Appendix F: Data Review Checklist for H-GAC Local Partners

H-GAC Clean Rivers Program Local Partner

Data Submittal Form and Data Review Checklist

Please complete this form, sign where applicable, and submit with copies of Field Sheets, Chain-of-Custody Forms and Lab Data Reports pertaining to data in this submittal. One form is required for each submission. Failure to complete and submit this form will impede the process whereby data is submitted to TCEQ for inclusion in the State of Texas Surface Water Quality Monitoring (SWQM) database or included in the H-GAC Data Clearinghouse. This form applies to only those sampling sites listed in the Coordinated Monitoring Schedule for FY 2014 or FY2015.

Local Partner:	-		
Water Body:	-		
Data Start Date:	Data End Date:		
Total Number of Events in this Data Submittal: (Total number of sample sites monitored times the number of monitoring visits to each site)			
Total Number of Results in this Data Submit (Each event contains multiple field and/or laborate			

Notice: Attach extra pages to document information that exceeds the spaces provided.

Field Data Review

List instrument(s) used to	collect field measurements	
	librated before each sampling run?	Yes No
Explain why not		
	libration check performed within 24	4-hours after each use?
Yes No_		
Explain why not. $_$		
Did all post-calibration che	ecks pass? Yes No	
What were the minimum a	nd maximum post-calibration errors	s for the field instrument? Please
express as a range.		
Dissolved Oxygen	(\pm 6% saturation or \pm 0.5 mg/L)_	
pH (± 0.5 standard	l units)	-,
Specific Conductar	nce (<u>+</u> 5 % standard)	
Temperature (± 1.0	0 °C, annual calibration check)	
	neter, annual calibration check)	
Were all field parameters r	neasured and documented for each	station location? Yes No
Were water samples collec	ted for all required laboratory parar	meters at every station
location? Yes	No	
Were water samples "iced'	"immediately upon collection or ac	eidified in the field as
required? Yes		
Were all field sheets comp	leted using indelible ink? You	es No
		initials of person making the correction
	Yes No	
If no, explain		
	ery field sheet closed-out with a dia	agonal line, initials and date
closed-out? Yes		
Were problems encountere	ed while collecting any field measur	rements? Yes_ No
Explain		
	cumented on the field sheets? Yes	
	ed in the field, communicated to the	•
	ould be notified as required by the Q	· — —
Were there any results (out	tliers) in this data set greater than th	ne maximum screening value or less
than the minimum sc	reening value? Yes d on the field sheets? Yes	No
Were outlier(s) documente	d on the field sheets? Yes	No
	forms and/or field data sheets filled	lout completely and accurately?
Yes No_		
	ery Chain of Custody form and/or f	field data sheet closed-out with a
	ils and date closed-out? Yes	No
	chain-of-custody form(s) changed s	since the last data submittal to
H-GAC? Yes_		
Explain if yes or att	tach a new form	
Provide source of "Days Si	ince Last Significant Rainfall" data:	·
	nts about Field Data on an extra pag	
		- -
D. t. A. M.	g: .	ъ.
Print Name	Signature	DateD

Lab Data Quality Review

Were samples received at the lab "iced down" and in the process of cooling to $4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$?
Yes No
Explain if no
Were any water samples analyzed and reported that exceeded holding time requirements?
Yes No
Were empty sections of the Chain of Custody form closed-out with diagonal lines, initials and date
closed-out? Yes No
Are all lab values reported consistent with the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) for each parameter listed
in Table A7.1 of the Regional QAPP or Special Studies QAPP? Yes No
Have errors on lab sheets been corrected using a single line with initials of person making the
correction and date corrected? Yes No
Were empty sections of every lab sheet closed-out with a diagonal line, initials and date closed-out?
Yes No
Did all field splits fall within the 30% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) used to determine potential
excessive variability? Yes No
Explain if no
Were there any results that were not reported by the lab? Yes No
Explain if yes
 electronic database for the following situations. Are any ortho-phosphate phosphorus results greater than the companion total phosphorus result? Yes No For bacteria densities that are too few or too numerous to count, are values reported as < or > the applicable minimum or maximum value? Yes No Are there any results in this data set greater than the maximum screening values or less than the minimum screening values? Yes No Are there any results in the data set that "Best Professional Judgment" would indicate a possible error and an investigation is warranted? Yes No Are there results in the data set which are part of a "hold time exceeded" or "did not pass QA" or "received hot, °C" but could still be included in the set because a parameter does not require special handling? (i.e. TDS does not have to be iced) Yes No If yes to any previously bulleted questions, have the results been reconfirmed and documented in the database as being accurate? Yes No What kind of QA/QC data is provided with this data submittal?
A Jarahan al annuan and a language Tark Dada
Additional comments about Lab Data
Person who reviewed the lab sheets and results for accuracy and completeness:
Print Name Date

Data Entry, Formatting and Table Structure

1 0	IMEs and ENDTIMEs data entered us	ing
	at with leading zeros as necessary?	Yes No
	ported in meters? Yes No_	
	d from depths greater than 0.3 meters?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Explain if yes		
	vas the composite information recorde	
, ,	removed from the database being subi	
(An asterisk will interfe	ere with queries, searches, etc.) Yes_	No
Are there any blank fields i	in the database? Yes No	
	in the database: Tes 140	
Explain if yes		
If there are no results to en	ter due to lab or sampling problems, is	there an
		Yes No
•	d in the current QAPP, Coordinated M	
	included with data being submitted to	
Yes No		
1 3		
Was data reviewed for outl	liers? Yes No	
(Refer to www.tceq.stat	te.tx.us/compliance/monitoring/crp/data/storet	<u>t.html</u>
	Codes" for file: sw parm.txt for Mins and Ma	
	documented and identified so the H-G	AC Data Manager
	Yes No	
	surance/quality control information or a	
	validation by H-GAC? Yes	
Have at least 10% of data is	n the data set been reviewed against fi	eld and laboratory data sheets?
Yes No_		
Additional comments abou	t Data Entry, Formatting and Table St	ructure
Person who reviewed the d	atabase for accuracy and completeness	s:
Durland Manna	6:	Dut
Print Name	Signature	Date
Electronic data set was sub	mitted to H-GAC on	
Electronic data set was sub	mitted to H-GAC by:	
Print Name	Signature	Date
i ini itame		Date