



**East and West Fork of the San Jacinto River (E&W) Bacteria  
TMDL:  
Workgroup Report**

**Development**

September 3, 2014

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I.</b>	<b>OVERVIEW</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>PURPOSE</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>APPROACH</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>NOTIFICATION</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>MATERIALS</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>VI.</b>	<b>MEETING SYNOPSIS</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>NEXT MEETING</b> .....	<b>7</b>



## I. **OVERVIEW**

Per Umbrella Contract 582-12-13254, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) requested Public Outreach support from the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) for E&W Bacteria TMDL project, with activities for all elements of Public Outreach including, but not necessarily limited to:

- Identifying and Reserving Facilities for Meetings and / or Events;
- Providing a Facilitator for Any Meetings (As Needed);
- Providing Support for Organizing and Advertising Meetings and / or Events;
- Distribution and Posting of Meeting Agenda(s);
- Preparation of Meeting and / or Event Summaries;
- Preparation of Printed or Other Presentation Materials in Support of a Meeting and / or Event;
- Use of the H-GAC Website for Posting Meeting and / or Event Information; and
- Any Other Necessary Support Activities.

On September 3, 2014 H-GAC facilitated the Development Workgroup (previously met as the Residential Development Workgroup and the Commercial and Industrial Development Workgroup) meeting to review and discuss implementation plans, consider joining the BIG and discuss next steps.

## II. **PURPOSE**

The water bodies included in this analysis are all within the Lake Houston watershed, which originates in Walker, San Jacinto and Grimes and run through Montgomery, Liberty, and Harris counties.

The Purpose of this workgroup meeting was to discuss the following:

1. Discuss the Process for Developing an I-Plan
2. Review Two Examples of I-Plans and One Watershed Protection Plan Specific for Subject Area
3. Discuss the Benefits and Challenges for Either Joining the BIG or Developing an I-Plan, and
4. Vote to Join the BIG or Develop an I-Plan
5. Discuss Next Steps.



### III. **APPROACH**

Stakeholders who attended the previous work group meeting or showed interest in the work group were invited to participate in a Doodle Poll sent via email to identify the best date/time for the next meeting. Once the date and time were selected, the work group was notified via email to provide them with meeting details and to remind the potential attendees of the upcoming meeting.

### IV. **NOTIFICATION**

Notification of the workgroup meeting took place via phone and e-mail. Additionally, TCEQ asked H-GAC to post meeting details to the project webpage (<http://www.h-gac.com/community/water/tmdl/san-jacinto-river-east-west-forks.aspx>).

### V. **MATERIALS**

The following materials were made available for the meeting:

1. Sign-In Sheet(s)
2. Development Meeting Agenda
3. Residential Development and Commercial and Industrial Meeting Summaries (July 9, 2014)
4. Sections of the BIG I-Plan, Dickinson Bayou I-Plan, and Plum Creek Watershed Protection Plan related to topic area.

### VI. **MEETING SYNOPSIS**

*Location*

Humble Civic Center  
8233 Will Clayton Pkwy  
Humble, TX 77338

*When*

Wednesday, September 3, 2014  
2 PM – 4 PM



**Attendees**

NAME	ORGANIZATION REPRESENTED	ASSUMED COUNTY?
Glenda Callaway	Self	Harris
Rudy McClendon	Self	Harris
TW Garrett	City of Plum Grove	
Stacy Carr	TCEQ	
Chris Strupp	AEI	
Kathaleen S. Ross	City of Cleveland	
Richard Chapin	City of Houston	

**To view the sign-in sheet in its entirety, please see Attachment A.**

**Meeting Outcomes:**

- Group reviewed sample TMDL I-Plans and Watershed Protection Plans. Group highlighted their concern for the impact from the large number of new and future developments. Attendees want to see best management practices implemented that will address impairments but also address the potential for future growth impacts. Suggestions include the use of LID and green infrastructure practices.
- Group discussed the decision to join the Bacteria Implementation Group versus developing an independent plan. Felt that the plan was the BIG I-Plan was flexible and that any concerns could be addressed within the written strategies.
- Group voted unanimously to recommend the Coordination Committee vote to join the BIG.

**VII. NEXT MEETING**

To Be Determined