H-GAC Clean Rivers Program Local Partner

Data Submittal Form and Data Review Checklist

Please complete this form, sign where applicable, and submit with copies of Field Sheets, Chain-of-Custody Forms and Lab Data Reports pertaining to data in this submittal. One form is required for each submission. Failure to complete and submit this form will impede the process whereby data is submitted to TCEQ for inclusion in the State of Texas Surface Water Quality Monitoring (SWQM) database or included in the H-GAC Data Clearinghouse. This form applies to only those sampling sites listed in the Coordinated Monitoring Schedule for FY 2018 or FY2019.

Local Partner:_____

Water Body:_____

Data Start Date:_____

Data End Date:

Total Number of Events in this Data Submittal: (Total number of sample sites monitored times the number of monitoring visits to each site)

Total Number of Results in this Data Submittal: (Each event contains multiple field and/or laboratory results)

Notice: Attach extra pages to document information that exceeds the spaces provided.

Field Data Review

List instrument(s) used to colled	ct field measurements.		
Was the instrument pre-calibrat	ted before each sampling run?	Yes	No
Explain why not.			
Was an instrument post-calibra	tion check performed within 24-h	nours after ea	ach use?
Yes No			
Explain why not.			
Did all post-calibration checks	pass? Yes <u> No </u>		
What were the minimum and m	naximum post-calibration errors f	or the field i	nstrument data
associated with this Data Revie	w Checklist? Please express as a	range.	
	5% saturation or ± 0.5 mg/L)		
pH (± 0.5 standard unit	as)		
Specific Conductance (-	<u>+</u> 5 % standard)		
Temperature (\pm 1.0 °C,	annual calibration check)		
	, annual calibration check)		
Were all field parameters measured	ured and documented for each sta	ation location	n? Yes <u>No</u>
Were water samples collected f	for all required laboratory parame	eters at every	v station
	Jo		
Were water samples "iced" imr	nediately upon collection or acid	ified in the f	ield as
required? Yes N	Jo		
Were all field sheets completed	l using indelible ink? Yes	N	lo
Were errors on field sheets corr	rected using a single line with init	tials of perso	on making the correction
and date corrected? Y			
If no, explain			
Were empty sections of every f	ield sheet closed-out with a diago	onal line, ini	tials and date
closed-out? Yes	No		
Were problems encountered wh	nile collecting any field measuren	nents? Ye	s No
Explain			
1	nted on the field sheets? Yes		lo
	the field, communicated to the su		
Project Manager could l	be notified as required by the QA	PP? Y	es No
) in this data set greater than the	maximum so	creening value or less
than the minimum screeni		No	
	the field sheets? Yes		
	as and/or field data sheets filled o	ut completel	y and accurately?
Yes No			
	Chain of Custody form and/or fiel	ld data sheet	closed-out with a
U	d date closed-out? Yes	No	
	n-of-custody form(s) changed sin	ice the last d	ata submittal to
H-GAC? Yes			
Explain if yes or attach	a new form		
Provide source of "Days Since	Last Significant Rainfall" data:		
Provide additional comments al	bout Field Data on an extra page	attached to t	his report
			-
Drivet Marris	C: an atom		Data
Print Name	Signature		Date

Lab Data Quality Review

Were all holding times confirmed? Yes No				
Were samples received at the lab "in ice" and in the process of cooling to $\leq 6^{\circ}$ C?				
Yes No				
Explain if no				
Were any water samples analyzed that exceeded holding time requirements?				
Yes No				
Were those results removed from data set submitted to H-GAC? Yes No				
Were empty sections of the Chain of Custody form closed-out with diagonal lines, initials and date				
closed-out? Yes No Are you sure? Yes No				
Are all lab values reported consistent with the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) for each parameter listed				
in Table A7.1 of the Regional QAPP or Special Studies QAPP? Yes No				
Explain if no				
Have errors on lab sheets been corrected using a single line with initials of person making the				
correction and date corrected? Yes No				
Were empty sections of every lab sheet closed-out with a diagonal line, initials and date closed-out?				
Yes No				
Were there any results that were not reported by the lab? Yes No				
Explain if yes				

Data reasonableness and correctness of analysis have been confirmed and <u>documented</u> so H-GAC can easily find for the following situations.

- For bacteria densities that are too few or too numerous to count, are values reported as < or > the applicable minimum or maximum value?
 Yes____ No____
- Are there any results in this data set greater than the maximum screening values or less than the minimum screening values? Yes____ No____
- Are there any results in the data set that "Best Professional Judgment" would indicate a possible error and an investigation is warranted? Yes____ No____
- *If yes to any previously bulleted questions*, have the results been reconfirmed and <u>documented</u> as being accurate so H-GAC doesn't need to hunt for answer? Yes____ No____

What kind of QA/QC data is provided with this data submittal?

Are all sample results submitted to H-GAC NELAP complaint? Yes____No_____ Exceptions to NELAP compliance: _____

Additional comments about Lab Data

Person who reviewed the lab sheets and results for accuracy and completeness:

Print Name	Signature	Date

Data Entry, Formatting and Table Structure

1 0	MEs and ENDTIMEs data entered usin at with leading zeros as necessary?	0
Were any samples collected	ported in meters? Yes No I from depths greater than 0.3 meters?	Yes No
Have all asterisks (*) been	as the composite information recorded removed from the database being subm	itted to H-GAC?
(An asterisk will interfe	re with queries, searches, etc.) Yes	No
Are there any blank fields i Explain if yes	n the database? Yes No	_
explanation for the l Are only sample sites listed recent amendment in Yes No	er due to lab or sampling problems, is to blank field in the comment section? I in the current QAPP, Coordinated Mo ncluded with data being submitted to H	Yes <u>No</u> nitoring Schedule (CMS), or most I-GAC?
"All STORET Are all outliers confirmed, a can review them? Are appropriate quality asso for verification and Have at least 10% of data in Yes No	e.tx.us/compliance/monitoring/crp/data/storet.l Codes" for file: <u>sw parm.txt</u> for Mins and Max documented and identified so the H-GA Yes No urance/quality control information or re validation by H-GAC? Yes n the data set been reviewed against fie	s of every STORET code) AC Data Manager esults included with the data set No ld and laboratory data sheets?
Person who reviewed the da	atabase for accuracy and completeness:	
Print Name	Signature	Date
Electronic data set was sub-	mitted to H-GAC on	
Electronic data set was sub-	mitted to H-GAC by:	
Print Name	Signature	Date