



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6

1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200

DALLAS, TX 75202-2733

NOV 19 2012

Mr. Daniel Burke
Lead Quality Assurance Specialist
Laboratory and Quality Assurance Section (MC-165)
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Post Office Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Dear Mr. Burke:

We have completed our review of the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) entitled "TCEQ's Clean Rivers Program Nutrient Monitoring QAPP" for Cooperative Agreement Numbers I-98665306. I am pleased to inform you that it has been approved.

The QAPP approval will expire on November 19, 2013. Please submit a revised/updated QAPP at least 60 days prior to that expiration date. If no substantive technical or programmatic changes have occurred in the project, submit a letter stating that no changes are needed. This letter is also due at least 60 days prior to the expiration date.

Enclosed is a copy of the completed QAPP signature page for your records. In any future correspondence relating to this QAPP, please reference QTRAK #13-038. If you have any questions, you may contact me at (214) 665-7144.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "Terry Mendiola", is written over a horizontal line.

Terry Mendiola
Project Officer
State/Tribal Programs Section

Enclosure

***REVISION 1 TO THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY'S CLEAN RIVERS
PROGRAM NUTRIENT MONITORING QAPP***

***UNDER EPA GRANT NO. FY12-13 CWA 106
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT I-98665306-0
QTRAK #13-038***

QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS QAPP SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:


***PATRICIA WISE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
P.O. BOX 13087 MC 234
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087
PHONE: 512-239-2240
FAX #: 512-239-4410
PATRICIA.WISE@TCEQ.TEXAS.GOV***

EFFECTIVE: IMMEDIATELY UPON APPROVAL BY ALL PARTIES


A1 APPROVAL PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY


Water Quality Planning Division




Laurie Curra, Manager Date
Water Quality Monitoring & Assessment Section

 10/4/2012


Patricia Wise, Work Leader Date
Clean Rivers Program

 10-11-2012

Allison Fischer Date
Project QA Specialist, Project Manager
Clean Rivers Program


 10/11/2012

Julie McEntire Date
Project Manager, Clean Rivers Program


 10/11/12

Jill Csekitz, Work Leader Date
Water Quality Standards Group

Monitoring Division

 10/22/2012

Sharon Coleman Date
TCEQ Quality Assurance Manager (Acting)

 10/22/12

Daniel R. Burke Date
Lead CRP Quality Assurance Specialist
Laboratory and Quality Assurance Section

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES

Jenna Barrett 11 OCT 12
Jenna Barrett, Project Manager Date
Brazos River Authority

Debbie Magin, Project Manager Date
Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority

Todd Running, Project Manager Date
Houston-Galveston Area Council


David Cowan, Project Manager Date
Lower Colorado River Authority

David Hancock, Project Manager Date
Lower Neches Valley Authority

Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager Date
Trinity River Authority

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES

Jenna Barrett, Project Manager Brazos River Authority	Date
--	------

 10/11/12 Debbie Magin, Project Manager Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	Date
---	------

Todd Running, Project Manager Houston-Galveston Area Council	Date
---	------

David Cowan, Project Manager Lower Colorado River Authority	Date
--	------

David Hancock, Project Manager Lower Neches Valley Authority	Date
---	------

Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager Trinity River Authority	Date
---	------

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES

Jenna Barrett, Project Manager	Date
Brazos River Authority	

Debbie Magin, Project Manager	Date
Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	

	10/19/12
Todd Running, Project Manager	Date
Houston-Galveston Area Council	

David Cowan, Project Manager	Date
Lower Colorado River Authority	

David Hancock, Project Manager	Date
Lower Neches Valley Authority	


Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager	Date
Trinity River Authority	

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES

Jenna Barrett, Project Manager Brazos River Authority	Date
--	------

Debbie Magin, Project Manager Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	Date
---	------

Todd Running, Project Manager Houston-Galveston Area Council	Date
---	------

 David Cowan, Project Manager Lower Colorado River Authority	10/22/12 Date
---	------------------

David Hancock, Project Manager Lower Neches Valley Authority	Date
---	------

Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager Trinity River Authority	Date
---	------

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES

Jenna Barrett, Project Manager Brazos River Authority	Date
--	------

Debbie Magin, Project Manager Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	Date
---	------

Todd Running, Project Manager Houston-Galveston Area Council	Date
---	------

David Cowan, Project Manager Lower Colorado River Authority	Date
--	------

 10/11/12 David Hancock, Project Manager Lower Neches Valley Authority	Date
--	------

Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager Trinity River Authority	Date
---	------

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES

Jenna Barrett, Project Manager Brazos River Authority	Date
--	------

Debbie Magin, Project Manager Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	Date
---	------

Todd Running, Project Manager Houston-Galveston Area Council	Date
---	------

David Cowan, Project Manager Lower Colorado River Authority	Date
--	------

David Hancock, Project Manager Lower Neches Valley Authority	Date
---	------

 10/17/2012 Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager Trinity River Authority	Date
---	------

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) - REGION VI

Edie C. Bawler 11/19/12
for Curry Jones, M.P.H. Date
Chief, State and Tribal Programs Section

Teresita S. Mendiola 11-19-12
Teresita S. Mendiola Date
106 Project Officer, State and Tribal Programs
Section

A2 TABLE OF CONTENTS

A1	APPROVAL PAGE	2
	LIST OF ACRONYMS	6
A3	DISTRIBUTION LIST	7
A4	PROJECT/TASK ORGANIZATION	9
A5	PROBLEM DEFINITION	13
A6	PROJECT/TASK DESCRIPTION	13
A7	QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND CRITERIA	15
A8	SPECIAL TRAINING/CERTIFICATION	18
A9	DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS	18
B1	SAMPLING PROC ESS DESIGN	19
B2	SAMPLING METHODS	19
B3	SAMPLING HANDLING AND CUSTODY	20
B4	ANALYTICAL METHODS	20
B5	QUALITY CONTROL	21
B6	INSTRUMENT/EQUIPMENT TESTING, INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE	21
B7	INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY	21
B8	INSPECTION/ACCEPTANCE OF SUPPLIES AND CONSUMABLES	21
B9	NON-DIRECT MEASUREMENTS	22
B10	DATA MANAGEMENT	23
C1	ASSESSMENTS AND RESPONSE ACTIONS	25
C2	REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT	25
D1	DATA REVIEW, VERIFICATION, AND VALIDATION	25
D2	VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION	25
D3	RECONCILIATION WITH USER REQUIREMENTS	25

Tables:

Table A7.1: Measurement Performance Specifications	15
--	----

Figures:

A4.1 Organization Chart - Lines of Communication	12
B10.1 Data Path	24

Attachments:

A: Number of Analyses and parameters analyzed by contractor	26
---	----

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AWRL	Ambient Water Reporting Limit
BRA	Brazos River Authority
Chl-a	Chlorophyll-a
COC	Chain of Custody
CMS	Coordinated Monitoring Schedule
CRP	Clean Rivers Program
DMRG	Data Management Reference Guide
DM&A	Data Management and Analysis
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FY	Fiscal Year
GBRA	Guadalupe Blanco River Authority
HGAC	Houston Galveston Area Council
LCRA	Lower Colorado River Authority
LNVA	Lower Neches Valley Authority
LOD	Limit of Detection
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation
NELAP	National Environmental Lab Accreditation Program
NH ₃	Ammonia
NO ₃	Nitrate Nitrogen
QA	Quality Assurance
QAO	Quality Assurance Officer
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QAS	Quality Assurance Specialist
QC	Quality Control
QMP	Quality Management Plan
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SWQM	Surface Water Quality Monitoring
SWQMIS	Surface Water Quality Monitoring Information System
TCEQ	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TP	Total Phosphorus
TNI	The NELAC Institute
TRA	Trinity River Authority
TSWQS	Texas Surface Water Quality Standards
WQS	Water Quality Standards

A3 DISTRIBUTION LIST

U.S Environmental Protection Agency Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Mail Code 6-WQ
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

Teresita S. Mendiola, State and Tribal Programs Section, Assistance Programs Branch

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Project Managers, Clean Rivers Program
MC-234
BRA & LCRA - Patricia Wise (512) 239-2240
TRA - Julie McEntire (512) 239-6693
HGAC, GBRA & LNVA - Allison Fischer (512) 239-2574

Daniel R. Burke
Lead CRP Quality Assurance Specialist
MC-176
(512) 239-0011

Jill Csekitz
Work Leader, Water Quality Standards MC-234
(512) 239-3136

Nancy Ragland
Team Leader, Data Management and Analysis
MC-234
(512) 239-6546

Basin Planning Agencies

Brazos River Authority (BRA)
4600 Cobbs Drive
Waco, Texas 76710
Jenna Barrett, Project Manager
(254) 761-3149

Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority (GBRA)
933 E. Court St.
Seguin, Texas 78155
Debbie Magin, Project Manager
(830) 379-5822

Houston-Galveston Area Council (HGAC)
3555 Timmons Lane, Suite 120
Houston, Texas 77027
Todd Running, Project Manager
(713) 993-4549

Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA)
P.O. Box 220
Austin, Texas 78767-0220
David Cowan, Project Manager
(512) 473-3200 Extension 2495

Lower Neches Valley Authority (LNVA)
6790 Bigner Road
Beaumont, TX 77708
David Hancock, Project Manager
(409) 898-0561

Trinity River Authority (TRA)
5300 South Collins
Arlington, Texas 76018
P.O. Box 60
Arlington, Texas 76004
Angela Kilpatrick, Project Manager
817-493-5179

The Basin Planning Agency will provide copies of this project plan and any amendments or appendices of this plan to each person on this list and to each sub-tier project participant, e.g., subcontractors, other units of government. The Basin Planning Agency will document distribution of the plan and any amendments and appendices, maintain this documentation as part of the project's quality assurance records, and will ensure the documentation is available for review.

A4 PROJECT/TASK ORGANIZATION

Description of Responsibilities

TCEQ

Laurie Curra

Manager, Monitoring and Assessment Section

Responsible for oversight of the implementation of the Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs), directs the day-to-day management of the section.

Jill Csekitz

Work Leader, Water Quality Standards

Responsible for managing the TCEQ's Water Quality Standards Program's work activities, commitments, deliverables, and time frame. Develops necessary lines of communication and good working relationships between the WQS Program and personnel of other divisions and organizations. Participates in development of project and quality documents and provides input on issues related to WQS development. Provides input on measurement quality objectives associated with Water Quality Standards user requirements. Determines acceptability of measurement data collected by the WQS Program.

Patricia Wise

CRP Work Leader

Responsible for TCEQ activities supporting the development and implementation of the Texas Clean Rivers Program. Responsible for verifying that the QMP is followed by CRP staff. Supervises TCEQ CRP staff. Reviews and responds to any deficiencies, corrective actions, or findings related to the area of responsibility. Oversees the development of QA guidance for the CRP. Reviews and approves all QA audits, corrective actions, reviews, reports, work plans, contracts, QAPPs, and TCEQ QMP. Enforces corrective action, as required, where QA protocols are not met. Ensures CRP personnel are fully trained.

Daniel R. Burke

CRP Lead Quality Assurance Specialist

Participates in the development, approval, implementation, and maintenance of written quality assurance standards (e.g., Program Guidance, SOPs, QAPPs, QMP). Assists program and project manager in developing and implementing quality system. Serves on planning team for CRP special projects. Coordinates the review and approval of CRP QAPPs. Prepares and distributes annual audit plans. Conducts monitoring systems audits of Planning Agencies. Concurs with and monitors implementation of corrective actions. Conveys QA problems to appropriate management. Recommends that work be stopped in order to safeguard programmatic objectives, worker safety, public health, or environmental protection. Ensures maintenance of QAPPs and audit records for the CRP.

CRP Project Managers

Patricia Wise – BRA & LCRA

Julie McEntire – TRA

Allison Fischer – HGAC, GBRA, & LNVA

Responsible for the development, implementation, and maintenance of CRP contracts. Tracks, reviews, and approves deliverables. Participates in the development, approval, implementation, and maintenance of written quality assurance standards (e.g., Program Guidance, SOPs, QAPPs, QMP). Assists CRP Lead QA Specialist in conducting Basin Planning Agency audits. Verifies QAPPs are being followed by contractors and that projects are producing data of known quality. Coordinates project planning with the Basin Planning Agency Project Manager. Reviews and approves data and reports produced by contractors. Notifies QA Specialists of circumstances which may adversely affect the quality of data derived from the collection and analysis of samples. Develops, enforces, and monitors corrective action measures to ensure contractors meet deadlines and scheduled commitments.

Nancy Ragland

Team Leader, Data Management and Analysis Team

Participates in the development, approval, implementation, and maintenance of written quality assurance standards (e.g., Program Guidance, SOPs, QAPPs, QMP). Ensures DM&A staff perform data management related tasks, including coordination and tracking of CRP data sets from initial submittal through CRP Project Manager review and approval; ensuring that data is reported following instructions in the *Surface Water Quality Monitoring Data Management Reference Guide* (January 2010, or most current version); running automated data validation checks in SWQMIS and coordinating data verification and error correction with CRP Project Managers; generating SWQMIS summary reports to assist CRP Project Managers' data review; identifying data anomalies and inconsistencies; providing training and guidance to CRP and Planning Agencies on technical data issues to ensure that data are submitted according to documented procedures; reviewing QAPPs for valid stream monitoring stations, validity of parameter codes, submitting entity code(s), collecting entity code(s), and monitoring type code(s); developing and maintaining data management-related standard operating procedures for CRP data management; and coordinating and processing data correction requests.

Allison Fischer

CRP Project Quality Assurance Specialist

Serves as liaison between CRP management and TCEQ QA management. Participates in the development, approval, implementation, and maintenance of written quality assurance standards (e.g., Program Guidance, SOPs, QAPPs, QMP). Serves on planning team for CRP special projects and reviews QAPPs in coordination with other CRP staff. Coordinates documentation and implementation of corrective action for the CRP.

BASIN PLANNING AGENCIES


Project Managers

Jenna Barrett, Brazos River Authority
Debbie Magin, Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority
Todd Running, Houston-Galveston Area Council
David Cowan, Lower Colorado River Authority
David Hancock, Lower Neches Valley Authority
Angela Kilpatrick, Trinity River Authority

Responsible for implementing and monitoring CRP requirements in contracts, QAPPs, and QAPP amendments and appendices. Coordinates basin planning activities and work of basin partners. Ensures monitoring systems audits are conducted to ensure QAPPs are followed by basin planning agency participants and that projects are producing data of known quality. Ensures that subcontractors are qualified to perform contracted work. Ensures CRP project managers and/or QA Specialists are notified of deficiencies and corrective actions, and that issues are resolved. Responsible for validating that data collected are acceptable for reporting to the TCEQ.

PROJECT ORGANIZATION CHART

Figure A4.1. Organization Chart - Lines of Communication



A5 PROBLEM DEFINITION

Since 1998, EPA has mandated that states incorporate numerical criteria for nutrients in their water quality standards. Initially, the deadline for adopting nutrient criteria was 2004. However, EPA has substantially extended these time frames, in accordance with “Nutrient Development Plans” that are submitted by each state and reviewed by EPA.

Recently, EPA has been encouraging states to accelerate their efforts and give priority to adopting numeric nutrient standards or numeric translators for narrative standards for all waters in states that contribute nutrient loadings to waterways. Nutrients of interest are total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN). Recent EPA guidance indicates that states can independently develop TP and TN criteria by evaluating the relationship of TP and TN with response variables such as fish and invertebrate indices of biotic integrity, dissolved oxygen dynamics, planktonic chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) in reservoirs and estuaries, and algal coverage in streams.

For development of nutrient criteria and related assessments, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) staff has identified additional needs for nutrient data in reservoirs, streams, rivers, and estuaries. TCEQ staff suggestions, where feasible, are to (1) collect TP and TN as a part of routine monitoring; (2) run TP and TN samples at lower quantification levels, especially where nutrient concentrations are not elevated; and (3) routinely collect key nutrient parameters (TP, TN, nitrate, nitrite, TKN, Chl *a*, Secchi; and salinity for estuaries), especially on water bodies without adequate datasets of these parameters (~30 datapoints).

The data needs that were suggested above are expected to be important to future development and assessment of nutrient criteria in several ways. For reservoir criteria; (1) additional data is needed to quantify Chl *a* and TP in reservoirs of low/moderate productivity; (2) the number of reservoirs with criteria needs to be expanded; (3) the potential for incorporating nutrient standards that utilize multiple parameters needs to be further considered, and (4) reduce the amount of data reported as “less than” values. The needs for criteria development in streams and rivers are similar, except that estimates and measurements of algal coverage become more important than Chl *a* in water for smaller rivers and streams. The needs for criteria in estuaries are also similar to those for reservoirs, with an additional emphasis on the evaluation of salinity variability. For rivers and streams, and to some extent for estuaries, TCEQ anticipates evaluating a wider range of variables, such as dissolved oxygen dynamics and biological indices, in order to help define responses to TP and TN. For all criteria development, relatively high percentages of “less than” data for TP, TN, and Chl *a* can increase uncertainties and statistical difficulties in typical calculations

A6 PROJECT/TASK DESCRIPTION

Six Basin Planning Agencies who contract with TCEQ under the Texas Clean Rivers Program (CRP) will conduct monitoring at routine monitoring sites for nutrient species and related water quality constituents, at a frequency needed to obtain sufficient data to support the future development of nutrient criteria and related assessments. This project supplements the collection of the following nutrient parameters: total phosphorus, total kjeldahl nitrogen, nitrate, and nitrite (and/or nitrate plus nitrite), and other supporting field parameters not currently collected under the CRP partner’s

monitoring plan. The details on number of analyses and parameters analyzed are in Attachment A. The work will occur during fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and the data will be reported to TCEQ semi-annually for review and submittal to SWQMIS.

The purpose of this QAPP is to provide the project organization, data management, and data acquisition information for the collection of for additional nutrient data and/or analyses at the lower detection levels. This QAPP will also document deviations from the basin-wide QAPPs. Each Basin Planning Agency participating under this QAPP will collect data under ongoing 2-year individual QAPPs required by the CRP. These QAPPs contain details related to each Basin Planning Agency's QA policy, management structure, and procedures which will be used to implement the QA requirements necessary to verify and validate the surface water quality data collected are located in those Clean Rivers Program QAPPs and referenced in this document. TCEQ considers the field and laboratory quality assurance and quality control procedures and measures outlined in each CRP QAPP referenced in this document to be consistent with, and in some cases, more stringent than TCEQ's Surface Water Quality Monitoring Program and Water Quality Assessment Program QAPP.

The Clean Rivers Program QAPPs are reviewed and approved by the TCEQ to help ensure that data generated for the purposes described above are scientifically valid and legally defensible. This process will ensure that data collected under this QAPP and submitted to SWQMIS has been collected and managed in a way that ensures that it is acceptable for use by TCEQ in developing water quality standards, as well as guarantees its reliability and therefore can be used in water quality assessments and other programs deemed appropriate by the TCEQ.

Amendments to the QAPP

Amendments to the QAPP may be necessary to reflect changes in project organization, tasks, schedules, objectives, and methods; address deficiencies and nonconformances; improve operational efficiency; and/or accommodate unique or unanticipated circumstances. Requests for amendments are directed from the Basin Planning Agency Project Manager to the TCEQ Project Manager in writing using the QAPP Amendment shell. The changes are effective immediately upon approval by the TCEQ CRP Project Manager and Quality Assurance Specialist, or their designees, and the EPA Project Officer (if necessary).

Amendments to the QAPP and the reasons for the changes will be documented, and full copies of amendments will be forwarded to all persons on the QAPP distribution list by the CRP QAO. Amendments shall be reviewed, approved, and incorporated into a revised QAPP during the annual revision process or within 120 days of the initial approval in cases of significant changes.

Amendments to the CRP Basin-wide QAPPs

Revisions to the Basin-wide QAPPs may be necessary to address incorrectly documented information or to reflect changes in project organization, tasks, schedules, objectives, and methods. Requests for amendments will be directed from the Basin Planning Agency Project Manager to the CRP Project Manager electronically. Amendments are effective immediately upon approval by the Basin Planning Agency Project Manager, the Basin Planning Agency QAO, the CRP Project Manager, the CRP Lead QA Specialist, the CRP Project QA Specialist, and additional parties affected by the amendment. Amendments are not retroactive. They will be incorporated into the QAPP by way of attachment and distributed to personnel on the distribution list by the Basin Planning Agency Project Manager.

Amendments affecting the sections referenced in this document will be made available to those on the distribution list electronically.

Note: The Basin Planning Agency will secure written documentation from each sub-tier project participant (e.g., subcontractors, other units of government) stating the organization's awareness of and commitment to requirements contained in each amendment to the QAPP. The Basin Planning Agency will maintain this documentation as part of the project's quality assurance records, and ensure that the documentation is available for review.

Revisions to the QAPP

Until the work described is completed, this QAPP shall be revised as necessary and reissued annually on the anniversary date, or revised and reissued within 120 days of significant changes, whichever is sooner. The most recently approved QAPPs shall remain in effect until revisions have been fully approved; reissuances (i.e., annual updates) must be submitted to the TCEQ for approval before 60 days the last version has expired. If the entire QAPP is current, valid, and accurately reflects the project goals and organization's policy, the annual reissuance may be done by a certification that the plan is current. This can be accomplished by submitting a cover letter stating the status of the QAPP and a copy of new, signed approval pages for the QAPP.

A7 QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND CRITERIA

The purpose of routine water quality monitoring is to collect surface water quality data that can be used to characterize water quality conditions, identify significant long-term water quality trends, support water quality standards development, support the permitting process, and conduct water quality assessments in accordance with *TCEQs Guidance for Assessing Texas Surface and Finished Drinking Water Quality Data*. These water quality data will be subsequently reconciled and used by the TCEQ.

The measurement performance specifications to support the project objectives are specified in Table A7.1. The collection of the following parameters will be under a TCEQ-approved QAPP. Details on the methods used, LOQs, precision, bias, laboratory and additional QA are located in Appendix A of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPPs.

Table A7.1 - Measurement Performance Specifications

Parameter	Units	Matrix	Parameter Code(s)	AWRL	Project RL
Conventional Parameters (Water)					
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, TOTAL (MG/L AS N)	mg/L	water	00610	0.1	0.1
NITROGEN, KJELDAHL, TOTAL (MG/L AS N)	mg/L	water	00625	0.2	0.2
Nitrate plus Nitrite, Total 1 Det. (mg/L as N)	mg/L	water	00630	0.05	0.04
NITRATE NITROGEN, TOTAL (MG/L AS N)	mg/L	water	00620	0.05	0.02
NITRITE NITROGEN, TOTAL (MG/L AS N)	mg/L	water	00615	0.05	0.02
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL, WET METHOD (MG/L AS P)	mg/L	water	00665	0.06	0.02

CHLOROPHYLL-A, UG/L	ug/L	water	32211 70953	3	3
---------------------	------	-------	----------------	---	---

Parameter	Units	Matrix	Parameter Code(s)	AWRL
Diel Measurements (Water)				
TEMPERATURE, WATER (DEGREES CENTGRADE), 24HR AVG	DEG C	Water	00209	NA
WATER TEMPERATURE, DEGREES CENTIGRADE, 24HR MAX	DEG C	Water	00210	NA
TEMPERATURE, WATER (DEGREES CENTIGRADE) 24HR MIN	DEG C	Water	00211	NA
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE, uS/CM, FIELD, 24HR AVG	uS/cm	Water	00212	NA
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE, uS/CM, FIELD, 24HR MAX	uS/cm	Water	00213	NA
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE, uS/CM, FIELD, 24HR MIN	uS/cm	Water	00214	NA
PH, S.U., 24HR MAXIMUM VALUE	std. units	Water	00215	NA
PH, S.U., 24HR, MINIMUM VALUE	std. units	Water	00216	NA
WATER TEMPERATURE, # OF MEASUREMENTS IN 24-HRS	NU	Water	00221	NA
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE, # OF MEASUREMENTS IN 24-HR	NU	Water	00222	NA
pH, # OF MEASUREMENTS IN 24-HRS	NU	Water	00223	NA
DISSOLVED OXYGEN, 24-HOUR MIN. (MG/L) MIN. 4 MEA	mg/l	Water	89855	NA
DISSOLVED OXYGEN, 24-HOUR MAX. (MG/L) MIN. 4 MEA	mg/l	Water	89856	NA
DISSOLVED OXYGEN, 24-HOUR AVG. (MG/L) MIN. 4 MEA	mg/l	Water	89857	NA
DISSOLVED OXYGEN, # OF MEASUREMENTS IN 24-HRS	NU	Water	89858	NA

References:

TCEQ SOP, V1 - TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures, Volume 1: Physical and Chemical Monitoring Methods for Water, Sediment, and Tissue, 2008 (RG-415).

Reporting Limits (RLs)

Initial investigation of available data in the Surface Water Quality Monitoring Information System (SWQMIS) by TCEQ staff demonstrated that a large percentage of data is reported at or below the ambient water reporting limits (AWRLs). Data that is reported as “less than” values is difficult to statistically analyze in the way needed to develop water quality standards and accurately characterize water quality conditions. In addition, laboratories are not currently analyzing total nitrogen. For the purpose of determining nutrient criteria, The TCEQ will have to derive total nitrogen results by calculating the sum of other nutrient parameters (i.e., total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), nitrate, and nitrite).

To further the available data for future development of numeric nutrient criteria for the state, the TCEQ has developed this project with partners to fill in data gaps identified by staff and lower the

reported limits for nutrient constituents to decrease the number of censored data. Lower project reporting limits have been developed based on literature research and laboratory capabilities with a goal of reporting TP at 0.02mg/L and TN at 0.24mg/L.

The following requirements must be met in order to report results for this project:

- The laboratory's LOQ for each analyte must be at or below the Ambient Water Reporting Limit as a matter of routine practice
- If practicable, the LOQ for each analyte must be at or below the Project Specific Reporting Limit as a matter of routine practice
- The laboratory must demonstrate its ability to quantitate at its LOQ for each analyte by running an LOQ check sample for each analytical batch of project samples analyzed.

Laboratory Measurement Quality Control Requirements and Acceptability Criteria are provided in Section B5 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP

Precision

As described in Section A7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Bias

As described in Section A7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Representativeness

The sites sampled for the purposes of this project will be established monitoring sites with existing datasets. This data collection effort will add to the existing water quality data collected and ensure that the nutrient variables are collected at the project reporting limit or lower. Preference will be given to sampling sites in this order:

1. main pool sites (dam sites) on reservoirs,
2. historical long term sampling stations on classified segments,
3. and historical sampling sites on unclassified segments.

As described in Section A7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Comparability

As described in Section A7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Completeness

As described in Section A7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

A8 SPECIAL TRAINING/CERTIFICATION

As described in Section A7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

A9 DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS

As described in Section A9 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B1 SAMPLING PROCESS DESIGN

Geographic Coverage

Total phosphorus and the components of total nitrogen should be run at representative sites on both classified and unclassified water bodies. Sites should include those that have been historically monitored on a routine basis. If only limited coverage can be obtained due to limited resources, then the priority should be representative sites on classified segments. For reservoirs, a site near the dam in the main pool of the reservoir should be sampled. For estuaries, a site in the main body that has been routinely monitored historically should be sampled. If a site was previously dropped where routine monitoring historically occurred, the station should be reinstated as a routine monitoring station.

Frequency

Sites should be sampled at least quarterly.

Sampling stations and frequencies are described in Section B1 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP and posted on the Coordinated Monitoring Schedule (CMS). The CMS is available on the LCRA's Web page at <http://cms.lcra.org/>

Sample Design Rationale and Site Selection Criteria

As described in Section B1 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B2 SAMPLING METHODS

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Field Sampling Procedures.

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Sample volume, container types, minimum sample volume, preservation requirements, and holding time requirements.

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Sample Containers

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Processes to Prevent Contamination

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Documentation of Field Sampling Activities

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Recording Data

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Sampling Method Requirements or Sampling Process Design Deficiencies, and Corrective Action

As described in Section B2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B3 SAMPLING HANDLING AND CUSTODY

As described in Section B3 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Chain-of -Custody

As described in Section B3 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Sample Labeling

As described in Section B3 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Sample Handling

As described in Section B3 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Sample Tracking Procedure Deficiencies and Corrective Action

As described in Section B3 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B4 ANALYTICAL METHODS

The analytical methods, associated matrices, and performing laboratories are listed in Appendix A of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP. The authority for analysis methodologies under the Clean Rivers Program is derived from the TSWQS (●307.1 - 307.10) in that data generally are generated for comparison to those standards and/or criteria. The Standards state that *■Procedures for laboratory analysis must be in accordance with the most recently published edition of the book entitled Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, the TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures as amended, 40 CFR 136, or other reliable procedures acceptable to the commission, and in accordance with chapter 25 of this title. ●*

Laboratories analyzing data under this QAPP are compliant with the TNI Standards. Copies of laboratory QMs and SOPs are available for review by the TCEQ.

Standards Traceability

As described in Section B4 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Analytical Method Deficiencies and Corrective Action

As described in Section B4 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B5 QUALITY CONTROL

Sampling Quality Control Requirements and Acceptability Criteria

As described in Section B5 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Laboratory Measurement Quality Control Requirements and Acceptability Criteria

As described in Section B5 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Quality Control or Acceptability Requirements Deficiencies and Corrective Actions

As described in Section B5 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B6 INSTRUMENT/EQUIPMENT TESTING, INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE

As described in Section B6 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B7 INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY

As described in Section B7 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B8 INSPECTION/ACCEPTANCE OF SUPPLIES AND CONSUMABLES

As described in Section B8 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

B9 NON-DIRECT MEASUREMENTS

This project supplements the collection of the following nutrient parameters: total phosphorus, total kjeldahl nitrogen, nitrate, and nitrite (and/or nitrate plus nitrite), and other supporting field parameters not currently collected under the CRP partner's monitoring plan. The details on number of analyses and parameters analyzed under this project are in Attachment A. The data for this project along with the routine data submitted under the Basin-wide QAPP will be reported to the agency semi-annually for review and submittal to SWQMIS. The combined data will be sufficient to support the future development of nutrient criteria and related assessments.


Field sampling is be conducted according to procedures documented in the *TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures Volume 1: Physical and Chemical Monitoring Methods for Water, Sediment, and Tissue, 2008.(RG-415)* and *Volume 2: Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Biological Community and Habitat Data (RG-416)*.

Procedures for laboratory analysis are in accordance with the most recently published edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 40 CFR 136, or otherwise approved or otherwise approved independently to determine comparability with data generated by the SWQM Program.

B10 DATA MANAGEMENT

In general data from Planning Agencies moves along the following path.

Figure B10.1. Data Path



Details on data paths are described in Section B10 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

C1 ASSESSMENTS AND RESPONSE ACTIONS

As described in Section C1 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Corrective Action

As described in Section C1 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

C2 REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT

Reports to Planning Agency Project Management

As described in Section C2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Reports to TCEQ Project Management

As described in Section C2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Reports by TCEQ Project Management

As described in Section C2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

D1 DATA REVIEW, VERIFICATION, AND VALIDATION

As described in Section D1 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

D2 VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION

As described in Section D2 of each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

D3 RECONCILIATION WITH USER REQUIREMENTS

Data produced in this project, and data collected by other organizations (e.g., USGS, TCEQ, etc.), will be analyzed and reconciled with project data quality requirements. Data meeting project requirements will be used by the TCEQ for water quality standards development, the *Texas Water Quality Integrated Report* in accordance with TCEQ's *Guidance for Assessing and Reporting Surface Water Quality in Texas*, August 2010 or most recent version, and for TMDL development, and permit decisions as appropriate. Data which do not meet requirements will not be submitted to SWQMIS nor will be considered appropriate for any of the uses noted above.

ATTACHMENT A

NUMBER OF ANALYSES AND PARAMETERS ANALYZED BY CONTRACTOR

Number of Analyses and parameters analyzed by contractor under the 106 Grant

The numbers of analyses and parameters analyzed under this project have been broken down annually by contractor and subcontractor. In addition to collecting nutrient samples, each partner will collect field splits, as necessary to comply with the requirements in each participating CRP partner's basin-wide QAPP.

Brazos River Authority

Parameter	Number of Sampling Locations	Frequency	No. of Samples per Location	Total No. of Results
TP	4	monthly	12	48
TP	6	quarterly	4	24
TKN	4	monthly	12	48
TKN	6	quarterly	4	24
NH3	4	monthly	12	48
NH3	6	quarterly	4	24
NO3	4	monthly	12	48
NO3	6	quarterly	4	24
Chl-a	4	monthly	12	48
Chl-a	6	quarterly	4	24

Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority

Parameter	Number of Sampling Locations	Frequency	No. of Samples per Location	Total No. of Results
TKN	17	bimonthly	6	102
TKN	10	quarterly	4	40

Houston-Galveston Area Council

Parameter	Number of Sampling Locations	Frequency	No. of Samples per Location	Total No. of Results
NO2/NO3, NH3,TP	88	quarterly	4	365
Chl-a	54	quarterly	4	216
TKN	295	quarterly	4	1180
NO2/NO3, NH3,TP	72	Monthly-index and critical periods only	9	648
Diel (24hour)	6	bimonthly	6	36

Lower Colorado River Authority

Parameter	Number of Sampling Locations	Frequency	No. of Samples per Location	Total No. of Results
TKN	45	quarterly	4	230
TKN	68	bimonthly	6	650
Chl-a	10	quarterly	4	52
Chl-a	68	bimonthly	6	500
TP	45	quarterly	4	230
TP	68	bimonthly	6	650

Lower Neches Valley Authority

Parameter	Number of Sampling Locations	Frequency	No. of Samples per Location	Total No. of Results
TKN	25	quarterly	4	100
Chl-a	25	quarterly	4	100

Trinity River Authority

Parameter	Number of Sampling Locations	Frequency	No. of Samples per Location	Total No. of Results
Chl-a	14	monthly	12	168
TP	14	monthly	12	168
NH3	14	monthly	12	168
NO3	14	monthly	12	168
TKN	14	monthly	12	168