star College - Kingwood	2 Brian Shmaefsky	12
Resource Agency / Academia		Resource Agency	Resource Agency		US Geological Survey	11 Michael Lee	H
Resource Agency / Academia	Modify, representation description as shown.	Resource Agency	Agriculture / Business		San Jacinto River Authority	0 David Parkhill	10
Resource Agency / Academia	Modify representation description as shown.	Resource Agency	Agriculture / Business	tion District No. 442	Harris County Soil and Water Conservation District No. 442	Cathy McCoy	ဖ
Conservation	Fill with conservation person from Lake Houston watershed.		Lake Houston TMDL	District)	(Was Woodlands Joint Powers Utility District)	_	80
Conservation	Modify representation description as shown.	Conservation	Public	Bayou Preservation Association	Retired	Tom lvy	7
Conservation		Conservation	Conservation		Texas Coastal Partners		on l
Conservation		Conservation	Conservation		Cypress Creek Flood Control Coalition		s
Conservation		Conservation	Conservation		Houston Audubon Society		4
Conservation	Modify representation description as shown.	Conservation	Clear Creek TMDL		Galveston Bay Foundation		w
Conservation		Conservation	Conservation	Bayou Preservation Association	Signal Creek Architects		2
Conservation	Modify representation description as shown.	Conservation	Buffalo / White Oak TMDL	CLAND TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	Buffalo Bayou Partnership	Ann Olson	ш
REPRESENTATION	SUGGESTED ACTION	SUBJECTIVE ALIGNMENT	REPRESENTATION	OTHER AFFILIATION	EMPLOYER	D. NAME	NO.
							1

Name	Organization	Representing	Affiliation	Alternate(s)	Watersheds	Notes
, tollic	RG Miller Engineers, Greater Houston	i i opi oconii i g				
Michael Bloom	Partnership	Agriculture/Business	Consultant	Jason Maldonado	All	Business
Becky Olive	AECOM	Agriculture/Business	Consultant	Ralph Calvino, Patty Matthews	All	Business
Cathy McCoy	Harris County Soil & Water Conservation District #442	Agriculture/Business	Local Government/District		All	Ag
David Parkhili*	San Jacinto River Authority	Agriculture/Business	Regional	E&W Rep., SJRA	West Fork, East Fork, Caney, Peach, Spring, Cypress	Business
Phillip Goodwin	City of Houston	Buffalo/White Oak TMDL	Municipal	Michael Schaffer, Guyneth Williams	Buffato, White Oak	Large Municipal
Ann Olson	Buffalo Bayou Partnership	Buffalo/White Oak TMDL	Non-Profit	Jessalyn Giacona, Linda Shead	Buffalo, White Oak	Non Profit
Phyllis Frank	Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority	Clear Creek TMDL	Local Government/District		Clear Creek	Regional Government
Scott Jones	Galveston Bay Foundation	Clear Creek TMDL	Non-Profit	Charlene Bohannon	Clear Creek	Non Profit
Bruce Helberg	Bayou Preservation Association	Conservation	Non-Profit	Steve Hupp	All	Non Profit
Helen Lane	Houston Audubon Society	Conservation	Non-Profit	Bethany Foshee	All	Non Profit
Jim Robertson	Cypress Creek Flood Control Coalition	Conservation	Non-Profit	Richard Smith	Cypress Creek	Non Profit
Linda Shead	Texas Coastal Partners	Conservation	Non-Profit	Mary Ellen Whitworth, Carolya White	All	Non Profit
Alica May	Harris County	County	County	Danielle Cioce, Nick Russo	Spring, Cypress, Buffalo, White Oak, Clear Creek, Armand, Peach, Caney, East Fork, West Fork, Greens, Halls, Sims, Brays	County
Alisa Max	Harris County	County	County	Danielle Cloce, Nick Russo	Brays	County
Marilyn Christian	Harris County Public Health & Environmental Services	County	County	Jennifer Wheeler, Denise Hall	Spring, Cypress, Buffalo, White Oak, Clear Creek, Armand, Peach, Caney, East Fork, West Fork, Greens, Halls, Sims, Brays	County
Vacant	Montgomery County (Vacant)	County	County	Michael Lindsey	Peach, Caney, West Fork, Spring	County
Catherine Elliott	Harris County Flood Control District	County	County	Jonathan Holley, Robert Snoza	Spring, Cypress, Buffalo, White Oak, Clear Creek, Armand, Peach, Caney, East Fork, West Fork, Greens, Halls, Sims, Brays	County
Mike Lindsey	Montgomery County	County	County	Frank Green, Scott Nichols	Peach, Caney, West Fork, Spring	County
Mitchell Page	Schwartz, Page, & Harding, LLP	Łake Houston TMDL	Consultant	Michael Page	Peach, Caney	Consultant
Vacant	Woodland Joint Power (Vacant)	Lake Houston TMDL	Local Government/Utility District		Peach, Caney	Local Government/Utility District
Cralg Maske	Alan Plummer Associates	Metro TMDL	Consultant	Scott Saenger	Greens, Halls, Sims, Brays	Consultant
Jason Iken	City of Houston	Metro TMDL	Municipal	Carol LaBreche, Richard Chapin	Greens, Halis, Sims, Brays	Large Municipal
		Musicinal	Consultant	1	All	All Municipal
Teague Harris	IDS Engineering	Municipal	Consultant		/ Sit) III III ali II oqual

Carol Haddock	City of Houston	Municipal	Municipal	Richard Chapin, Kathlie Bulkoch	Spring, Cypress, Buffalo, White Oak, Clear Creek, Armand, Peach, Caney, East Fork, West Fork, Greens, Halls, Sims, Brays	Large Municipal
Earl Smith	City of League City	Municipal	Municipal	Susie Blake, Brian Craig	Clear Creek	Large Municipal
Small Local	Webster (Vacant)	Municipal	Municipal		Clear Creek	Small Municipal
Tom Ivy	Texas Stream Team	Public	Public	Jim Williams	Ali	Public
Linda Pechacek	Citizen, Civil Engineer	Public	Public	Rachel Powers, Stephen Archer	All	Public
Brian Shmaefsky	Lone Star College, Kingwood	Public	Public	Jon Connolly	All	Public
Michael Lee	US Geological Survey	Resource Agericy	Regional	Amy Beussink	All	Regional
Raymond Pavlovich	Nottingham Country Municipal Utility District	Wildcard	Local Government/District	Michael Thomhill, Matt Carpenter	All	Local Government/Utility District

Affiliations	
Consultants	5
County	5
Local Government/ District	4
Municipal	6
Non-Profit- Environmental	6
Public	3
Regional	2
Vacant (not part of total)	3
Total	31

Counties in BIG
Area with no
Representation
Walker
San Jacinto

Liberty
Waller

Grimes
Fort Bend
Brazoria

THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	Sims	Brays	Halls	Greens	Armand	Jarbo	Clear Creek	Buffalo	White Oak	Cypress	Spring	West Fork	Caney	Peach	East Fork	Watershed
-	7	7	7	7	5	0	11	10	6	6	œ	8	10	10	6	Reps with Jurisdiction in Watershed
	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	. 0	0	2	2	10.00	Reps Specific Total Reps to in Watershed Watershed
	25	25	25	25	23	18	29	28	24	24	26	26	28	28	24	Total Reps in Watershed
	ш	1	1	1	1	∞ 0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	. 0	County Reps in Watershed
	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	4	2	3	5	3	Counties in Watershed
	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	Large City Reps in Watershed
	1	נו	,	1	1	1	1	Þ	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	Medium City Reps in Watershed
	1	1	۲	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Small City Reps in Waterhsed
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	ω	3	з	3	3	3	Business Reps in Watershed
	1	1	1	Д	1	0	1	1	Þ	P	1	1	Н	1	0	Ag Reps in Watershed
	3	3	3	ω) W	ы	a.		ω.	4		ω	з	3	3	Conservatio n Reps in Watershed
,	3	3	ω) u	3 ω	, w	u	3 W	u	, u) u	3	3	3	З	Publi Wat
	75	75	/5	3	5.0	24	89	8 %	62	3 2	10	2 83	88	88	49	

Sims	Brays	Halls	Greens	Armand	Jarbo 🦠	Clear Creek	Buffalo	White Oak	Cypress	Spring	West Fork	Caney	Peach	East Fork	Watershed
7	7	7	7	5	0	11	10	6	6	8	8	10	10	6	Reps with Jurisdiction in Watershed
2	2	2	2	2	T	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	Ţ	Reps Specific to Watershed
25	25	25	25	23	18	29	28	24	24	26	26	28	28	24	Total Reps in Watershed
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.	2	2	2	2	J. C.	County Reps in Watershed
1	1	Р	1	₽	1	3	1	1	2	4	2	3	5	3	Counties in Watershed
2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	1	Ľ	2	2	2	2	Large City Reps in Watershed
1	1	1	1	1	1	μ.	1	1	ш	H	2	1	دسر	,1	Medium City Reps in Watershed
12	1	ш	1	Н	ב	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Small City Reps in Waterhsed
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	З	3	ω	3	3	Business Reps in Watershed
1	ц	1	1	Р	0	1	1	₽	٢	Ц	1	1	1	1.	Ag Reps in Watershed
3	3	ω	a	w	3	3	ω	ω	4		ы	ω	ω	3	Conservatio n Reps in Watershed
ω	3	ω	ω	ω	3	w.	3	ω	3	3	ω	3	3	3	Conservatio Public Reps n Reps in in Reviso Watershed Watershed Score
75	75	1 2	10	9	42	89	84	52	ű	6	62	8 8	88	63	1 12

Encourage Implementation Practices that Consider Current | Address Boaters and Marinas as Sources of Bacteria in Encourage Increased Enforcement of Local Environmental Encourage the Collection of Monitoring Data from Large East and West Fork of the San Jacinto River TMDL Impairments and Prevent Future Impairments Encourage Regiona Bacteria Education Maintain Coordination Committee TMDL Implementation Plan Stakeholder Process Recommendations **Undeveloped Regions** Stakeholder Group Address Geographic Differences between Urban Core, Encourage Regiona Bacteria Education Jarbo Bayou TMDL Stakeholder Group Maintain Coordination Committee Suburban, and Coastal Areas the I-Plan