## Implementation Strategy 10.0: Research

Bacterial contamination of waterways is a concern for the BIG project area, as reflected in the TMDL studies that this I-Plan addresses. The studies provide a general overview of the extent and character of the presence of bacteria, but they are not sufficient to determine the most cost-effective courses of action to achieve contact recreation standards. A dynamic process is required where affected entities continually expand their knowledge of bacteria sources and effects and where various management approaches are tested and refined. This section identifies potential research topics that will be critical to this undertaking.

Recognizing that many of these topics would be area-specific, the BIG was asked to prioritize those which would have the greatest impact on management actions across the area. Three topics emerged. These topics are pertinent to the entire BIG area, are intended to be implemented as resources are available, and may be superseded as necessary for research needs that are specific to individual stakeholders. Research would be conducted using appropriate methodology and quality assurance that have been developed in consultation with the TCEQ and the EPA. In the following text, although the research priorities are presented in a numerical order, this is not a rank order.

The I-Plan's stakeholders identified three priority research topics which address the following:

- Effectiveness of storm water activities
- Bacteria persistence and regrowth
- Appropriate indicators

Additional topics were identified and, although important, were not identified as top priorities. Many of these topics are related to the three research priorities. As funding is available, these additional research topics should be considered.

A variety of funding sources should be pursued, with a variety of partners. It is unlikely that any one local entity will find it appropriate to conduct this research. Given the large-scale character of the undertakings, entities should look to coordinate efforts with the various academic institutions of the greater Houston area, federal and state agencies like the EPA, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, and Department of State Health Services, water and environmental research groups like Water Environment Research Foundation and Water Environment Association of Texas, and similar potential partners. A shared project, the result of an inter-local agreement or similar instrument, may allow local entities to feasibly investigate these issues. However, the more practical avenue is likely to be the BIG group as a whole advocating for a national or state-level entity to address research priorities.

# Research Priority 10.1: Evaluate the Effectiveness of Storm Water Implementation Activities

Additional monitoring of current and future storm water projects in the planning area will help provide an area-specific set of data on the relative effectiveness of different management practices. This effort would draw from current and proposed activities undertaken by Phase I MS4 permitted entities. The effectiveness studies would include both structural measures and behavioral measures. Structural measures might be based on both traditional drainage engineering, such as specifications for storm water outfalls, and sustainable infrastructure design methodologies, such as Green Infrastructure and Low Impact Development. Behavioral measures, such as public outreach, public reporting of illicit discharges, and efforts aimed at changing behaviors. The data collected and the results from the comparative evaluations should be made available to all stakeholders through the monitoring databases described in Implementation Strategy 9.0.

#### Research Priority 10.2: Further Evaluate Bacteria Persistence and Regrowth

To better understand the extent of human contributions to bacterial loading in waterways, the underlying base layer of background or endemic bacteria should be studied in greater detail. Previous studies of water bodies in the region, including evaluations of Buffalo and Whiteoak Bayous in Harris County,<sup>112</sup> indicated that naturally occurring bacteria are prevalent and persistent in our slow-moving waterways. While these naturally occurring bacteria are certainly supplemented with bacteria from human activities and other sources, the relationship and relative percentages of each should be studied in greater detail. Additionally, the character and cycle of bacteria in the waterway pertaining to regrowth potential requires further evaluation. More realistic and comprehensive simulations are required to more fully grasp the nature of bacterial behavior in the waterways. Implementing agencies that choose to conduct these studies for specific projects will make their data available for the rest of the stakeholders through the monitoring databases (or through H-GAC as a facilitator). The results could be used to provide more precise predictions of bacterial loading by following the impact of loading over time within the waterway.

### **Research Priority 10.3: Determine Appropriate Indicators**

An indicator species is an organism whose presence is highly correlated to the presence of another organism (or group of organisms). *E. coli* or *Enterococcus* are used as indicator bacteria based on their pervasiveness and correlation between their presence and the presence of a wide range of potential microbial pathogens. However, that general correlation may not be precise enough to justify their exclusive use in monitoring for this I-Plan. While these indicators are generally accepted nationwide,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> (Brinkmeyer, Amon and Schwarz 2008) and (NSF International Engineering & Research Services 2007)

they may not reflect the unique balance of microbial pathogens and water quality characteristics of the region's semi-tropical urban bayous and local water bodies. Many studies, including the data used to formulate the 1986 EPA guidance on bacteria limits for recreational waters,<sup>113</sup> were conducted in areas and water bodies greatly different from the BIG area. The potential need for alternate, supplemental, or multiple indicators should be determined to refine the I-Plan's monitoring approach and further assist stakeholders in identifying sources.

The EPA is currently studying the question of appropriate indicators. The results of their inquiry, due in October of 2012,<sup>114</sup> should be incorporated into future revisions of this I-Plan. Additional consideration of the best indicator(s) for the area could help supplement their findings by providing a more specific understanding of local correlations between indicators and pathogens. Stakeholders are encouraged to participate in EPA's discussion of indicators and to encourage the EPA to consider environments similar to those in the Houston region.

## **Research Priority 10.4: Additional Research Topics**

A variety of additional research topics were identified by stakeholders. The following list gives a brief description of broad groups of research topics and some possible research questions. Research addressing these topics should be conducted as resources are available.

- *WWTFs:* Studies should examine the correlation between bacteria levels in effluent and instream bacteria levels. Have in-stream bacteria levels changed as a result of the TCEQ's new rules that limit bacteria levels in effluent? Research may also be conducted to identify how other constituents in wastewater effluent may influence in-stream bacteria levels. How are instream bacteria levels influenced by sludge discharges, nutrients, and storm water discharges from WWTFs?
- *Health risks:* The studies should include cumulative review of epidemiological studies, collection of new epidemiological data, and/or microbial risk assessment efforts aimed at determining human health risks from recreational activities in, on, or near bayous in the BIG region. What is the relationship between the levels of pathogens and indicators in different watersheds?
- *Recreational use:* Generally, eight or more illnesses above the background level are considered problematic. Does the rate of illness from contact recreation in impaired waterways in the project area exceed this threshold? What is the level of recreation on the waterways?
- Land use: Research could analyze the correlations between land use, turbidity, and in-stream bacteria levels. Some land use types may lead to increased turbidity, and may be associated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1986)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2010c)

with increased bacteria levels. Consideration should be given to evaluating the per-capita contribution of bacteria in relative compact mixed use developments versus lower density developments. Historical land use prior to development may also influence in-stream bacteria levels. Is there a correlation between impervious surfaces and in-stream bacteria levels?

- Modeling: The document, "Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load Task Force Final Report,"<sup>115</sup> contains summary information about the selection and application of various water quality models for use in Texas. However, many questions were raised by the authors regarding how well the models work, how they can be improved to be more accurate, and how well they function as predictive models. Research could be done to provide answers to the questions raised in the report. One particular input for which further information could be done is to improve the flow data available for classified stream sections.
- Unimpaired waterways: A minority of sampled waterways in the project area are *not* considered impaired for bacteria. Why do these assessment units have relatively low bacteria levels? How could this information be applied to lower bacteria levels in impaired waterways?
- *Nutrients and other constituents:* Waterways in the project area contain constituents such as nutrients, fine particles, sediment, soil, and other solid materials. Studies and research should examine how such constituents influence instream bacteria levels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> (Jones, et al. 2007)

For Consideration by TCEQ