



August 17, 2022

SUBJECT: Letter of Clarification No. 1
Old Sixth Ward TIRZ 13 Phase II Mobility Study

REF: Solicitation No.: TRN22-07

TO: All Prospective Respondents

This Letter of Clarification is issued for the following reasons.

To provide a response to potential respondent(s) questions. The following questions and H-GAC responses are hereby incorporated and made part of the Solicitation:

Question 1: Is the Phase I Mobility Study available to reference?

Answer: *The mobility study is available at <https://old6wardtirz.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/TIRZ-13-Mobility-Plan.pdf>.*

Question 2: Budget/Funding. Page 4 of the solicitation under “Budget/Funding”, indicates that \$100,000 will be available for the first 15 months of the project. Please clarify whether H-GAC may allocate additional funds in the next fiscal year budget to cover additional costs if the project requires 16-18 months to complete?

Answer: *Funds will not exceed \$120,000 total (\$100,000* federal). This 18-month procurement will run from the “Notice to Proceed” which is expected on November 1, 2022 to May 31, 2024.*

Question 3: Confirm Task 3 Elements. On page 8 of the RFP: Task 3 - some of the pre-identified issues are common elements of a mobility plan, while others are often more detailed parts of a corridor study or conceptual engineering plan. For example, one item includes an “Access management plan.” This seems to be beyond the scope of this effort. Please confirm whether all twelve bullets must be addressed as a part of this scope.

Answer: *The issues and needs pre-identified in Task 3 should be evaluated throughout the study process. Feedback from contractor and steering committee will determine severity of issue and need. Recommendations requiring additional evaluation will be made for issues and needs deemed outside the scope of the mobility study.*

Question 4: Transportation Model. On page 9 of the RFP: Task 5 calls for this plan to include analysis of future transportation network scenarios. Please clarify whether this scope is looking for conceptual analysis of scenarios and alternatives, or more intensive, data-driven software modeling of scenarios?

Answer: *Priority intersections analysis using data driven software and forward-thinking approaches. Conceptual analysis of scenarios over the study area.*



Question 5: Data Dashboard. Could you please clarify if “database online” (pg. 10) is synonymous with “online data dashboard” (pg. 8) and/or “online investment mapping tool” (pg. 10), or if these are different/separate things included as deliverables?

Answer: *“Online data dashboard” refers to online public engagement platform that will be used for public consumption of study material throughout the study. “Online investment mapping tool” and “Database online” will be an online base map that will show final recommendations in the study area.*

Question 6: Online Data Hosting. On page 11 of the RFP: one of the final deliverables is a Final Plan Map - database online. Will H-GAC host this database and other project materials online during and after the plan development? If not, which entity will be responsible for online hosting of data/maps?

Answer: *H-GAC and Old Sixth Ward Redevelopment Authority TIRZ 13 will host final plan map - database online and all final material.*

Question 7: Page Limits. On page 13 of the RFP: the only reference to any page limits (or max) is item K: Additional Information. Are there page limits on any other sections of the required submittal elements?

Answer: *Sections without a stated page count are unlimited.*

Question 8: Is there an overall page limit for a completed submission?

Answer: *No.*

Question 9: In Part III of the solicitation, section B states that all forms outlined on page 18 of the solicitation are to be included in this section. However, section J of the solicitation requirements is specific to the past questionnaire. Additionally, section C is specific to the Small and Minority Businesses, Women’s Business Enterprises, and labor Surplus Area Affirmation Form, but is also included in the list of required forms in section B. Please clarify where each of these forms should be placed, or if duplicates need to be included.

Answer: *Duplicates are not necessary. Location of the forms within the proposal are at the preference of the Respondent.*

Question 10: In regard to the past performance questionnaire, if a subconsultant on a previous project had a disallowed cost, are we to include it on our questionnaire?

Answer: *No. However, any subconsultant utilized under the project is the responsibility of the Prime contractor.*



HOUSTON- GALVESTON AREA COUNCIL
SERVING TODAY ▪ PLANNING FOR TOMORROW

When issued, Letter(s) of Clarification shall automatically become a part of the solicitation documents and shall supersede any previous specification(s) and/or provision(s) in conflict with the Letter(s) of Clarification. It is the responsibility of the respondent to ensure that it has obtained all such letter(s). By submitting a proposal on this project, respondents shall be deemed to have received all Letter(s) of Clarification and to have incorporated them into their proposals.