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### 1.0 Introduction

The Houston-Galveston Regional Travel Models are cooperatively developed and maintained by the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC), the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Metropolitan Transit Authority (METRO). This report documents the validation of the Houston-Galveston Regional "Track-1" Travel Models to the Base Year 2002. The Track-1 model set is an enhanced standard practice set of travel models that represent an update to the original H-GAC Travel Models - referred to as the "Track-0" model set. The Track-1 model set is essentially an update to the Track-0 model set based on 1995 travel survey data for the region. This report does not fully document the various model set components, but instead, documents those components that were addressed as part of the 2002 validation. The development of the "Track-0" model set is documented in the following reports.

- Development, Update and Calibration of 1985 Travel Models for the Houston Galveston Region, H-GAC, June 1991
- Estimation, Calibration, and Validation of the Houston Mode Choice Model - Technical Report
- 1990 Houston Long-Range Patronage Forecasting Model Validation-Draft Technical Memorandum: Model Validation Methodology and Results
- IH-10 Katy Freeway Major Investment Study: Service and Travel Forecasting Methodology, Version 3.0

The "Track-1" Travel Models represent an update of the "Track-0" models using updated (relative to the original 1984 survey) household and work-place survey data. In terms of model structure, the only significant change to model set was the re-definition of trip purposes. The regional mode choice model is a nested logit model originally developed for incorporation into METRO's 1985 Houston Long-Range Patronage Forecasting Model based on 1985 travel survey information. The model was later enhanced for use in a Major Investment Study. The model has been re-calibrated to the year 1995 as part of the development of the Track-1 model set.

### 1.1 Report Structure

Chapter 2 of the report discusses the development of land use, demographic and cost data for the Base Year 2002. Included in this section is also a discussion and depiction of the zone system used in the H-GAC modeling efforts. Chapter 3 outlines the development of both highway and transit networks. This is followed in Chapter 4 with a discussion of travel forecasting procedures employed in the 1995 validation. The 2002 highway assignment validation results are also summarized in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 discusses the development of an HPMS adjustment factor used in applications of travel model forecasts for air quality conformity and SIP development.

# 2.0 Land Use, Demographic \& Cost Data Development 

The eight-county Houston-Galveston-Brazoria Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) has been federally designated as the Transportation Management Area (TMA) for the Houston-Galveston region. The Houston-Galveston TMA extends over an area of 7,809 square miles. Land Use and Demographic forecasts for the TMA are developed by H-GAC.

### 2.1 Zone System Definition

Under 1990 (census related) geography, H-GAC has designated 3,000 detailed traffic analysis zones (TAZs) in the Houston-Galveston TMA. This includes 2,954 internal zones and 46 external stations. The internal zones are entirely within the TMA and the external stations are used to capture external-external and external-local trips into and through the TMA.

### 2.2 Base Year Demographic Estimates

Estimated Year 2002 households and Year 2003 employment were used as the primary demographic inputs for the Year 2002 validation of the travel models. Estimates of 2002 household were derived through interpolation of year 2000 TAZ-level estimates and forecasted year 2007 TAZ-level data. Definitional changes to employment categories instituted as part of a new demographic forecast resulted in a situation in which future year forecasts of employment that will be used in conjunction this model set would be different than those of the Year 2002 employment data set. For this reason, employment estimates for the year 2003, which have consistent definitions to the future year employment were used in the validation of the Track-1 models. While use of the year 2003 employment results in a over-estimation of trip attractions, the H-GAC trip generation model scales attractions to match productions. Therefore, the total regional trip ends are the same as they would be if actual year 2002 employment was used.

### 2.3 Comparison of 1995 and 2002 Population/Household and 2003 Employment Estimates by County

Table 2.1 summarizes the household changes between 1995 and 2002. Region wide households increased over 14 percent, from 1.48 million in 1995 to nearly 1.70 in 2002. Household growth by county ranged from a low of 9.5 percent (Galveston) to a high of 38.0 percent (Montgomery). Table 2.2 summarizes the household population by county (which excludes group quarters such as prisons).

Table 2.1
County Households for 2002 and 1995

| County | 1995 | 2002 | Change from 1995 | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Harris | $1,120,750$ | $1,238,400$ | 117,650 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Brazoria | 68,337 | 85,671 | 17,334 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Fort Bend | 87,477 | 119,534 | 32,057 | $36.6 \%$ |
| Waller | 9,027 | 11,006 | 1,979 | $21.9 \%$ |
| Montgomery | 81,556 | 112,531 | 30,975 | $38.0 \%$ |
| Liberty | 21,760 | 24,419 | 2,659 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Chambers | 7,710 | 9,404 | 1,694 | $22.0 \%$ |
| Galveston | 89,143 | 97,632 | 8,489 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Total | $1,487,755$ | $1,700,599$ | 212,844 | $14.3 \%$ |

Source: Trip Generation Data for 2002 and 1995 prepared by H-GAC
Table 2.2
County Household Population for 2002 and 1995

| County | 1995 | 2002 | Change from 1995 | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Harris | $1,120,750$ | $1,238,400$ | 117,650 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Brazoria | 68,337 | 85,671 | 17,334 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Fort Bend | 87,477 | 119,534 | 32,057 | $36.6 \%$ |
| Waller | 9,027 | 11,006 | 1,979 | $21.9 \%$ |
| Montgomery | 81,556 | 112,531 | 30,975 | $38.0 \%$ |
| Liberty | 21,760 | 24,419 | 2,659 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Chambers | 7,710 | 9,404 | 1,694 | $22.0 \%$ |
| Galveston | 89,143 | 97,632 | 8,489 | $9.5 \%$ |
| Total | $1,487,755$ | $1,700,599$ | 212,844 | $14.3 \%$ |

Source: Trip Generation Data for 1990 and 1995 prepared by H-GAC

Figure 2.1
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (Eight Counties)


Source: H-GAC
Figure 2.2

H-GAC Zone Structure



Source: H-GAC
Employment for the eight county region increased comparably with population growth, 18.5 percent overall (Table 2.3). Harris County gained over 300,000 additional jobs (a 19 percent
increase), while Fort Bend County employment grew more than 58 percent (over 42,000 jobs).

Table 2.3
County Employment for 1995 and 2003

| County | 1995 Employment | 2003 Employment | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Brazoria | 74,327 | 81,727 | 10.1 |
| Chambers | 7,505 | 7,612 | 1.4 |
| Fort Bend | 72,804 | 115,553 | 58.7 |
| Galveston | 92,566 | 81,236 | -12.2 |
| Harris | $1,687,630$ | $2,010,409$ | 19.2 |
| Liberty | 15,744 | 15,193 | -3.5 |
| Montgomery | 70,276 | 85,638 | 21.9 |
| Waller | 9,577 | 7,612 | -20.5 |
|  |  |  | 18.5 |
| Total | $2,030,429$ | $2,405,613$ |  |

Source: H-GAC Trip Generation Input Data for 1995 \& 2002

### 2.4 Growth in Activity Centers Between 1995 and 2002/2003

Table 2.4 summarizes the household estimates for the four major activity centers. The Houston CBD showed a very high increase in households, more than tripling. The Texas Medical Center and Greenway Plaza centers experienced healthy increases, as well. The Uptown/Galleria area saw only a minor increase in the number of households between 1995 and 2002.

Table 2.4
Major Activity Center Households for 1995 and 2002

| Major Activity <br> Center | 1995 Households | 2002 Households | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| CBD | 389 | 1,257 | 323.1 |
| Texas Medical Center | 853 | 969 | 13.6 |
| Greenway Plaza | 6,098 | 7,437 | 22.0 |
| Uptown/Galleria | 8,423 | 8,705 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  |  |

Source: H-GAC Trip Generation Input data for 1995 \& 2002

### 2.5 Cost Data

### 2.5.1 Auto Operating Costs

Auto operating cost is an input to the mode choice model and is used by the model in establishing the costs for the auto-related choice paths available in the roadway network. This cost reflects costs that are assumed be variable costs including gas, oil, tires and maintenance. As part of the 2002 validation, auto operating costs were updated to a year 2002 value. This values was then converted to 1985 dollars to be consistent with the manner in which the mode choice model was calibrated. According to the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), auto operating cost in 2002 was 11.80 cents per mile. When deflated to 1985 dollars using the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) National CPI data, the year 2002 cost in 1985 dollars is 7.06 cents.

### 2.5.2 Toll Costs

Toll costs are used in the mode choice model in the development of costs paths for the autorelated modal choices of the mode choice model. For toll facilities that existed in the Year 2002, the toll costs is assigned to the link in the network that represents the location where the toll is actually collected. Table 2.5 lists the Year 2002 toll costs in 1985 dollars.

Table 2.5
Year 2002 Toll Costs

| Location | 2002 Toll Cost <br> 1985 Dollars |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hardy - North Plaza | 0.47 |
| Hardy - FM 1960 Ramp | 0.41 |
| Hardy - Richey Ramp | 0.28 |
| Hardy - Rankin Ramp | 0.14 |
| Hardy - South Plaza | 0.48 |
| Hardy - Bush IAH Ramp | 0.28 |
| Hardy - Greens Road Ramp | 0.14 |
| Hardy - Aldine Mail Ramp | 0.41 |
| Hardy - Little York Ramp | 0.28 |
| Hardy - Tidwell Ramp | 0.17 |
| Sam Houston North Plaza | 0.48 |
| Sam Houston North - SH 249 Ramp | 0.41 |
| Sam Houston North - North Gessner Ramp | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston North - Fallbrook Ramp | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston Central - West Road Ramp | 0.14 |
| Sam Houston Central Plaza | 0.48 |
| Sam Houston Central - Clay Road Ramp | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston Central - Hammerly Ramp | 0.14 |
| Sam Houston Southwest Plaza | 0.48 |
| Sam Houston Southwest - South Main (90-A) | 0.14 |
| Sam Houston Southwest - Hillcroft | 0.19 |
| Sam Houston Southwest - West Fuqua | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston Southwest - Almeda | 0.41 |
| Sam Houston South Plaza | 0.48 |
| Sam Houston South - Deerwood | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston South - Briar Forest | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston South - Westheimer | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston South - Bellaire | 0.14 |
| Sam Houston South - Beltway 8 | 0.19 |
| Sam Houston Southeast Plaza | 0.48 |
| Sam Houston Southeast - Cullen | 0.14 |
| Sam Houston Southeast - Wayside | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston Southeast - Telephone Rd | 0.19 |
| Sam Houston Southeast - Monroe | 0.14 |
| Sam Houston East Plaza | 0.50 |
| Sam Houston East - Fairmont Parkway | 0.41 |
| Sam Houston East - Spencer Highway | 0.28 |
| Sam Houston East - Red Bluff | 0.14 |
| Source: H-GAC |  |
|  |  |

### 2.5.3 Transit Fares

Year 2001 transit fares were used as transit fare inputs to 2002 validation. The 2001 fares were deflated to 1985 dollars. Table 2.6 presents the year 2001 transit fares, by service type, in 1985 dollars.

Table 2.6
Year 2001 Transit Fares
In 1985 Dollars

| Local Bus | 26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Express Bus | 34 |
| Commuter |  |
| Bus |  |
| < 5 Miles | 68.29 |
| 5-10 miles | 92.09 |
| 11-15 miles | 115.89 |
| > 15 Miles | 139.69 |

Source: METRO

### 2.5.4 Parking Costs

Parking costs have been shown to have a significant effect on transit ridership levels and must be treated carefully. This variable is defined as an estimate of the actual (or average) out-of-pocket cost paid on a daily basis per vehicle. Table 2.7 summarizes the estimated parking costs used at the four major activity centers, including the Houston CBD, Greenway Plaza, Texas Medical Center, and Uptown/Galleria.

Table 2.7
Parking Costs for Activity Centers

| Activity Center | Range of Costs | Average Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Houston CBD | $\$ 0.29-\$ 6.73$ | $\$ 2.21$ |
| Greenway Plaza | $\$ 0.03-\$ 1.30$ | $\$ 0.64$ |
| Texas Medical Center | $\$ 1.09-\$ 2.06$ | $\$ 1.65$ |
| Uptown/Galleria | $\$ 0.07-\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.10$ |

Source: Houston METRO

### 3.0 Data Preparation and Transportation Network Development

Calibration and validation of the regional model was dependent upon observed travel behavior 1994 household, work place, commercial vehicle and external station travel surveys as well as a 1995 On-Board Transit Survey.

### 3.1 1994/1995 Travel Surveys

In 1994, H-GAC conducted a household travel survey for the Houston Metropolitan Area. The survey obtained general household and person data as well as specific activity-based trip information. Complete survey responses were obtained from 2,394 households, which generated in excess of 23,000 individual trip records.

The workplace travel survey involved the collection of travel data from employees and nonemployees at 332 workplaces in the H-GAC region. Travel data was collected for over 5,000 employees and nearly 9,000 non-employees.

Surveying of external travel was performed at 24 of the 77 roadway crossings of the H-GAC region. The locations among the 77 were randomly selected and interviewed to determine vehicle destination.

### 3.2 Estimation of Highway Supply Characteristics

Highway supply characteristics that are required by the travel forecasting procedures include estimation of the highway level of service (LOS)(i.e., travel speed or time), parking costs, transit fares, terminal times, and auto operating costs.

The 2002 base year highway network includes key operational features for approximately 7,300 center-line miles of roadways in the Houston-Galveston TMA, and consists of nearly 17,000 roadway links (two-way, excluding centroid connectors). Each link's physical and operating characteristics are described in a link data record. The Base Year 2002 network was constructed from the model calibration year 1995 network based on completed project information and input from local transportation agencies. Access to the highway network is provided by connecting links referred to as centroid connectors, which link internal TAZ centroids to nodes (points) in the highway network. These centroid connectors represent access to collectors, arterials, and other roadway facilities via local streets. The physical and operational characteristics represented with centroid connectors reflect zone size, proximity to the regional highway network, and the travel characteristics of local roadway facilities, which have the function of providing access to land uses within zones.

Data on physical attributes of the network, including roadway length, number of lanes, and median access type (divided or undivided) as well as operational characteristics such as
average weekday traffic count and direction (one-way/two-way) were taken from the Roadway Inventory. Link data items such as facility type classification, 24 -hour speed, and 24 -hour capacity are derived either from the above information or from a vehicle trip assignment. Highway link facility types include nearly 40 different classifications. These are listed in Table 3.1 along with the link type codes for transit and HOV access.

### 3.2.1 Link Capacity

Capacity and speed are the two most critical inputs into the highway network. Capacity values accorded to all roadway links represent Level of Service (LOS) E or maximum capacity based on the Highway Capacity Manual.

The following formula provided the basis for calculation of 24-hour link capacities:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{24}=\frac{(\mathrm{PHPD}+\mathrm{PHNP})}{\mathrm{K}}
$$

Where: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \quad=$ average daily traffic, or 24 -hour capacity;
PHPD = capacity in the peak direction during the peak hour;
PHNP = capacity in the non-peak direction during the peak hour;
K = design hourly volume as a percent of ADT.

The peak hour / peak direction and peak hour / non-peak direction capacities are then calculated as a function of the hourly saturation flow rate:

$$
\mathrm{PHPD}=\frac{\mathrm{CS} \times \frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{C}} \times \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{C}} \times \mathrm{PHF} \times \mathrm{U} \times \frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}}{1+\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-1\right)\right)}+\mathrm{LTVP}
$$

Where:CS = saturation flow rate ( 2,150 vehicles/hour/lane for freeways, 1,800 for arterials);
G/C = percent of green time at signalized intersections (100 percent for freeways);
V/C = ratio of volume in the peak 15 minutes to capacity;
PHF = peak hour factor ( V (volume) in highest hour / $4 \times \mathrm{V}$ in the peak 15 minutes);
$\mathrm{U} \quad=$ lane utilization factor;
L = number of lanes;
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ percent of trucks;
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ truck equivalency factor; and
LTVP = left turn volume in the peak hour and peak direction.

Table 3.1
Link Type Classification Codes

## Code

Description

| 0 | Centroid Connector |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Radial freeways without frontage roads |
| 2 | Radial freeways with frontage roads |
| 3 | Circumferential freeways without frontage roads |
| 4 | Circumferential freeways with frontage roads |
| 5 | Radial tollways without frontage roads |
| 6 | Radial tollways with frontage roads |
| 7 | Circumferential tollways without frontage roads |
| 8 | Circumferential tollways with frontage roads |
| 9 | Principal arterials with some grade separations |
| 10 | Principal arterials - divided |
| 11 | Principal arterials - undivided |
| 12 | Other arterials - divided |
| 13 | Other arterials - undivided |
| 14 | One-way pairs |
| 15 | One-way facilities |
| 16 | Major Collectors |
| 17 | Minor Collectors |
| 18 | Ferries |
| 19 | Saturated arterials |
| 20 | HOV/transitways (barrier-separated) |
| 21 | HOV ramps - bus only |
| 22 | Transfers from park-and-ride (PNR) to transit stop |
| 23 | Transfers from local bus to commuter/express bus |
| 24 | Transfers from walk access node to transit stop |
| 25 | Drive-access connectors |
| 26 | Bus only: from street to transit center (TC) |
| 27 | HOV-only slip ramps |
| 28 | Transfer from pseudo-PNR to transit stop |
| 29 | HOV terminal ramps |
| 30 | Rail |
| 40 | High-Occupancy Toll (HOT) Lane |
| 41 | HOT ramp to PNR/TC |
| 47 | HOT slip ramp |
| 49 | HOT ramp |
| 50 | Freeway frontage road |
| 51 | Tollway frontage road |
| 52 | Freeway/tollway ramps to/from frontage roads |
| 53 | Freeway/tollway direct connector (DC) ramps |
| 60 | Diamond lane (non-barrier separated HOV lane) |

Application of peak hour directionality factors to estimates of peak hour / peak direction volumes provides peak hour / non-peak directional volumes:

PHNP $=P H P D \times \frac{1-D}{D}$
Where:D = percent of peak hour traffic in the peak direction.

### 3.2.2 Link Speeds \& Automobile Travel Times

Link speed is used in trip distribution and as the input speed for the initial iteration in traffic assignment. The values of these link characteristics were carefully developed and closely reviewed during the speed model calibration process. Two speed values are developed for all roadway links: a 24 -hour speed and a peak hour speed.

The 24-hour link speed reflects an average daily speed for a given roadway facility type within a given area. Reasonable speed values were determined by testing values through comparisons to travel time contours developed from observed travel times speeds.

After link speeds and capacities have been developed, they are compiled into a look-up table used for all roadway facility types except HOV / transitways. The look-up table (Table 3.2) provides classifications of speed and capacity by facility type and number of lanes. The table is not used for centroid connectors.

Table 3.2
Speed and Capacity Look-Up Table

|  |  | Area Type 1 |  | Area Type 2 |  | Area Type 3 |  | Area Type 4 |  | Area Type 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FT | Lanes | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed |
| 1 | 4 | 123,500 | 48 | 128,000 | 48 | 119,500 | 51 | 106,500 | 54 | 69,000 | 59 |
| 1 | 6 | 185,500 | 48 | 191,500 | 48 | 179,500 | 51 | 159,500 | 54 | 103,000 | 59 |
| 1 | 8 | 247,500 | 48 | 255,500 | 48 | 239,500 | 51 | 212,500 | 54 | 137,500 | 59 |
| 1 | 10 | 309,500 | 48 | 319,500 | 48 | 299,500 | 51 | 266,000 | 54 | 172,000 | 59 |
| 1 | 12 | 371,000 | 48 | 383,500 | 48 | 359,000 | 51 | 319,000 | 54 |  |  |
| 1 | 14 | 433,000 | 48 | 447,500 | 48 | 419,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16 | 495,000 | 48 | 511,000 | 48 | 479,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | 139,500 | 48 | 144,000 | 48 | 135,500 | 51 | 122,500 | 54 | 85,000 | 59 |
| 2 | 6 | 201,500 | 48 | 207,500 | 48 | 195,500 | 51 | 175,500 | 54 | 119,000 | 59 |
| 2 | 8 | 263,500 | 48 | 271,500 | 48 | 255,500 | 51 | 228,500 | 54 | 153,500 | 59 |
| 2 | 10 | 325,500 | 48 | 335,500 | 48 | 315,500 | 51 | 282,000 | 54 | 188,000 | 59 |
| 2 | 12 | 387,000 | 48 | 399,500 | 48 | 375,000 | 51 | 335,000 | 54 |  |  |
| 2 | 14 | 449,000 | 48 | 463,500 | 48 | 435,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 16 | 511,000 | 48 | 527,000 | 48 | 495,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 117,000 | 48 | 130,000 | 48 | 125,500 | 51 | 109,500 | 54 | 78,000 | 59 |
| 3 | 6 | 176,000 | 48 | 195,500 | 48 | 188,000 | 51 | 164,000 | 54 | 117,500 | 59 |
| 3 | 8 | 234,500 | 48 | 260,500 | 48 | 251,000 | 51 | 218,500 | 54 | 156,500 | 59 |
| 3 | 10 | 293,000 | 48 | 325,500 | 48 | 313,500 | 51 | 273,000 | 54 | 195,500 | 59 |
| 3 | 12 | 351,500 | 48 | 390,500 | 48 | 376,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 14 | 410,500 | 48 | 456,000 | 48 | 439,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 16 | 469,000 | 48 | 521,000 | 48 | 501,500 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4 | 133,000 | 48 | 146,000 | 48 | 141,500 | 51 | 125,500 | 54 | 94,000 | 59 |
| 4 | 6 | 192,000 | 48 | 211,500 | 48 | 204,000 | 51 | 180,000 | 54 | 133,500 | 59 |


| 4 | 8 | 250,500 | 48 | 276,500 | 48 | 267,000 | 51 | 234,500 | 54 | 172,500 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 10 | 309,000 | 48 | 341,500 | 48 | 329,500 | 51 | 289,000 | 54 | 211,500 | 59 |
| 4 | 12 | 367,500 | 48 | 406,500 | 48 | 392,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 14 | 426,500 | 48 | 472,000 | 48 | 455,000 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 16 | 485,000 | 48 | 537,000 | 48 | 517,500 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4 | 79,000 | 55 | 78,000 | 55 | 73,000 | 58 | 68,000 | 61 | 58,000 | 65 |
| 5 | 6 | 119,000 | 55 | 117,000 | 55 | 109,000 | 58 | 102,000 | 61 | 86,000 | 65 |
| 5 | 8 | 158,000 | 55 | 156,000 | 55 | 146,000 | 58 | 136,000 | 61 | 115,000 | 65 |
| 5 | 10 | 198,000 | 55 | 195,000 | 55 | 182,000 | 58 | 171,000 | 61 | 144,000 | 65 |
| 5 | 12 | 238,000 | 55 | 233,000 | 55 | 218,000 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 14 | 277,000 | 55 | 272,000 | 55 | 255,000 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 16 | 317,000 | 55 | 311,000 | 55 | 291,000 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 10 | 4 | 29,300 | 20 | 32,400 | 32 | 31,500 | 37 | 28,000 | 42 | 22,800 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 6 | 42,700 | 20 | 47,300 | 32 | 46,000 | 37 | 40,800 | 42 | 33,200 | 55 |
| 10 | 8 | 56,900 | 20 | 63,100 | 32 | 61,300 | 37 | 54,400 | 42 | 44,300 | 55 |
| 10 | 10 |  |  | 78,900 | 32 | 76,700 | 37 | 68,000 | 42 | 55,400 | 55 |
| 10 | 12 |  |  | 16,200 | 32 | 14,400 | 37 | 11,700 | 42 | 66,500 | 55 |
| 11 | 2 | 13,200 | 20 | 15,400 | 32 | 14,900 | 37 | 13,300 | 42 | 10,800 | 55 |
| 11 | 4 | 25,300 | 20 | 29,600 | 32 | 28,700 | 37 | 25,500 | 42 | 20,800 | 55 |
| 11 | 6 | 36,600 | 20 | 42,700 | 32 | 41,500 | 37 | 36,900 | 42 | 30,000 | 55 |
| 11 | 8 | 48,200 | 20 | 56,300 | 32 | 54,700 | 37 | 48,600 | 42 | 39,600 | 55 |
| 11 | 10 |  |  | 69,200 | 32 | 67,300 | 37 | 59,800 | 42 | 48,800 | 55 |
| 11 | 12 |  |  | 81,800 | 32 | 79,500 | 37 | 70,600 | 42 | 57,700 | 55 |
|  |  | Area Type 1 |  | Area Type 2 |  | Area Type 3 |  | Area Type 4 |  | Area Type 5 |  |
| FT | Lanes | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed | Capacity | Speed |
| 12 | 2 | 13,500 | 20 | 16,200 | 32 | 14,600 | 36 | 12,500 | 40 | 10,500 | 51 |
| 12 | 4 | 26,300 | 20 | 31,500 | 32 | 28,400 | 36 | 24,400 | 40 | 20,500 | 51 |
| 12 | 6 | 38,400 | 20 | 45,900 | 32 | 41,500 | 36 | 35,600 | 40 | 29,900 | 51 |
| 12 | 8 | 51,200 | 20 | 61,300 | 32 | 55,300 | 36 | 47,400 | 40 | 39,900 | 51 |
| 12 | 10 |  |  | 76,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 12 |  |  | 91,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2 | 12,500 | 20 | 15,100 | 31 | 13,600 | 35 | 11,700 | 39 | 10,200 | 50 |
| 13 | 4 | 24,100 | 20 | 29,000 | 31 | 26,200 | 35 | 22,500 | 39 | 19,500 | 50 |
| 13 | 6 | 34,700 | 20 | 41,900 | 31 | 37,900 | 35 | 32,500 | 39 | 28,200 | 50 |
| 13 | 8 | 45,800 | 20 | 55,200 | 31 | 49,900 | 35 | 42,800 | 39 | 37,200 | 50 |
| 13 | 10 |  |  | 67,900 | 31 | 61,400 | 35 | 52,700 | 39 | 45,800 | 50 |
| 13 | 12 |  |  | 80,200 | 31 | 72,500 | 35 | 62,200 | 39 | 54,100 | 50 |
| 14 | 2 | 14,800 | 20 | 17,300 | 34 | 16,400 | 38 | 14,500 | 41 | 11,900 | 55 |
| 14 | 4 | 29,500 | 20 | 34,600 | 34 | 32,800 | 38 | 29,000 | 41 | 23,900 | 55 |
| 14 | 6 | 43,300 | 20 | 50,700 | 34 | 48,000 | 38 | 42,400 | 41 | 35,000 | 55 |
| 14 | 8 | 56,300 | 20 | 66,000 | 34 | 62,500 | 38 | 55,200 | 41 | 45,500 | 55 |
| 14 | 10 | 70,400 | 20 | 82,500 | 34 | 78,100 | 38 | 69,000 | 41 | 56,900 | 55 |
| 14 | 12 | 84,500 | 20 | 99,000 | 34 | 93,700 | 38 | 82,800 | 41 | 68,300 | 55 |
| 14 | 14 | 98,600 | 20 | 115,500 | 34 | 109,300 | 38 | 96,600 | 41 | 79,700 | 55 |
| 14 | 16 | 112,600 | 20 | 132,000 | 34 | 124,900 | 38 | 110,400 | 41 | 91,100 | 55 |
| 15 | 1 | 7,400 | 20 | 8,700 | 34 | 8,200 | 38 | 7,200 | 41 | 6,000 | 55 |
| 15 | 2 | 14,800 | 20 | 17,300 | 34 | 16,400 | 38 | 14,500 | 41 | 11,900 | 55 |
| 15 | 3 | 21,600 | 20 | 25,400 | 34 | 24,000 | 38 | 21,200 | 41 | 17,500 | 55 |
| 15 | 4 | 28,200 | 20 | 33,000 | 34 | 31,200 | 38 | 27,600 | 41 | 22,800 | 55 |
| 15 | 5 | 35,200 | 20 | 41,300 | 34 | 39,000 | 38 | 34,500 | 41 | 28,500 | 55 |
| 15 | 6 | 42,200 | 20 | 49,500 | 34 | 46,800 | 38 | 41,400 | 41 | 34,100 | 55 |
| 15 | 7 | 49,300 | 20 | 57,800 | 34 | 54,700 | 38 | 48,300 | 41 | 39,800 | 55 |
| 15 | 8 | 56,300 | 20 | 66,000 | 34 | 62,500 | 38 | 55,200 | 41 | 45,500 | 55 |
| 16 | 2 | 12,500 | 19 | 14,600 | 31 | 13,200 | 35 | 11,400 | 38 | 8,800 | 49 |
| 16 | 4 | 24,100 | 19 | 28,200 | 31 | 25,500 | 35 | 21,800 | 38 | 16,900 | 49 |
| 16 | 6 | 34,700 | 19 | 40,600 | 31 | 36,800 | 35 | 31,600 | 38 | 24,400 | 49 |



Source: H-GAC

Time-of-day highway speeds that are used to develop automobile travel times are based on procedures adapted from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology. These procedures differ somewhat between how freeway and non-freeway link speeds are estimated. Congested freeway speed is a function of free-flow speed (a function of speed limit and area type), speed at capacity (LOS E), and the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio for $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios up to 1.0. For v/c ratios greater than 1.0, which represents saturated (LOS F) conditions, speed is estimated using a variant of the BPR function, with a multiplicative factor of 0.15 and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ raised to the fourth power.

Procedures outlined in the HCM are used to estimate congested speeds on arterial or collector links. Congested arterial/collector link speed is a function of free-flow speed (a function of speed limit and area type), average intersection delay, signal spacing (segment), and the ratio of segment running time per mile to free-flow-speed running time per mile, where v/c ratios are 1.0 or less. For saturated (LOS F) conditions with v/c ratios greater than 1.0, speed is estimated using a variant of the BPR function, with a multiplicative factor of 0.15 and v/c raised to the second power.

Peak period speeds are derived from a peak period equilibrium assignment. Since capacities used during the equilibrium assignment represent LOS E, the resulting link's V/C ratio can then be applied to the speed model to develop a peak hour speed. In other words, the traffic assignment results are post-processed to compute a reliable speed based on the assigned V/C ratio.

### 3.2.3 Auto Network Centroid Connectors

Speeds on centroid connectors are derived as a function of link length and zonal area type to reflect diversity in zone size, network density, and local street operational speeds. As an example, centroid connectors of less than one-tenth mile within the Houston CBD are assigned a speed of eleven miles per hour, which is considered the lowest practical facility speed that would not unduly penalize travel in that area.

CBD centroid connector speed is increased based on link length (for links less than one-tenth mile) as follows:

Travel Time (minutes) $=(6.0$ * link distance $)$
Travel Speed = $60 /$ (Travel Time / link distance)
For CBD centroid connectors longer than 0.10 miles, the speed is calculated as follows:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.6+4$ * (link distance -0.1) $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time / link distance)
As the area changes from CBD to urban to suburban, etc., centroid connector speeds increase more rapidly with increasing distance. This is based on the premise that as area type changes from denser areas (CBD) to less dense areas (suburban) zone sizes will increase accordingly. Thus, each of the other four area types have a unique set of equations for determining centroid connector speeds:

## Area Type 2 - Urban

when link distance $=0.10$ miles or less:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(4.0 *$ link distance $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance $>0.10$ miles and $<=0.25$ miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.4+3$ * (link distance -0.1$)$ )
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance $)$
when link distance $>0.25$ miles:

Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.85+2.4$ * (link distance -0.25$)$ )
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time / link distance)

## Area Type 3 - Suburban

when link distance $=0.10$ miles or less:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(4.0$ * link distance $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance $)$
when link distance $>0.10$ miles and <= 0.25 miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.4+3$ * (link distance - 0.1) $)$
Travel Speed = 60 / (Travel Time / link distance)
when link distance $>0.25$ miles and <= 0.50 miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.85+2.4$ * (link distance -0.25$)$ )
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance > 0.50 miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(1.45+2.0$ * (link distance - 0.5) $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance $)$

## Area Type 4 - Fringe Suburban

when link distance $=0.10$ miles or less:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(3.5$ * link distance $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time / link distance)
when link distance $>0.10$ miles and $<=0.25$ miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.35+2.7$ * (link distance -0.1$))$
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time / link distance)
when link distance $>0.25$ miles and $<=0.50$ miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.755+2.2$ * (link distance -0.25$))$
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance $>0.50$ miles and $<=0.75$ miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(1.305+1.8570$ * (link distance -0.5$)$ )
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance $>0.75$ miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(1.76925+1.714$ * (link distance -0.75$)$ )
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time / link distance)

## Area Type 5 - Rural

when link distance $=0.10$ miles or less:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(3.0$ * link distance $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance $)$
when link distance $>0.10$ miles and $<=0.25$ miles:

Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.30+2.4$ * (link distance -0.1$))$
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance > 0.25 miles and <= 0.50 miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.66+2.0$ * (link distance -0.25$)$ )
Travel Speed $=60 /($ Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance $>0.50$ miles and $<=0.75$ miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(0.96+1.714$ * (link distance - 0.5) $)$
Travel Speed = $60 /$ (Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance $>0.75$ miles and $<=1.0$ mile:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(1.3885+1.5$ * (link distance - 0.75) $)$
Travel Speed = $60 /$ (Travel Time $/$ link distance)
when link distance > 1.0 mile and <= 1.5 miles:
Travel Time (minutes) $=(1.7035+1.333$ * (link distance -1.0) $)$
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time $/$ link distance)
For rural zones exceeding 1.5 miles, link speeds are calculated as follows:
Travel Time (minutes) $=\left(2.37+1.2^{*}(\right.$ link distance -1.5$\left.)\right)$
Travel Speed $=60 /$ (Travel Time $/$ link distance)
Thus, an urban zone may have a link distance of 1.0 mile yielding a speed of 22.6 miles per hour, while a suburban zone of 1.0 mile has a speed of 41.4 miles per hour. A representative table (Table 3.3) of centroid connector speeds for a distance of one mile would appear as follows:

Table 3.3
Centroid Connector Speeds

| Area Type | Distance (miles) | Speed (mph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBD | 1.0 | 14.3 |
| Urban | 1.0 | 22.6 |
| Suburban | 1.0 | 24.5 |
| Fringe Suburban | 1.0 | 27.3 |
| Rural | 1.0 | 32.6 |

Source: H-GAC

### 3.2.4 HOV Facilities

In 2002, barrier-separated HOV lanes existed in the following freeway corridors; these are:

- Katy Freeway
- Northwest Freeway
- North Freeway
- Eastex Freeway
- Gulf Freeway
- Southwest Freeway

Additionally, non-barrier separated HOV lanes or Diamond lanes existed in these freeway corridors

- Katy Freeway
- Southwest Freeway

Unique links are included in the highway network to represent each of the HOV facilities including ramps and connector links to park-and-rides and transit centers.

### 3.2.5 Toll Road Facilities

In the 2002 network, toll roads are coded comparably to any freeway link. The actual toll imposed on a vehicle is stored in a user-specified link field and accumulated into a separate toll matrix during the assignment process. Separate toll plaza links are included in the network specifically for this purpose. There are two freeways currently designated as toll roads; these are:

- Hardy Toll Road
- Sam Houston Tollway

There is an additional network link representing the Houston Ship Channel Bridge which also charges a toll.

### 3.2.6 Auto Modes

In emme/2, all network links contain one or more single letter identifiers for each mode allowed to traverse that link. In order to remain consistent with the choice structure of the mode choice model and facilitate use of the multi-class assignment within emme/2, the following six codes were used in the base or roadway network:

- I SOV non-toll
- $m$ SOV toll
- h 2-person non-toll
- I 2-person toll
- j 3+ person non-toll
- n 3+ person toll


### 3.2.7 Additional Highway Characteristics

Highway terminal time represents the time required to walk from a selected parking space to the ultimate destination of a trip. Historically, terminal time has been determined synthetically by relating the density of employment to the magnitude of the value, that is, the greater the employment density, the higher the value of terminal time. This underlying concept is supported by the fact that as employment density increases, parking supply typically decreases, costs influenced by demand increase, and trip makers begin to "trade-off" walking distance with the availability and price of parking. Currently, terminal times vary from six minutes in the CBD to a low of two minutes in residential areas.

Auto operating costs are an estimate of the out-of-pocket cost paid to operate a private vehicle on a per-mile basis. Cost components included in this variable are based upon fuel cost and fuel economy plus tire, oil, and general maintenance costs. Fixed elements of cost, such as depreciation and insurance costs, are not considered out-of-pocket costs.

### 3.3 Estimation of Transit Supply Characteristics

A reflection of the level-of-service experienced by a potential transit user is constructed through development of a computerized network representation of the system of routes and service levels. This computer-coded transit network must be an accurate representation of the individual bus routes, fixed guideway lines, headways, and travel times that define that service. Consistency in representation methods across all alternatives is essential to ensure that differences in travel times between those alternatives are accurate portrayals of service level differences, and not simply differences in coding conventions.

Reflection of the choice of "path" or route(s) selected between TAZ's within the network is an equally important consideration in properly determining transit supply characteristics. The algorithm which applies the "path-building" step of the process must examine all the possible ways in which a transit user could travel on one or more transit lines between each pair of TAZ's. This algorithm selects the path that involves the minimum inconvenience in terms of invehicle time, waiting, transferring, and accessing the service.

### 3.3.1 Transit Routes and Coded Lines

A route in the transit system is typically a set or series of services that operate generally in the same area and over the same streets, but which may offer variations in service origination or termination. The path-building algorithm, however, must be aware of the specific service level options available to each TAZ zone pair, which, therefore, necessitates the representation of each of the variations within a route by means of a separately coded line. Similarly, not all routes or subroutes operate during the course of the entire day. Express and Commuter routes, in particular, generally operate only during the morning and afternoon peak periods. In order to properly reflect these differences, separate peak and base networks are constructed for use in the travel forecasting process.

A trade-off exists between the precision of representation of individual route variations actually operated and the transit service levels perceived by transit users. This tradeoff stems from the manner in which the path-building algorithm measures the frequency of service between boarding and alighting locations. The algorithm recognizes that several lines operating in the same pattern offer a combined frequency of service that is the summation of the frequencies on each individual line. In contrast to other modeling software packages where this recognition occurs only when the lines follow exactly the same routing, emme/2 allows combined service computation for coded transit network lines that comprise variations in routing or termini.

### 3.3.2 Headway Calculation

Specification of service frequency for each coded line is an extremely important aspect of the overall network coding process. As outlined above, service is differentiated both by delineation of individual lines (within routes) and also by time period (peak and base). The determination or calculation of a headway value for each line within a time period is related directly to the actual number of bus trips operated.

In the case of the base or off-peak period, the headway is simply the number of hours in the mid-day period divided by the total number of trips provided on that line during mid-day.

Unlike base period service, which tends to be fairly evenly distributed over the entire period, peak service may vary substantially within the peak period. Express lines, for example, may provide relatively few bus trips over the entire period, but may concentrate these trips within a
relatively small time interval. Assuming that these trips are appropriately targeted to the specific demand for peak period service, the perceived headway by riders (who will become familiar with the scheduling of the service) will be significantly better than the value implied by using a computation method identical to that for base period service. Therefore, peak headway calculations must be based upon the peak hour of service offered in the peak period, with an appropriate peak hour headway calculated. Table 3.4 summarizes the coded peak and base period headways for each of the lines coded in the transit network.

This approach to coding produces headway values appropriate for the ridership forecasting process, but typically overestimates peak resource requirements: vehicles, vehicle-hours, and vehicle-miles. A separate analysis of resource requirements is conducted in a post-processing environment to resolve this inconsistency.

### 3.3.3 Transit Travel Times

travel times are based on: automobile travel times, type of transit service (local, limited, express, etc.), and bus location by sector. The running time of the transit lines over all the network links in each line is calculated using a series of travel time functions (TTF) based on these parameters. Each TTF is referenced with a designated number. Three basic types of TTFs are included in the model:
I. Simple assumed speed
II. Auto speed multiplied by an auto-to-transit time factor
III. Congested speed estimation using BPR function, based on free-flow transit speed compared to minimum transit speed.

Type I TTFs are coded with an assumed speed, which is constant across all links. Type II TTFs apply a multiplicative factor to auto time to relate transit link travel time to the corresponding auto travel time. Type III TTFs estimate congested-speed travel time based on free-flow transit travel time and the v/c ratio of the link. The general form of Type III TTFs is the BPR function, that is:

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ff}} \times\left(1+\alpha \times(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c})^{4}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ff}}$ is free-flow transit travel time, and $\alpha$ is a multiplicative factor. For all but two TTFs, $\alpha$ is 0.10. For those two TTFs representing nonstop bus operations outside the CBD, $\alpha$ is 0.15 . Congested-speed travel time is capped against a maximum time associated with a given minimum transit speed and the resulting time is compared to a minimum time representing auto time on the same link. All three TTFs are used during the peak period, while only Types I and II are used during the off-peak period.

A summary of the peak travel time functions are presented in Table 3.5.

Table 3.4
2002 Transit Line Coded Headways

| Route | Name | Peak Headway | Base Headway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (minutes) | (minutes) |
| 1a | Hospital | 15 | 18 |
| 2a | Bellaire-Mission Ben | 10 | 30 |
| 2b | Bellaire-Westchase | 30 | 30 |
| 2c | Bellaire-7600 Turn B | 30 | 60 |
| 3 a | Langley LTD./West Gr | 15 | 30 |
| 4a | Beechnut/Jensen Via | 8 | 30 |
| 4b | Beechnut-Jensen W.Loop | 16 | 60 |
| 5 a | Kashmere Gardens/Sou | 15 | 15 |
| 5b | Kashmere Gardens/GSH | 15 | 15 |
| 8a | W. Bellfort-CBD | 10 | 15 |
| 8b | N.Main/S.Main-Willow | 20 | 30 |
| 11a | Nance/Almeda | 25 | 35 |
| 15a | Hiram Clark Transit | 8 | 15 |
| 15b | Hiram-Orem/Fulton | 16 | 15 |
| 17a | Tanglewood/Gulfton | 20 | 20 |
| 18a | Kirby Lake 610-West | 32 | 40 |
| 19a | Wilcrest Crosstown | 15 | 45 |
| 20a | Canal/Long Point-Mem | 28 | 40 |
| 20b | Canal/Long Point-Neu | 28 | 40 |
| 20c | CBD-Long Point-Memor | 120 | 40 |
| 23a | Crosstimbers-xtown | 27 | 27 |
| 25a | Rich N.Line W.Chase | 24 | 60 |
| 25b | Rich N.Line Sharptwn | 24 | 60 |
| 26a | Outer Loop-Clockwise | 20 | 30 |
| 26b | Outer Loop-Counter | 20 | 30 |
| 29a | SU/UH Hirsch Xtown | 18 | 18 |
| 30a | Galena Port-CullenVF | 60 | 30 |
| 30b | Clinton-Cullen FWY | 40 | 30 |
| 30c | Denver Harbor-Cullen | 40 | 30 |
| 33a | P.Oak Xtown Ridgmont | 25 | 25 |
| 33b | Post Oak-W. Fuqua | 25 | 25 |
| 34a | Montrose Xtown | 25 | 45 |
| 35a | Fairview-2000/Leelan | 30 | 45 |
| 36a | Kempwood-9800 CBD vi | 30 | 60 |
| 36b | Kempwood-Carverdale | 30 | 60 |
| 37a | El Sol Xtown | 35 | 35 |
| 40a | Pecore NW.Mall-Richy | 30 | 60 |
| 40b | Pecore AHTC-Howard | 30 | 60 |
| 40c | Howard-AHTC Via FWY | 60 | 60 |
| 40d | Richey-CBD | 60 | 60 |
| 41a | Gulf Medows Circ | 40 | 40 |
| 42a | Holmn Xtwn-Dnvr Hbr | 30 | 30 |
| 42b | Holman-EWTC | 30 | 30 |
| 43a | Pinemont Plaza | 30 | 55 |
| 44a | Acr Home-Compaq | 20 | 30 |
| 44b | Stallings-CBD | 40 | 60 |
| 45a | Tedwell Xtown | 20 | 40 |
| 46a | Gessener Xtown | 12 | 30 |


| 47a | Hillcroft Xtown | 20 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48a | Navig-Gulfgate/W. Da | 60 | 60 |
| 48b | Navig-Plsntvil/W. Da | 30 | 60 |
| 49a | Chimney Rock Xtown | 40 | 50 |
| 50a | Heights CBD-FWY | 60 | 60 |
| 50b | Heights Rosl-Airport | 40 | 40 |
| 50c | Heights Hollister-Do | 50 | 50 |
| 50e | Heights 4200-Airport | 120 | 120 |
| 50f | Heights 4200-Dock | 90 | 90 |
| 52a | Hirsch/Scott-8000 | 60 | 60 |
| 52b | Hirsch/Scott | 40 | 40 |
| 52c | Scott-CBD via FWY | 40 | 40 |
| 53a | Westheimer Briar For | 18 | 50 |
| 53b | Westheimer-W. Oaks | 18 | -- |
| 54a | Hollyvale Circ | 30 | 50 |
| 56a | Airline Greens FWY | 12 | 15 |
| 58a | Hammerly-CBD FWY | 60 | 60 |
| 58b | Hammerly-NWTC | 20 | 60 |
| 60a | Hardy/S.MacGregor | 30 | 60 |
| 64a | Lincoln City Circ | 30 | 60 |
| 65a | Dairy Ash-Blue Bell | 40 | 40 |
| 65b | Dairy Ash-Sweetwater | 40 | 40 |
| 65c | D.A. FWY-Blue Bell | 90 | 90 |
| 65d | D.A. FWY-Sweetwater | 90 | 90 |
| 65 e | D.A. FWY-CBD | 80 | -- |
| 65f | D.A. Local-CBD | 20 | 20 |
| 67a | Dairy Ashford Xtown | 30 | 60 |
| 68a | Brays Bayou W. belt | 24 | 60 |
| 68b | Brays Bayou Meado | 24 | 60 |
| 70a | University | 45 | -- |
| 72a | Westview | 25 | 25 |
| 73a | Bellfort Xtown P.Oak | 30 | 40 |
| 73b | Bellfort Xtown TMC | 8 | 20 |
| 77a | Wayside-MLK | 22 | 60 |
| 77b | Homestead-MLK | 22 | 60 |
| 77c | MLK-CBD | 15 | 60 |
| 78a | Alabama Irvin 9800 | 40 | 60 |
| 78b | Irvin 9800-CBD | 15 | 60 |
| 79a | West Little York LTD | 35 | 35 |
| 80a | Lyons Dowling | 20 | 30 |
| 80b | Lyns-Keley/Dowlng-HK | 20 | 30 |
| 82a | Westheimer-Woodlake | 30 | 60 |
| 82b | Westheimer-Sharptown | 10 | 20 |
| 83a | Lee Road Circ | 30 | 50 |
| 84a | TC Jester Ltd | 20 | -- |
| 85a | Antoine-CBD via Wash | 40 | 60 |
| 85b | Antoine-CBD via l-10 | 8 | 60 |
| 86a | FM 1960 Circ-NHCC | 30 | 60 |
| 86b | FM 1960-Greenspoint | 30 | 60 |
| 87a | Yellowstone Circulat | 20 | 35 |
| 89a | South Park Circulato | 35 | 60 |
| 93a | NWTC-GWY Shuttle | 20 | -- |


| 97a | Settegate Shuttle | 60 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98a | Briargate | 35 | 35 |
| 101a | Airport | 15 | 50 |
| 102a | IAH-CBD Express | 12 | 40 |
| 102b | Greens-CBD | 60 | 60 |
| 108a | Vet-Memorial Express | 18 | 35 |
| 132a | Harwin Exp Mission | 15 | 50 |
| 132b | Harwin Exp Mission | 30 | 50 |
| 132c | Harwin Exp Cook RD | 30 | 60 |
| 137a | Northshore Exp | 20 | 40 |
| 163a | Foundren-Airport | 20 | 40 |
| 163b | Foundren-MC P\&R | 20 | 40 |
| 170a | Missouri City Exp | 10 | -- |
| 201a | N.Sheph P\&R-Cull CTR | 15 | -- |
| 201c | N.Sheph P\&R-Hous CTR | 25 | -- |
| 202a | Kuyk P\&R Houstn Ctr | 8 | 35 |
| 202b | Kuyk P\&R Houstn Ctr | 30 | -- |
| 205a | Kingwood P\&R | 10 | 40 |
| 205b | Kingwood-Houston Ctr | 30 | -- |
| 206a | Eastex P\&R | 12 | 40 |
| 212a | Seton Lake Via TC | 30 | -- |
| 212b | Seton Lake P\&R | 10 | -- |
| 214a | N.West Station P\&R | 14 | -- |
| 214b | N.West Station P\&R | 14 | 40 |
| 216a | W.Little York-Pine | 20 | -- |
| 216b | W.Little York-Pine | 20 | 40 |
| 221a | Kingland P\&R Kty/CBD | 5 | 45 |
| 221b | Kgld-Addi-NWTC P\&R | 30 | -- |
| 228a | Addicks P\&R | 8 | 45 |
| 236a | Maxey Rd P\&R | 16 | -- |
| 236b | Maxey Rd P\&R | 160 | -- |
| 244a | Monroe P\&R | 15 | 15 |
| 244b | Monroe P\&R EWTC | 60 | 50 |
| 246a | Bay Area P\&R | 10 | -- |
| 246b | Bay Area P\&R | 60 | -- |
| 246c | Bay Area P\&R EWTC | 45 | -- |
| 246d | Combined 245/246 P\&R | -- | 50 |
| 247a | Fuqua P\&R | 10 | -- |
| 247b | Fuqua P\&R EWTC | 20 | -- |
| 261a | West Loop P\&R | 10 | 50 |
| 261b | West Loop P\&R | 10 | -- |
| 262a | Alief-W.Wood P\&R | 10 | -- |
| 262b | Alief-W.Wood Houst C | 30 | -- |
| 265a | W.Belfort P\&R | 12 | -- |
| 265b | W.Bellfort P\&R | 12 | -- |
| 273a | Gessner P\&R | 15 | -- |
| 283a | Kuykendahl/Uptown P\&R | 15 | -- |
| 284a | Kingwood/Uptown P\&R | 20 | -- |
| 285a | Kglsnd/Addi/Uptown | 15 | -- |
| 285b | Kglsnd/Addi/Uptown | 30 | -- |
| 286a | W.Little York-Uptown | 30 | -- |
| 286b | W.Little York-Uptown | 30 | -- |


| 291a | Kuyk/N.Sheph P\&R-TMC | 15 | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 292 a | W.Bellfort-TMC P\&R | 15 | -- |
| 297 a | S.Pt/Monron-TMC P\&R | 15 | -- |
| 298 a | Addicks-TMC P\&R | 10 | -- |
| 320 a | TMC Gold | 3 | 3 |
| 321 a | TMC Blue | 5 | 5 |
| 323 a | TMC Green | 4 | 4 |
| 451 a | Trolley Route A | 10 | 10 |
| 452 a | Trolley Route B | 10 | 10 |
| 453 a | Trolley Route C | 7 | 7 |
| 454 a | Trolley Route D | 12 | 12 |
| 455 a | Trolley Route E | 12 | 15 |
| 601 a | Sawdust-CBD | 10 | -- |
| 601 b | Sawdust-GRWY | 10 | -- |
| 601 c | Sawdust-TMC | 10 | -- |

Source: H-GAC

Table 3.5
Peak Transit Travel Time Functions

| TTF | Type | Operation | Location | Type I | Type II | Type III |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Assumed Speed | Auto-toTransit Time Factor | Free-flow Transit Speed | Minimum Transit Speed |
| 10 | III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Stop } \end{aligned}$ | Inside CBD |  |  | 9 | 5 |
| 11 | II |  | Transit Mall |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| 12 | III |  | Inside 610 Loop |  |  | 18 | 10 |
| 13 | III |  | Outside 610 Loop |  |  | 20 | 12 |
| 20 | III | Limited Stop | Inside CBD |  |  | 10 | 6 |
| 21 | II |  | Transit Mall |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| 22 | III |  | Inside 610 Loop |  |  | 22 | 13 |
| 23 | III |  | Outside 610 Loop |  |  | 30 | 14 |
| 30 | III | Non Stop | Inside CBD |  |  | 12 | 7 |
| 31 | II |  | Transit Mall |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| 32 | III |  | Inside 610 Loop |  |  | 40 | n/a |
| 33 | III |  | Outside 610 Loop |  |  | 45 | n/a |
| 8 | 1 |  | Transit Ramp | 12 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  | Transitway | 53 |  |  |  |

[^0]
### 3.3.4 Transit Path Building

Path building between each pair of zones relies upon the coded representation of the transit network as outlined above and a set of "weights" used to value each time component of the trip-walking, waiting, in-vehicle, and transferring. To the greatest extent possible, these weights should be reasonably similar to the "weight" derived from the mode choice model relationships.

The set of path building weights below was the final set of values used in the 1990 validated model (all times are in minutes):

- Boarding time: 1.0
- Boarding time weight (drive access): 1.0
- Boarding time weight (walk access): 10.0
- Waiting time factor: 0.5
- Waiting time weight: 2.0
- Auxiliary transit time weight: 1.5


### 3.3.5 Transit Modes

In emme/2 all network links contain a single letter identifier for each mode allowed to traverse the link. Auxiliary transit modes are defined as walk and auto access modes; these modes represent access to, from, and between transit lines and constitute a portion of a transit trip. The following transit modes were used:

- b: local bus
- c: commuter bus
- $x$ : express bus
- $r$ : rail

The auxiliary transit modes are:

- d: walk access to transit
- e: walk egress to transit
- t: transfer between transit lines
- p : auto access to transit (park-and-ride lots)
- k: auto access to transit (kiss-and-ride lots)
- q : auto access to transit (informal park-and-ride lots)
- w: sidewalk


### 4.0 Travel Forecasting Procedures

### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the underlying theory and basis for the structure, formulation, and application of each model component. Also described is the series of steps that were followed to enhance and implement the revised regional mode choice model set, as well as the calibration and validation procedures performed to verify the accuracy and acceptability of the complete model set.

Two key sets of data are input to the model: 1. demographic, socioeconomic and landuse data, and 2. the multimodal transportation network data. In the first stage of the modeling process-trip generation--estimates are developed for fourteen trip purposes:

- Home-based Work person trips (HBW);
- Home-based Nonwork person trips to Retail (HBNW-RET)
- Home-based Nonwork person trips to ED1 (HBNW-ED1)
- Home-based Nonwork person trips to ED1 by School Bus (HBNW-SCHBUS)
- Home-based Nonwork person trips to Airport (HBNW-AIR)
- Home-based Nonwork person trips to Other (HBNW-OTHER)
- Non-home-based person trips - Workbased (NHB-WB);
- Non-home-based person trips - Non-workbased (NHB-NW);
- Taxi vehicle trips (TAXI);
- Truck vehicle trips (TRUCK);
- External-Local Auto trips (EXTL-AUTO);
- External-Local Truck trips (EXTL-AUTO);
- External-Through Auto trips (EXTHR-AUTO);
- External-Through Truck trips (EXTHR-TRUCK).

The Home-based Nonwork person trips to ED1 (HBNW-ED1) trip purpose excludes the person trip by school bus but includes those that use normal transit. The Home-based Nonwork person trips to ED1 by School Bus (HBNW-SCHBUS) is defined as a separate trip purpose in the model set. This was necessary since the mode choice model used in the model set assumes that the person trips by school bus have been removed from the data which is input to the mode choice step. As can be seen in the trip purpose definitions, the non-work person trip purposes are defined around the land use and the attraction end of the trip. Also, non-home-based trips have been separated into those that in which the production is the trip-makers place of employment (work-based) and those in which the production is not the trip makers place of employment (not work-based).

### 4.2 Trip Generation

Trip generation is performed with a trip production model and a trip attraction model for each trip purpose. These models use the zonal demographic data to estimate the overall magnitude of trip making, that is, the total number of trip ends (trip productions and trip attractions), for each of the 2,954 detailed traffic analysis zones. Trip estimates by purpose are also prepared for the 46 external stations.

### 4.2.1 Trip Production

The H-GAC trip household production models use cross-classification trip production rates developed from the H-GAC 1995 Household Travel Survey data. These rates were developed for a two-way cross classification model of household size by household income. Individual cell values in the two way cross classification table were derived by computing the average of the expanded household travel survey for each cell. In the model calibration process, some of the resulting rates are smoothed to removed sampling noise due to the small sample sizes being employed. The resulting production rates (i.e., the dependent variables) are the trips per household by purpose. Cross-classification models allows the nonlinearity of the model with respect to the independent variables. This is a standard practice approach for developing household trip production models.

The trip production model determines the relationship between trips generated per household and household income in combination with household size. Thus, trip production rates are stratified by household income and household size for each trip purpose and are presented in Tables 4.1-4.8.

Due to the high concentration of hotels, motels, and seasonal housing in the Galveston Island area, generation of non-resident trips is also performed.. Based on area specific monthly hotel/motel occupancy rates an average rate was applied against the number of units in the Galveston Island area to estimate occupied rooms; this estimate of rooms was multiplied by a NHB trip rate to determine the number of non-resident hotel/motel NHB trips. Likewise, an occupancy rate for seasonal housing factored by a NHB trip rate yielded seasonal housing nonresident NHB trips.

Table 4.1
Home-Based Work Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.406 | 1.033 | 1.846 | 1.993 | 1.993 |
| 2 | 1.049 | 1.442 | 2.247 | 2.455 | 2.455 |
| 3 | 1.079 | 1.842 | 2.247 | 2.453 | 2.434 |
| 4 | 1.243 | 1.843 | 2.256 | 2.453 | 2.434 |
| $5+$ | 1.243 | 1.987 | 2.624 | 2.624 | 2.707 |

[^1]Table 4.2
Home-Based Non-Work to Education-1 (K-12 $\left.{ }^{\text {th }}\right)$ Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.086 | 0.169 | 0.641 | 1.262 | 2.759 |
| 2 | 0.112 | 0.346 | 0.978 | 1.740 | 3.102 |
| 3 | 0.119 | 0.268 | 1.075 | 2.436 | 3.411 |
| 4 | 0.048 | 0.193 | 1.171 | 2.714 | 3.801 |
| $5+$ | 0.101 | 0.141 | 1.441 | 3.211 | 4.497 |

Source: H-GAC
Table 4.3
Home-Based Non-Work to Educational-1 (K-12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) by School Bus Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.478 | 0.712 | 1.017 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.478 | 0.712 | 1.092 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.339 | 0.609 | 1.128 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.201 | 0.609 | 1.164 |
| $5+$ | 0.000 | 0.031 | 0.225 | 0.631 | 1.142 |

Source: H-GAC
Table 4.4
Home-Based Non-Work to Retail Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.743 | 1.347 | 1.684 | 1.725 | 2.239 |
| 2 | 0.877 | 1.553 | 1.684 | 2.094 | 2.892 |
| 3 | 0.877 | 1.553 | 1.691 | 2.318 | 3.021 |
| 4 | 0.824 | 1.516 | 1.691 | 2.684 | 3.251 |
| $5+$ | 0.824 | 1.516 | 2.120 | 2.923 | 4.144 |

Source: H-GAC
Table 4.5
Home-Based Non-Work to Airport Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.014 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.039 | 0.051 |
| 2 | 0.009 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.041 | 0.059 |
| 3 | 0.011 | 0.021 | 0.031 | 0.043 | 0.057 |
| 4 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.027 | 0.046 | 0.055 |
| $5+$ | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.027 | 0.048 | 0.057 |

Source: H-GAC

Table 4.6
Home-Based Non-Work Other Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.744 | 1.402 | 1.779 | 2.122 | 2.798 |
| 2 | 0.499 | 1.174 | 1.779 | 2.212 | 3.186 |
| 3 | 0.574 | 1.159 | 1.690 | 2.322 | 3.120 |
| 4 | 0.580 | 1.005 | 1.453 | 2.507 | 3.016 |
| $5+$ | 0.997 | 1.170 | 1.453 | 2.622 | 3.107 |

Source: H-GAC
Table 4.7
Non-Home-Based Work-Based Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.303 | 0.384 | 0.486 | 0.587 | 0.587 |
| 2 | 0.567 | 0.815 | 0.906 | 0.920 | 0.920 |
| 3 | 0.939 | 1.056 | 1.209 | 1.377 | 1.377 |
| 4 | 1.141 | 1.296 | 1.511 | 1.569 | 1.569 |
| $5+$ | 1.263 | 1.385 | 1.515 | 1.840 | 1.840 |

Source: H-GAC
Table 4.8
Non-Home Based Other Person Trip Rates

| Household <br> Size | Quintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.725 | 1.057 | 1.247 | 1.574 | 2.017 |
| 2 | 1.034 | 1.157 | 1.415 | 1.827 | 2.787 |
| 3 | 1.071 | 1.283 | 1.686 | 2.356 | 3.183 |
| 4 | 1.157 | 1.399 | 1.915 | 3.038 | 3.579 |
| $5+$ | 1.242 | 1.399 | 1.773 | 2.889 | 3.420 |

Source: H-GAC

### 4.2.2 Trip Attraction

Trip attraction rates have been developed based on the 1995 H-GAC workplace survey, the 1995 H-GAC Commercial Vehicle Survey and the 1995 External Station Survey. The attractions rates are stratified by area type and employment category. The rates also include a stratification for households so as to allow for the estimation of trip attractions to households. Additionally, productions for non-home-based work-based trips are estimated based on area type and employment. Table 4.9 through 4.19 present the track-1 trip attraction rates. Attraction rates are not presented for the HBNW-Airport trip purpose as attractions were estimated as part of the special generator trip attraction estimation process.

Table 4.9
Home-Based Work Person Trip Attraction Rates

| Area Type | Household s | Retail | Office | Industria | Govt. | Medical | ED-1 | ED-2A | ED-2B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0655 | 0.7442 | 1.3589 | 1.2726 | 1.3923 | 1.3481 | 1.2187 | 1.2673 | 0.8151 |
| 2 | 0.0709 | 0.7765 | 1.5314 | 1.3481 | 1.3864 | 1.3589 | 1.2187 | 1.2626 | 0.8121 |
| 3 | 0.0989 | 0.9334 | 1.5314 | 1.3481 | 1.3747 | 1.3481 | 1.2079 | 1.3489 | 0.8676 |
| 4 | 0.1116 | 0.8951 | 1.5314 | 1.3697 | 1.3747 | 1.6501 | 1.4236 | 1.3489 | 0.8676 |
| 5 | 0.1117 | 1.0902 | 1.7148 | 1.5167 | 1.6017 | 1.9521 | 1.6294 | 1.6665 | 1.0718 |

Table 4.10
Home Based Non-Work to Education-1 Person Trip Attraction Rates (Grades 12 and under)

HBNW-ED1 Zonal Attractions $=8.8986$ (Zonal Education-1 employment)

Table 4.11
Home Based Non-Work to Education-1 on School Bus Person Trip Attraction Rates (Grades 12 and under)

HBNW-ED1 SB Zonal Attractions = 2.68 (Zonal Education-1 employment)

Table 4.12
Home-Based Non-Work to Retail Person Trip Attraction Rates

| Area Type | Retail |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2.1555 |
| 2 | 3.2956 |
| 3 | 5.9876 |
| 4 | 8.191 |
| 5 | 10.2891 |

Table 4.13
Home Based Non-Work Other Person Trip Attraction Rates

|  |  | Industria |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area Type | Households | Retail | Office | I |  | Govt. | Medical | Enroll A | Enroll B |
| 1 | 0.5171 | 0 | 0.3657 | 0.1483 | 1.2554 | 1.7793 | 0.744 | 0.4785 |  |
| 2 | 0.6037 | 0 | 0.4646 | 0.1384 | 1.3048 | 1.8188 | 0.744 | 0.4785 |  |
| 3 | 0.8332 | 0 | 0.7315 | 0.1384 | 1.4185 | 1.9869 | 0.744 | 0.4785 |  |
| 4 | 0.9651 | 0 | 0.9885 | 0.1384 | 1.5322 | 2.1451 | 0.744 | 0.4785 |  |
| 5 | 1.0642 | 0 | 1.1763 | 0.1384 | 1.6854 | 2.2933 | 0.744 | 0.4785 |  |

Table 4.14
Non Home-Based Work-Based Person Trip Attraction Rates

| Area Type | Household         <br> s Retail Office Industria Govt. Medical ED-1 ED-2A ED-2B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 0.0534 | 0.2922 | 1.0688 | 0.4258 | 0.334 | 0.5928 | 0.6429 | 0.6847 | 0.4404 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.1013 | 0.2922 | 1.0521 | 0.4425 | 0.4342 | 0.6346 | 0.6429 | 0.6847 | 0.4404 |
| 3 | 0.1491 | 1.002 | 0.7932 | 0.1002 | 0.5344 | 0.5845 | 0.6492 | 0.9936 | 0.6391 |
| 4 | 0.1478 | 1.1272 | 0.7431 | 0.0835 | 0.5511 | 0.5761 | 0.6555 | 1.0354 | 0.6659 |
| 5 | 0.1465 | 1.169 | 0.3006 | 0.0751 | 0.496 | 0.4897 | 0.6555 | 1.0437 | 0.6713 |

Table 4.15
Non Home-Based Other Person Trip Attraction Rates

|  |  | Industria |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area Type | Households | Retail | Office | I | Govt. | Medical | ED-1 | ED-2A | ED-2B |
| 1 | 0.2123 | 1.4264 | 0.1783 | 0.1426 | 0.7608 | 0.7132 | 1.6998 | 1.4145 | 0.9098 |
| 2 | 0.216 | 1.4959 | 0.2659 | 0.1219 | 0.7313 | 0.6538 | 1.6289 | 1.374 | 0.8837 |
| 3 | 0.3343 | 2.8512 | 0.4424 | 0.1229 | 0.8111 | 0.7374 | 1.9295 | 1.5362 | 0.988 |
| 4 | 0.3344 | 3.6265 | 0.4533 | 0.1133 | 0.957 | 0.7177 | 2.0399 | 1.5866 | 1.0204 |
| 5 | 0.3344 | 3.1442 | 0.3917 | 0.0783 | 0.8268 | 0.5483 | 1.7625 | 1.3708 | 0.8816 |

Table 4.16
Truck Vehicle Trip Attraction Rates

| Area Type | Household s | Retail | Office | Industria I | Govt. | Medical | ED-1 | ED-2A | ED-2B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1081 | 0.2155 | 0.1648 | 0.2282 | 0.0887 | 0.0507 | 0.2789 | 0.1141 | 0.0734 |
| 2 | 0.1179 | 0.2155 | 0.1648 | 0.2409 | 0.0887 | 0.038 | 0.3043 | 0.1141 | 0.0734 |
| 3 | 0.1646 | 0.2155 | 0.2789 | 0.2916 | 0.0887 | 0.038 | 0.3043 | 0.1268 | 0.0815 |
| 4 | 0.186 | 0.2282 | 0.393 | 0.355 | 0.0887 | 0.0254 | 0.3043 | 0.1268 | 0.0815 |
| 5 | 0.186 | 0.2409 | 0.393 | 0.4184 | 0.0887 | 0.0254 | 0.3043 | 0.1268 | 0.0815 |

Table 4.17
Taxi Vehicle Trip Attraction Rates

| Area Typ | Household <br> s | Retail | Office | Industria <br> I | Govt. | Medical | ED-1 | ED-2A | ED-2B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0063 | 0.0342 | 0.0063 | 0.0038 | 0.0342 | 0.0228 | 0.0228 | 0.0038 | 0.0024 |
| 2 | 0.0063 | 0.0342 | 0.0063 | 0.0038 | 0.0342 | 0.0228 | 0.0228 | 0.0038 | 0.0024 |
| 3 | 0.0063 | 0.0342 | 0.0063 | 0.0038 | 0.0342 | 0.0228 | 0.0228 | 0.0038 | 0.0024 |
| 4 | 0.0048 | 0.0257 | 0.0048 | 0.0029 | 0.0257 | 0.0171 | 0.0171 | 0.0029 | 0.0018 |
| 5 | 0.0032 | 0.0171 | 0.0032 | 0.0019 | 0.0171 | 0.0114 | 0.0114 | 0.0019 | 0.0012 |

Table 4.18
External-Local Auto Vehicle Trip Attraction Rates

|  | Household |  |  | Industria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area Type | s 0.0139 | $\frac{\text { Retail }}{0.0948}$ | Office 0.0692 | I 0.0324 | Govt. | Medical | ED-1 0.1333 | ED-2A | ED-2B |
| 2 | 0.0154 | 0.0985 | 0.0731 | 0.0316 | 0.0621 | 0.0687 | 0.1266 | 0.1151 | 0.074 |
| 3 | 0.0236 | 0.1909 | 0.0769 | 0.0236 | 0.0701 | 0.0723 | 0.1449 | 0.1342 | 0.0863 |
| 4 | 0.0239 | 0.2355 | 0.0786 | 0.024 | 0.0784 | 0.0741 | 0.1507 | 0.1381 | 0.0888 |
| 5 | 0.0235 | 0.209 | 0.0607 | 0.0199 | 0.0682 | 0.0616 | 0.132 | 0.1227 | 0.0789 |

Table 4.19
External-Local Truck Vehicle Trip Attraction Rates

| Area Type | Household Retail Office Industria Govt. Medical ED-1 ED-2A ED-2B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | s | l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.00732 | 0.0146 | 0.01116 | 0.01545 | 0.00601 | 0.00343 | 0.01889 | 0.00773 | 0.00497 |
| 2 | 0.00798 | 0.0146 | 0.01116 | 0.01631 | 0.00601 | 0.00258 | 0.02061 | 0.00773 | 0.00497 |
| 3 | 0.01114 | 0.0146 | 0.01889 | 0.01975 | 0.00601 | 0.00258 | 0.02061 | 0.00859 | 0.00552 |
| 4 | 0.0126 | 0.01545 | 0.02662 | 0.02404 | 0.00601 | 0.00172 | 0.02061 | 0.00859 | 0.00552 |
| 5 | 0.0126 | 0.01631 | 0.02662 | 0.02833 | 0.00601 | 0.00172 | 0.02061 | 0.00859 | 0.00552 |

### 4.2.3 Trip Generation Results

Table 4.20 summarizes the trip generation estimates by trip purpose.
Table 4.20
Regional Trip Estimates by Purpose

| Purpose | 2002 Trips | Proportion of <br> Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Home-Based Work Person <br> Trips | $3,097,514$ | 17.0 |
| Home-Based Non-Work <br> Educational-1 | $2,144,192$ | 11.8 |
| Home-Based Non-Work <br> Educational-School Bus | 616,667 | 3.4 |
| Home-Based Non-Work <br> Retail | $3,230,065$ | 17.7 |
| Home-Based Non-Work <br> Airport | 53,752 | 0.3 |
| Home-Based Non-Work <br> Other | $2,917,352$ | 16.0 |
| Non-Home-Based Work- <br> Based | $1,908,548$ | 10.5 |
| Non-Home-Based Other | $3,107,703$ | 17.1 |
| Truck | 792,383 | 4.4 |
| Taxi | 252,041 | 0.2 |
| External-Local Auto | 43,814 | 1.4 |
| External-Local Truck | 0.2 |  |

Source: H-GAC Model Application Results

### 4.3 Trip Distribution

The trip distribution models are applied at the detailed TAZ level. These models link or connect trip ends estimated in the trip generation model, determining trip interchanges between each pair of zones. In addition to estimates of the magnitude of activity in each TAZ, the models consider the effects of impedance and accessibility on destination choice. The trip distribution models receive direct feedback from trip assignment, a lower model component.

### 4.3.1 Person Trip Table Development

The Disaggregate Trip Distribution Model, or Atomistic Model, is used for trip distribution modeling in the Houston-Galveston TMA. This model is used to produce 13 trip tables for the HBW, HBNW-ED1, HBNW-ED1-BUS, HBNW-RETAIL, HBNW-OTHER, NHB-Work-Based, NHB-Other, Truck, Taxi, Extl-Auto, Extl-Truck purposes. A modified version of the Atomistic model is used to produce the various external-local vehicle trip tables. Attractions for the external-local trip purposes as well as the origins and destinations for the external-through purposes are based upon patterns derived from 1995 H-GAC External survey and grown to match year 2002 traffic volumes at the external stations. The underlying assumption in the Atomistic model is that trips occur between small parcels of land (atoms) rather than the defined zone structure; thus by dividing existing zones into atoms a more realistic interchange of intrazonal trips and short (less than five minutes) trips among adjacent zones is defined. In application, a gravity model analogy determines the number of trip interchanges between atoms and subsequently sums the trips to derive both intrazonal trips and zonal interchange volumes. The basic atomistic model formulation is:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{v}=1}^{\mathrm{Mi}_{\mathrm{i}}} \sum_{\mathrm{q}=1}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{iv}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{iq}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{dvq}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{s}_{i j}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{x}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}} \sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{ax}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{dmm}} \mathrm{~K}_{s_{i x}}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

where:

| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\quad$ trips produce in zone I and attracted to zone j |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Piv}_{\mathrm{iv}}=$ | trips produced by atom v of zone I |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ | total trips produce in zone I such that: |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~m}}$ |
| a=$\mathrm{A}=$ | relative attraction factor atom $q$ of zone $j$ |
|  | relative attraction factor for zone j such that: |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{j}}=\sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j} m}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}=$ | relative trip length factor for estimated separation between atom pair vq |
| $\mathrm{K}=$ | bias factor for sector pair containing zones I and j |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ | number of zones |
| $\mathrm{My}=$ | number of atoms in zone $y$ |

In addition to the zonal trip productions and attractions produced in the trip generation process, the trip distribution model requires the zone-to-zone travel times for the estimated minimum time paths on the highway network with 24 -hour speeds. The model also requires:

- estimated zonal radii values
- a set of F-factors defining trip length frequency distributions by purpose
- any necessary bias factors (K-factors) by trip purpose

Since the Atomistic Model uses a gravity model analogy that considers travel opportunities within a zone to be spatially distributed rather than concentrated at a single theoretical point (the zone centroid), the spatial dimension of zones is represented by 400 atoms with zonal productions and attractions uniformly distributed among all 400 atoms. The model requires that the distance from the center of a zone to the perimeter be defined in minutes - a zonal radii value. These radii values in conjunction with skimmed travel times determine the spatial distribution of atom pairs for all zonal pairs.

The F-factors used in the 2002 validation were the same set that were developed as part of the 1995 calibration of the Track-1 model set. No changes to F-factors were made as part of the 2002 validation. The calibrated F-factors by purpose are shown in Table 4.21 and 4.22.

K-factors historically, have been used to improve model performance in addressing two natural barriers within the Houston-Galveston TMA: the Houston Ship Channel and the separation between Galveston Island and the mainland. These physical barrier K-factors are included in the 1990 model for both work and non-work trip purposes.
Distinct socio-economic and land use characteristics that require introduction of K-factors are the under-representation of both HBW attractions to the Houston CBD and intra-county HBW trips for the surrounding seven counties. In addition to the CBD, three other major activity centers, (Greenway area, Galleria-Post Oak, and Texas Medical Center) also required Kfactors. In the current 1990 model, the original 1985 model K-factors have been retained except in Brazoria County. Additional K-factors refinements were subsequently made for Brazoria County in conjunction with a county roadway planning effort.

Table 4.21
Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose

| Time (minutes) | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HBW | HBNWED1 | HBNWED1 BUS | HBNWRETAIL | HBNWAIRPORT | HBNWOTHER |
| 1 | 176.5904 | 232.8975 | 238.1769 | 382.5660 | 16.3328 | 340.2945 |
| 2 | 168.9431 | 227.6921 | 227.8394 | 310.9271 | 14.7153 | 273.9615 |
| 3 | 144.2355 | 183.9028 | 182.7045 | 210.1103 | 13.8283 | 199.3738 |
| 4 | 125.3720 | 135.6949 | 135.0980 | 141.7443 | 13.0526 | 139.9891 |
| 5 | 100.0000 | 100.2968 | 100.2740 | 100.0000 | 12.0302 | 100.0000 |
| 6 | 83.1710 | 77.6543 | 78.1398 | 73.9970 | 10.8453 | 75.7743 |
| 7 | 74.0616 | 61.2288 | 61.9420 | 56.2216 | 9.6233 | 59.7854 |
| 8 | 63.7096 | 48.0930 | 49.0673 | 43.0114 | 8.6517 | 47.7548 |
| 9 | 55.9424 | 38.5362 | 39.6956 | 33.8165 | 7.9107 | 39.0107 |
| 10 | 48.8709 | 30.8416 | 32.0028 | 26.7066 | 7.3140 | 31.7736 |
| 11 | 42.7125 | 24.4937 | 25.6053 | 20.9834 | 6.6357 | 26.0139 |
| 12 | 37.6481 | 19.6206 | 20.5655 | 16.6469 | 5.9567 | 21.3998 |
| 13 | 33.4305 | 15.8829 | 16.6935 | 13.3173 | 5.2822 | 17.7919 |
| 14 | 30.0528 | 13.0380 | 13.7712 | 10.6900 | 4.6961 | 14.8856 |
| 15 | 27.1232 | 10.6969 | 11.3488 | 8.6139 | 4.1806 | 12.5012 |
| 16 | 24.5648 | 8.7293 | 9.3010 | 6.9688 | 3.7351 | 10.5449 |
| 17 | 22.3843 | 7.0437 | 7.5642 | 5.6294 | 3.3404 | 8.9579 |
| 18 | 20.3234 | 5.7307 | 6.2158 | 4.5782 | 2.9318 | 7.6389 |
| 19 | 18.5033 | 4.7702 | 5.2349 | 3.7760 | 2.5210 | 6.5694 |
| 20 | 17.0602 | 4.0057 | 4.4633 | 3.1346 | 2.2390 | 5.7358 |
| 21 | 15.8807 | 3.3462 | 3.7614 | 2.6041 | 2.0117 | 4.9797 |
| 22 | 14.6209 | 2.7885 | 3.1536 | 2.1581 | 1.7982 | 4.3425 |
| 23 | 13.5619 | 2.3181 | 2.6521 | 1.7966 | 1.5904 | 3.8209 |
| 24 | 12.5997 | 1.9212 | 2.2230 | 1.5097 | 1.4104 | 3.3742 |
| 25 | 11.7905 | 1.5212 | 1.8688 | 1.2643 | 1.2530 | 2.9807 |
| 26 | 10.9231 | 1.1978 | 1.5517 | 1.0623 | 1.1211 | 2.6378 |
| 27 | 9.9135 | 0.9347 | 1.1743 | 0.8954 | 1.0185 | 2.3523 |
| 28 | 8.9905 | 0.7296 | 0.8902 | 0.7571 | 0.9152 | 2.1094 |
| 29 | 8.1988 | 0.5682 | 0.6720 | 0.6432 | 0.8076 | 1.8829 |
| 30 | 7.5501 | 0.4424 | 0.4945 | 0.5440 | 0.6761 | 1.6699 |
| 31 | 7.0097 | 0.3470 | 0.3688 | 0.4572 | 0.5700 | 1.4802 |
| 32 | 6.4918 | 0.2690 | 0.2699 | 0.3669 | 0.5021 | 1.3103 |
| 33 | 6.0534 | 0.1974 | 0.1904 | 0.2967 | 0.4385 | 1.1053 |
| 34 | 5.7258 | 0.1410 | 0.1263 | 0.2410 | 0.3875 | 0.9441 |
| 35 | 5.4131 | 0.1096 | 0.0900 | 0.1959 | 0.3344 | 0.8051 |
| 36 | 4.9775 | 0.0867 | 0.0640 | 0.1587 | 0.3083 | 0.6815 |
| 37 | 4.5864 | 0.0642 | 0.0412 | 0.1293 | 0.2849 | 0.5765 |
| 38 | 4.2542 | 0.0430 | 0.0202 | 0.1053 | 0.2568 | 0.4950 |
| 39 | 3.9744 | 0.0326 | 0.0120 | 0.0856 | 0.2183 | 0.4249 |
| 40 | 3.7327 | 0.0267 | 0.0074 | 0.0707 | 0.1894 | 0.3634 |

Table 4.21
Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose (continued)

| Time(minutes) | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HBW | HBNWED1 | HBNWED1 BUS | HBNWRETAIL | HBNWAIRPORT | HBNWOTHER |
| 41 | 3.4630 | 0.0222 | 0.0033 | 0.0579 | 0.1658 | 0.3126 |
| 42 | 3.1896 | 0.0173 | 0.0000 | 0.0472 | 0.1504 | 0.2697 |
| 43 | 2.9580 | 0.0137 | 0.0000 | 0.0380 | 0.1414 | 0.2321 |
| 44 | 2.7457 | 0.0099 | 0.0000 | 0.0312 | 0.1292 | 0.1991 |
| 45 | 2.5881 | 0.0074 | 0.0000 | 0.0256 | 0.1189 | 0.1703 |
| 46 | 2.4580 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 | 0.0209 | 0.1094 | 0.1457 |
| 47 | 2.4000 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 | 0.0168 | 0.1008 | 0.1234 |
| 48 | 2.2946 | 0.0034 | 0.0000 | 0.0134 | 0.0930 | 0.1036 |
| 49 | 2.1238 | 0.0023 | 0.0000 | 0.0107 | 0.0858 | 0.0883 |
| 50 | 1.8849 | 0.0012 | 0.0000 | 0.0085 | 0.0792 | 0.0758 |
| 51 | 1.5703 | 0.0010 | 0.0000 | 0.0067 | 0.0732 | 0.0650 |
| 52 | 1.3558 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 | 0.0055 | 0.0677 | 0.0553 |
| 53 | 1.2962 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 | 0.0044 | 0.0626 | 0.0462 |
| 54 | 1.2619 | 0.0004 | 0.0000 | 0.0034 | 0.0580 | 0.0387 |
| 55 | 1.2829 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0026 | 0.0537 | 0.0319 |
| 56 | 1.2054 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0022 | 0.0498 | 0.0261 |
| 57 | 1.1343 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0016 | 0.0462 | 0.0214 |
| 58 | 1.0728 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0012 | 0.0429 | 0.0183 |
| 59 | 1.0157 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0009 | 0.0399 | 0.0163 |
| 60 | 0.9491 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0007 | 0.0371 | 0.0135 |
| 61 | 0.9101 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 | 0.0345 | 0.0109 |
| 62 | 0.8508 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0004 | 0.0322 | 0.0089 |
| 63 | 0.7907 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0004 | 0.0300 | 0.0073 |
| 64 | 0.7257 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0280 | 0.0060 |
| 65 | 0.6800 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0261 | 0.0048 |
| 66 | 0.6120 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0244 | 0.0037 |
| 67 | 0.5866 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0228 | 0.0028 |
| 68 | 0.5591 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0213 | 0.0022 |
| 69 | 0.5341 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0199 | 0.0016 |
| 70 | 0.5047 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0187 | 0.0012 |
| 71 | 0.4882 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0175 | 0.0009 |
| 72 | 0.4653 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0164 | 0.0006 |
| 73 | 0.4506 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0154 | 0.0003 |
| 74 | 0.4321 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0145 | 0.0000 |
| 75 | 0.4072 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0136 | 0.0000 |
| 76 | 0.3864 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0128 | 0.0000 |
| 77 | 0.3707 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0121 | 0.0000 |
| 78 | 0.3535 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0114 | 0.0000 |
| 79 | 0.3404 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0107 | 0.0000 |
| 80 | 0.3404 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0101 | 0.0000 |

Table 4.21

Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose (continued)

| Time (minutes) | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HBW | HBNWED1 | HBNWED1 BUS | HBNWRETAIL | HBNWAIRPORT | HBNWOTHER |
| 81 | 0.3374 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0095 | 0.0000 |
| 82 | 0.3224 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0090 | 0.0000 |
| 83 | 0.3208 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0085 | 0.0000 |
| 84 | 0.3033 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0081 | 0.0000 |
| 85 | 0.2909 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0076 | 0.0000 |
| 86 | 0.2630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0072 | 0.0000 |
| 87 | 0.2398 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0069 | 0.0000 |
| 88 | 0.2141 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 |
| 89 | 0.2042 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 |
| 90 | 0.1802 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 |
| 91 | 0.1696 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
| 92 | 0.1693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 93 | 0.1850 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 94 | 0.1843 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 95 | 0.1836 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 96 | 0.2052 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 97 | 0.2301 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 98 | 0.2481 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 | 0.0000 |
| 99 | 0.2407 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0038 | 0.0000 |
| 100 | 0.2367 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0036 | 0.0000 |
| 101 | 0.2042 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0035 | 0.0000 |
| 102 | 0.1799 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0033 | 0.0000 |
| 103 | 0.1410 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0032 | 0.0000 |
| 104 | 0.1211 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0031 | 0.0000 |
| 105 | 0.1028 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0030 | 0.0000 |
| 106 | 0.1138 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0028 | 0.0000 |
| 107 | 0.0951 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0027 | 0.0000 |
| 108 | 0.0862 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0026 | 0.0000 |
| 109 | 0.0844 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0025 | 0.0000 |
| 110 | 0.0785 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0024 | 0.0000 |
| 111 | 0.0634 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 112 | 0.0610 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 113 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 114 | 0.0675 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 115 | 0.0597 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 116 | 0.0539 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 117 | 0.0462 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 118 | 0.0348 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 119 | 0.0332 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 120 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

Table 4.21

Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose
(continued)

| Time | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | (minutes) | HBW | HBNW- <br> ED1 | HBNW- <br> ED1 BUS | HBNW- <br> RETAIL | HBNW- <br> AIRPORT |
| 121 | 0.1018 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| HBNW- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 | 0.1317 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 123 | 0.1400 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 124 | 0.1713 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 125 | 0.1334 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 126 | 0.1039 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 127 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 128 | 0.0528 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 129 | 0.0128 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 130 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 131 | 0.0288 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 132 | 0.0428 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 133 | 0.0345 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 134 | 0.0276 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 135 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 136 | 0.0186 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 137 | 0.0109 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 138 | 0.0164 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 139 | 0.0203 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 140 | 0.0429 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 141 | 0.0217 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 142 | 0.0205 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 143 | 0.0157 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 144 | 0.0088 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 4.22

Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose

| Time (minutes) | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NHB WorkBased | NHB Non-Work-Based | TRUCK | TAXI | EXTL- <br> AUTO | EXTL- <br> TRUCK |
| 1 | 288.5180 | 284.8357 | 405.3027 | 413.6032 | 16.3328 | 16.3678 |
| 2 | 246.7716 | 250.5586 | 304.4406 | 312.5369 | 14.7153 | 14.7503 |
| 3 | 191.8620 | 183.1125 | 209.0045 | 212.5358 | 13.8283 | 13.8633 |
| 4 | 137.2975 | 134.9805 | 140.3472 | 145.1420 | 13.0526 | 13.0876 |
| 5 | 100.1150 | 100.1073 | 100.0451 | 101.6013 | 12.0302 | 12.0652 |
| 6 | 76.3926 | 76.7303 | 76.7624 | 76.1739 | 10.8453 | 10.8803 |
| 7 | 59.8790 | 60.3820 | 61.7441 | 59.1944 | 9.6233 | 9.6583 |
| 8 | 46.3062 | 47.6235 | 49.8102 | 46.2512 | 8.6517 | 8.6867 |
| 9 | 37.0137 | 38.1965 | 40.9965 | 36.1293 | 7.9107 | 7.9457 |
| 10 | 31.4812 | 30.8489 | 34.6751 | 29.1460 | 7.3140 | 7.3490 |
| 11 | 26.1231 | 24.8957 | 29.4165 | 24.0599 | 6.6357 | 6.6707 |
| 12 | 21.4075 | 20.3019 | 25.0952 | 19.3293 | 5.9567 | 5.9917 |
| 13 | 18.1651 | 16.8614 | 21.7133 | 16.1277 | 5.2822 | 5.3172 |
| 14 | 16.1508 | 13.9904 | 19.0454 | 13.8370 | 4.6961 | 4.7311 |
| 15 | 14.0690 | 11.6187 | 16.7764 | 11.8933 | 4.1806 | 4.2156 |
| 16 | 12.2997 | 9.7191 | 14.9710 | 10.2757 | 3.7351 | 3.7701 |
| 17 | 10.7461 | 8.1229 | 13.2079 | 8.1010 | 3.3404 | 3.3754 |
| 18 | 9.3300 | 6.7909 | 11.8109 | 7.0461 | 2.9318 | 2.9668 |
| 19 | 8.0556 | 5.7503 | 10.6279 | 6.0608 | 2.5210 | 2.5560 |
| 20 | 6.9513 | 4.8872 | 9.6145 | 5.2713 | 2.2390 | 2.2740 |
| 21 | 6.2511 | 4.2050 | 8.8614 | 4.8372 | 2.0117 | 2.0467 |
| 22 | 5.6411 | 3.6289 | 8.2923 | 4.2042 | 1.7982 | 1.8332 |
| 23 | 5.0673 | 3.1409 | 7.6647 | 3.7348 | 1.5904 | 1.6254 |
| 24 | 4.5441 | 2.7233 | 7.0556 | 3.2598 | 1.4104 | 1.4454 |
| 25 | 4.0163 | 2.3458 | 6.4794 | 2.8861 | 1.2530 | 1.2880 |
| 26 | 3.5432 | 2.0149 | 5.9892 | 2.5824 | 1.1211 | 1.1561 |
| 27 | 3.1394 | 1.7347 | 5.5225 | 2.3589 | 1.0185 | 1.0535 |
| 28 | 2.7920 | 1.4997 | 5.1165 | 2.0457 | 0.9152 | 0.9502 |
| 29 | 2.4669 | 1.2945 | 4.7719 | 1.8111 | 0.8076 | 0.8426 |
| 30 | 2.2035 | 1.1162 | 4.4519 | 1.6294 | 0.6761 | 0.7111 |
| 31 | 1.9920 | 0.9658 | 4.1434 | 1.5081 | 0.5700 | 0.6050 |
| 32 | 1.7866 | 0.8349 | 3.8408 | 1.3568 | 0.5021 | 0.5371 |
| 33 | 1.5949 | 0.6921 | 3.5722 | 1.2239 | 0.4385 | 0.4735 |
| 34 | 1.4443 | 0.5811 | 3.3407 | 1.0556 | 0.3875 | 0.4225 |
| 35 | 1.3261 | 0.4897 | 3.1690 | 1.0019 | 0.3344 | 0.3694 |
| 36 | 1.2082 | 0.4082 | 3.0165 | 0.9483 | 0.3083 | 0.3433 |
| 37 | 1.0926 | 0.3345 | 2.8477 | 0.8781 | 0.2849 | 0.3199 |
| 38 | 0.9883 | 0.2780 | 2.6610 | 0.7471 | 0.2568 | 0.2918 |
| 39 | 0.8552 | 0.2329 | 2.5119 | 0.6196 | 0.2183 | 0.2533 |
| 40 | 0.7372 | 0.1932 | 2.3514 | 0.5022 | 0.1894 | 0.2244 |

## Table 4.22 <br> Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose (continued)

| Time (minutes) | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NHB <br> Work- <br> Based | NHB Non-WorkBased | TRUCK | TAXI | EXTL- <br> AUTO | EXTL- <br> TRUCK |
| 41 | 0.6380 | 0.1612 | 2.2003 | 0.3998 | 0.1658 | 0.2008 |
| 42 | 0.5521 | 0.1344 | 2.0916 | 0.3326 | 0.1504 | 0.1854 |
| 43 | 0.4790 | 0.1119 | 2.0069 | 0.3107 | 0.1414 | 0.1764 |
| 44 | 0.4131 | 0.0936 | 1.9038 | 0.2959 | 0.1292 | 0.1642 |
| 45 | 0.3576 | 0.0772 | 1.7957 | 0.2810 | 0.1189 | 0.1539 |
| 46 | 0.3167 | 0.0634 | 1.7137 | 0.2611 | 0.1094 | 0.1444 |
| 47 | 0.2781 | 0.0530 | 1.6353 | 0.2427 | 0.1008 | 0.1358 |
| 48 | 0.2357 | 0.0440 | 1.5456 | 0.2245 | 0.0930 | 0.1280 |
| 49 | 0.1987 | 0.0358 | 1.4519 | 0.1771 | 0.0858 | 0.1208 |
| 50 | 0.1707 | 0.0288 | 1.3738 | 0.1542 | 0.0792 | 0.1142 |
| 51 | 0.1493 | 0.0236 | 1.3031 | 0.1259 | 0.0732 | 0.1082 |
| 52 | 0.1296 | 0.0192 | 1.2325 | 0.1032 | 0.0677 | 0.1027 |
| 53 | 0.1132 | 0.0152 | 1.1629 | 0.0892 | 0.0626 | 0.0976 |
| 54 | 0.0964 | 0.0121 | 1.1067 | 0.0648 | 0.0580 | 0.0930 |
| 55 | 0.0804 | 0.0099 | 1.0707 | 0.0628 | 0.0537 | 0.0887 |
| 56 | 0.0658 | 0.0078 | 0.9885 | 0.0554 | 0.0498 | 0.0848 |
| 57 | 0.0553 | 0.0063 | 0.9075 | 0.0520 | 0.0462 | 0.0812 |
| 58 | 0.0475 | 0.0049 | 0.8328 | 0.0516 | 0.0429 | 0.0779 |
| 59 | 0.0412 | 0.0039 | 0.7696 | 0.0453 | 0.0399 | 0.0749 |
| 60 | 0.0341 | 0.0032 | 0.7030 | 0.0373 | 0.0371 | 0.0721 |
| 61 | 0.0281 | 0.0023 | 0.6417 | 0.0247 | 0.0345 | 0.0695 |
| 62 | 0.0231 | 0.0017 | 0.5919 | 0.0143 | 0.0322 | 0.0672 |
| 63 | 0.0176 | 0.0011 | 0.5356 | 0.0087 | 0.0300 | 0.0650 |
| 64 | 0.0141 | 0.0008 | 0.4847 | 0.0077 | 0.0280 | 0.0630 |
| 65 | 0.0113 | 0.0004 | 0.4486 | 0.0059 | 0.0261 | 0.0611 |
| 66 | 0.0084 | 0.0002 | 0.4253 | 0.0041 | 0.0244 | 0.0594 |
| 67 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 | 0.4042 | 0.0032 | 0.0228 | 0.0578 |
| 68 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.3686 | 0.0018 | 0.0213 | 0.0563 |
| 69 | 0.0038 | 0.0000 | 0.3313 | 0.0013 | 0.0199 | 0.0549 |
| 70 | 0.0029 | 0.0000 | 0.2962 | 0.0008 | 0.0187 | 0.0537 |
| 71 | 0.0019 | 0.0000 | 0.2748 | 0.0004 | 0.0175 | 0.0525 |
| 72 | 0.0008 | 0.0000 | 0.2586 | 0.0001 | 0.0164 | 0.0514 |
| 73 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2444 | 0.0000 | 0.0154 | 0.0504 |
| 74 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2233 | 0.0000 | 0.0145 | 0.0495 |
| 75 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2066 | 0.0000 | 0.0136 | 0.0486 |
| 76 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1949 | 0.0000 | 0.0128 | 0.0478 |
| 77 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1764 | 0.0000 | 0.0121 | 0.0471 |
| 78 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1571 | 0.0000 | 0.0114 | 0.0464 |
| 79 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1428 | 0.0000 | 0.0107 | 0.0457 |
| 80 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1350 | 0.0000 | 0.0101 | 0.0451 |

## Table 4.22

Calibrated F-Factors by Trip Purpose (continued)

| Time (minutes) | Friction Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NHB <br> Work- <br> Based | NHB Non-WorkBased | TRUCK | TAXI | EXTLAUTO | EXTL- <br> TRUCK |
| 81 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1268 | 0.0000 | 0.0095 | 0.0445 |
| 82 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1240 | 0.0000 | 0.0090 | 0.0440 |
| 83 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1204 | 0.0000 | 0.0085 | 0.0435 |
| 84 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1086 | 0.0000 | 0.0081 | 0.0431 |
| 85 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0929 | 0.0000 | 0.0076 | 0.0426 |
| 86 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0823 | 0.0000 | 0.0072 | 0.0422 |
| 87 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0765 | 0.0000 | 0.0069 | 0.0419 |
| 88 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0701 | 0.0000 | 0.0065 | 0.0415 |
| 89 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0636 | 0.0000 | 0.0062 | 0.0412 |
| 90 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0589 | 0.0000 | 0.0059 | 0.0409 |
| 91 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0555 | 0.0000 | 0.0056 | 0.0406 |
| 92 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0485 | 0.0000 | 0.0053 | 0.0403 |
| 93 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0412 | 0.0000 | 0.0050 | 0.0400 |
| 94 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0344 | 0.0000 | 0.0048 | 0.0398 |
| 95 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0286 | 0.0000 | 0.0046 | 0.0396 |
| 96 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0284 | 0.0000 | 0.0044 | 0.0394 |
| 97 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 0.0042 | 0.0392 |
| 98 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0182 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 | 0.0390 |
| 99 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0103 | 0.0000 | 0.0038 | 0.0388 |
| 100 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 | 0.0036 | 0.0386 |
| 101 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0029 | 0.0000 | 0.0035 | 0.0385 |
| 102 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0033 | 0.0383 |
| 103 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0032 | 0.0382 |
| 104 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0031 | 0.0381 |
| 105 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0030 | 0.0380 |
| 106 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0028 | 0.0378 |
| 107 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0027 | 0.0377 |
| 108 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0026 | 0.0376 |
| 109 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0025 | 0.0375 |
| 110 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0024 | 0.0374 |
| 111 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0374 |
| 112 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0373 |
| 113 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0373 |
| 114 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0373 |
| 115 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0372 |
| 116 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0372 |
| 117 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0371 |
| 118 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0371 |
| 119 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0371 |
| 120 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0370 |

Table 4.23

## Average Modeled Trip Length by Purpose

| Purpose | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ <br> Average Trip Length |
| :--- | :---: |
| Home-Based Work | 21.49 |
| HBNW to Education-1 | 8.61 |
| HBNW to ED1-Bus | 8.72 |
| HBNW to Retail | 10.72 |
| HBNW to Airport | 29.72 |
| HBNW to Other | 13.17 |
| NHB Work-Based | 13.02 |
| NHB Other | 11.08 |
| Truck | 18.78 |
| Taxi | 12.56 |
| External-Local Auto | 36.79 |
| External-Local Truck | 50.75 |

Source: H-GAC Model Application Results

### 4.4 Mode Choice

Mode Choice models are mathematical expressions used to estimate travel market modal shares given various competing mode's time and cost characteristics and the urban resident's demographic and socio-economic characteristics. Mode choice models predict traveler's decisions to choose a particular mode of travel and are designed to be an integral link in the travel demand chain, with possible direct feedback mechanisms to a number of related model components -- auto ownership, trip generation, and trip distribution

The Houston mode choice model was a nested logit model that addressed eight separate auto and seven different transit modes:

- Drive alone non-toll
- Drive alone toll
- Two person auto non-toll
- Two person auto toll
- Three person auto non-toll
- Three person auto toll
- Four-plus person auto non-toll
- Four-plus person auto toll
- Transit-walk access Local Bus
- Transit-walk access Commuter Bus
- Transit-walk access Express Bus
- Transit-walk access Urban Rail
- Transit-walk access Commuter Rail
- Transit-drive access Park-and-Ride
- Transit-drive access Kiss-and-Ride

Mode usage is calculated for five income levels and three individual trip purposes (Home-Based Work, Home-Based Non-Work, and Non-Home Based). The model was originally estimated based upon 1985 Home-Interview and On-Board Transit Rider Survey data and was calibrated through the mathematical adjustment of bias constants ${ }^{1}$ to replicate locally observed travel values. The model has been validated against 1990 and 1995 On-Board survey data.

In the case of public transit, the second level nest distinguishes between walk and drive access (as before), while the third level would now differentiates between local bus transit, express bus, commuter bus, and urban rail for walk access and park-and-ride and kiss-and-ride for drive access. Sufficient aggregate ridership data was available on a regional basis to calibrate a set of model bias constants for each of these sub-modes (except urban rail, which currently does not exist in the region in any form). The existing set of variable coefficients will be used for each of the respective transit submodes. The existing model differentiates (using Boolean coefficients) between the Houston downtown and the three remaining major activity centers. In the enhanced version, each of three major activity centers was individually separated.

The highway mode is sub-divided at the second level of the nest into shared ride and drive alone. Shared ride is further sub-divided into 2 -person and 3 -person vehicles, and 4+ person autos at the third level. This distinction is necessary as many ramp locations and lane configurations within the region may explicitly distinguish between occupancy levels. The single additional variable added at this level of the nest was an HOV time savings variable (as compared to drive-alone travel time) that was preset at 70 percent of in-vehicle time. The inclusion of this variable is based directly upon recommendations stemming from the Shirley Highway Corridor model estimation. ${ }^{2}$ Each of the individual highway sub-modes -- drive-alone, 2-person auto, and 3-person auto, and 4+ person auto -- now include a special path choice nest that differentiates between a toll and non-toll path. Other than a set of modal bias constants, two additional variables are a coefficient on toll cost (stratified by income group) and a coefficient on travel time savings.

As part of a 1995 validation of the mode choice model, it was noted that upon the conversion of the highway person trips by mode (drive alone, 2 person and $3+$ person trips) to highway vehicle trips by mode and assignment of those trip tables, regional VMT was less than expected. An analysis of estimated vehicle occupancy by time separation revealed that the trip tables resulting from the mode choice model predicting continually increasing vehicle occupancies by separation. This result was counter to survey observed vehicle occupancy data for separations longer than 30 minutes. This finding led to the modification of Home-Base NonWork and Non-Home-Based models is two ways.

First, the way in which auto operating costs were handled was modified. The models were modified to allow the user to specify as to whether auto-operating cost were shared among auto occupants or not. It was observed in survey data that most multi-person (2 or more persons in vehicle) home-based non-work and non-home-based trips are made by persons from the same household. In that sense, auto operating costs are not really a shared-cost as it might be in a shared ride work trip made by persons from two different households.

The second modification was to add an additional household size variable to the model. In this way, the model would be sensitive to the size of a household in determining the probability of a

[^2]multi-occupant trip. In the case of a 2-person household, the probability for a 3 or more occupant home-based non-work or non-home-based trip is much lower that for a 3 or more person household given that many of these trips are made by members of the same household.

Subsequent to the validation of the mode choice model to the year 1995, a commuter rail subnest was added to the transit walk-access portion of the model and the drive-access nest was modified to consider commuter rail as part of the park-and-ride and kiss-and-ride alternatives. This enhancement was made to develop forecasts of commuter rail demand in support of a commuter rail planning study.

A graphical depiction of the nested logit model structure for each trip purpose is displayed in Figure 4-2. Lower level nests are defined in the diagram for each of the primary modes - auto and public transit.

The complete set of coefficient values for the Home-Based Work nested logit model is shown in Table 4.24. The Home-Based Non-Work and Non-Home Based values are presented in Tables 4.25 and 4.26 respectively.

Figure 4-2
H-GAC Regional Mode Choice Model - Nested Logit Model Structure


Table 4.24
Coefficient Values for Home-Based Work Mode Choice Model

| Variable | Multinomial <br> Value | Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In-vehicle time | -0.02203 | All modes |
| 1 Wait less than 4.5 minutes | -0.05680 | Transit |
| 1 Wait over 4.5 minutes | -0.02203 | Transit |
| Walk | -0.05680 | Transit |
| Transfer time | -0.05680 | Transit |
| Number of transfers | -0.08810 | Transit |
| Transit fare (all) | -0.00614 | Transit |
| Drive to transit time | -0.05680 | Transit |
| Parking cost (all) | -0.01540 | Highway |
| Highway Operating Cost (all) | -0.00614 | Highway |
| Tolls (income group) | -0.00819 | Highway |
|  | -0.00717 |  |
|  | -0.00614 |  |
|  | -0.00512 |  |
| HOV/Toll Time Savings | -0.00410 | +0.01542 |
| Residential Density Indicator | +0.13947 | Highway |
| Nesting Coefficients |  | Transit (Walk) |
| Between transit and access | 0.75000 | Transit |
| Between access and path | 0.60000 | Transit |
| Between single and drive group | 0.75000 | Highway |
| Between group and 2/4+ | 0.60000 | Highway |
| Between 2/4+ and toll/free | 0.45000 | Highway |
| Between drive and toll/free | 0.45000 | Highway |

Table 4.25
Coefficient Values for Home-Based Non-Work Mode Choice Model

| Variable | Multinomial <br> Value | Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In-vehicle time | -0.01727 | All modes |
| 1st Wait time | -0.03454 | Transit |
| Walk | -0.02591 | Transit |
| Transfer time | -0.04318 | Transit |
| Transit fare (all) | -0.00592 | Transit |
| Drive to transit time | -0.02591 | Transit |
| Parking cost (all) | -0.01479 | Highway |
| Highway Operating Cost (all) | -0.00592 | Highway |
| Tolls (income group) | -0.01093 | Highway |
|  | -0.00957 |  |
|  | -0.00820 |  |
|  | -0.00683 |  |
| 2 Person <br> 3 Person | +0.00547 | +0.07427 |
| 4+ Person | +0.44870 |  |
| Hov/Toll Time savings | +0.75530 | Highway |
| Household Size | +0.07767 | Transit (Walk) |
| Residential Density Indicator |  |  |
| Nesting Coefficients | 0.75000 | Transit |
| Between transit and access | 0.60000 | Transit |
| Between access and path | 0.75000 | Highway |
| Between single and drive group | 0.60000 | Highway |
| Between group and 2/4+ | 0.45000 | Highway |
| Between 2/4+ and toll/free | 0.45000 | Highway |
| Between drive and toll/free |  |  |

Table 4.26
Coefficient Values for Non-Home Based Mode Choice Model

| Variable | Multinomial <br> Value | Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In-vehicle time | -0.02370 | All modes |
| 1st Wait time | -0.04740 | Transit |
| Walk | -0.03555 | Transit |
| Transfer time | -0.03593 | Transit |
| Transit fare (all) | -0.00562 | Transit |
| Drive to transit time | -0.03555 | Transit |
| Parking cost (all) | -0.01404 | Highway |
| Highway Operating Cost (all) | -0.00562 | Highway |
| Tolls (all) | -0.00562 | Highway |
| HOV/Toll time savings | +0.01660 | Highway |
| Nesting Coefficients | 0.75000 | Transit |
| Between transit and access | 0.60000 | Transit |
| Between access and path | 0.75000 | Highway |
| Between single and drive group | 0.60000 | Highway |
| Between group and 2/4+ | 0.45000 | Highway |
| Between 2/4+ and toll/free | 0.45000 | Highway |
| Between drive and toll/free |  |  |

### 4.4.4 Calibration of Modal Bias Constants

A key element in the overall mode choice model development process is to insure that the resulting models are able to accurately simulate travel behavior characteristics and patterns within the Houston region.

It is essential that the mode choice model set be able to estimate observed modal trips within a reasonable degree of accuracy. The models were applied at the aggregate (zone) level and the mode specific constants were adjusted to match observed 1995 control values. Applying the models at the aggregate level utilizes the full set of network based travel times and costs, zonal level socio-economic and other related data (i.e., parking costs) and the input trip distribution model person trip tables. In this manner, the models are applied as they would be in forecasting future year trips. Tables 4.27-4.29 summarize the final set of bias constant values for each trip purpose.

Table 4.27
Modal Bias Constants - Home Based Work Mode Choice Model

|  | Income Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Drive Alone - Toll | 4.002 | 3.277 | 2.512 | 2.203 | 1.705 |
| 2 Person - Toll | 5.190 | 4.057 | 3.146 | 2.337 | 1.910 |
| 3 Person - Toll | 5.664 | 4.723 | 4.116 | 4.053 | 3.829 |
| 4+ Person - Toll | 6.353 | 5.454 | 4.925 | 4.776 | 4.466 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Person Auto | -2.243 | -2.329 | -2.660 | -2.758 | -3.149 |
| 4+ Person Auto | -3.103 | -3.347 | -3.938 | -4.193 | -4.450 |
| Shared Ride | -1.937 | -2.072 | -2.265 | -2.466 | -2.786 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto | 0.352 | 0.813 | 1.502 | 2.292 | 2.497 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Bus | 0.513 | -0.228 | -0.998 | -2.362 | -5.163 |
| Commuter Bus | -2.687 | -4.192 | -2.809 | -3.732 | -3.175 |
| Express Bus | -1.676 | -2.362 | -2.121 | -2.543 | -3.980 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park-and-Ride | -2.332 | -1.404 | -0.458 | -0.103 | -0.207 |
| Drive Access | -2.334 | -2.019 | --1.258 | -0.955 | --1.099 |

Table 4.28
Modal Bias Constants - Home Based Non Work Mode Choice Model

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Income Level |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drive Alone - Toll | 2.466 | 3.223 | 3.717 | 4.234 | 5.257 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Person - Toll | 1.029 | 1.646 | 2.109 | 2.319 | 2.873 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Person - Toll | 1.873 | 2.619 | 3.008 | 3.440 | 4.221 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4+ Person - Toll | 2.179 | 2.972 | 3.452 | 3.908 | 4.700 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Person Auto | -2.908 | -2.989 | -2.989 | -3.073 | -3.188 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4+ Person Auto | -5.149 | -5.120 | -5.120 | -5.177 | -5.280 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Ride | -0.845 | -0.914 | -0.914 | -0.953 | -0.991 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto | 1.578 | 2.429 | 3.055 | 4.214 | 5.918 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -2.699 | 0.291 | -2.141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commuter Bus | -2.341 | -1.175 | -1.100 | -1.632 | 2.355 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Express Bus | -1.159 |  |  | 0.102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.122 | -0.383 | 1.647 | 0.692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park-and-Ride | -3.417 | -4.089 | -4.089 | -3.165 | 1.566 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drive Access |  |  |  | -2.873 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.29
Modal Bias Constants - Non Home Based Mode Choice Model

| Constant | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Drive Alone - Toll | 5.056 |
| 2 Person - Toll | 1.761 |
| 3 Person - Toll | 2.475 |
| 4+ Person - Toll | 1.822 |
|  | -1.246 |
| 3 Person Auto | -1.519 |
| 4+ Person Auto | -1.649 |
| Shared Ride |  |
|  | 2.477 |
| Auto |  |
|  | -1.296 |
| Commuter Bus | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Express Bus |  |
|  | 1.807 |
| Park-and-Ride | -3.813 |
| Drive Access |  |

### 4.5 Commercial Vehicles

In the Track-1 models, commercial vehicle trips include truck and taxi trips. Trips for each of these purposes are separately estimated. Truck and taxi vehicle trips were estimated based on trip attraction rates developed from the 1995 H-GAC Commercial Vehicle survey and trip productions are scaled to match trip attractions. These trips are maintained as a separate class of trip in the auto assignment. Highway travel times represent the purpose impedance.

### 4.6 External Travel

External trips are categorized into two general categories: external local (external-internal travel) and external through (external-external travel). Within these categories, truck and auto trips have been separated, resulting in four different trip purposes: external-local auto, external-local truck, external-through auto and external-through truck. External-local auto and truck productions are estimated based on the year 2002 counted volume at the external station and the shares of external-local auto and truck as estimated from the H-GAC 1995 External-station survey. External-local attractions are estimated based upon the household survey. Externallocal attractions are scaled to match external-local productions.

The trip distribution model employs the gravity model form in conjunction with a specified trip length frequency curve. External through trip matrices are derived by frataring the 1995 External Survey based external-through trip tables to match estimated year 2002 externalthrough trip ends. The year 2002 estimated external through trip ends are based upon the external-through trip share as estimated at each station based upon the 1995 External Survey.

### 4.7 Trip Assignment

### 4.7.1 Highway Trip Assignment Methodology

Using the mode choice model, person trips classified by trip purpose are separated into automobile and transit trips and auto person trips are converted to vehicle trips based on vehicle occupancy factors. These vehicle trip tables are summed and converted to origindestination format and assigned to the appropriate highway network (base year or forecast year). This is a 24 -hour capacity restraint assignment performed at the TAZ level. Multiple iterations of the capacity restraint model precede computation of the final assignment results. The model adjusts link impedance between iterations, based on each link's assigned V/C ratio. The weighted average of the assigned volumes from the preceding iterations is used to calculate the V/C ratio. The impedance adjustment function used in this model is based on the FHWA impedance adjustment function. This function assumes impedance is based on a "zerovolume" link speed. However, since traditional coding of Texas highway networks used a 24hour speed rather than a zero-volume speed, a modified version of the FHWA impedance adjustment function was developed, which is represented by the following formula:

$$
I_{n+1}=\left(0.92+0.15(v / c)^{4}\right) \times I_{0}
$$

```
Where: \(I_{0}=\) initial impedance using 24-hour input speed
    \(I_{n+1}=\) link impedance for iteration \(n+1\)
    \(v=\) weighted average link volume from iterations 1 to n
    c \(=\) link capacity
```

The constraint is applied to limit the magnitude of the impedance adjustment, the maximum of which varies by iteration. After the initial assignment, the maximum impedance factor is two (essentially reducing the 24 -hour speed by one-half) and is increased by one for each of the subsequent iterations. The final assignment results are computed following the six iterations, using a weighted average of the link volumes from those iterations. The iteration weights specified for the 1990 base year assignment are determined by an equilibrium capacity restraint process, where each trip is assigned the path with the shortest travel time until equilibrium is achieved.

### 4.7.2 Comparison to 2002 Counted Volumes

In the 1995 network, there are 20,603 highway links (one-way links) excluding centroid connectors. Of the 20,603 , there are 18,535 with count based volume estimates. To demonstrate the validity of the models, comparison of the assigned versus counted VMT is normally summarized to demonstrate the capabilities of the models in matching estimated 1995 base year conditions. As may be noted, nearly half of the freeway and tollway links have counted volume estimates. Over $90 \%$ of the arterial and collector links have counted volume estimates.

Table 4.30 summarizes the total assigned VMT on all 20,603 links by 5 roadway types. The assigned VMT on the 18,535 links with counted volumes are also summarized by roadway type. The assigned VMT as a percentage of the counted VMT was computed and is summarized for each of the roadway types. As may be observed, the assigned VMT on freeways, principal arterials and minor arterials are within $3 \%$ of the counted VMT estimates. Tollways and collectors VMT are within approximately $9 \%$ of the counted VMT estimate.

Table 4.31 summarizes the total assigned VMT on all 20,603 links by 5 area types. The assigned VMT on the 18,535 links with counted volumes are also summarized by area type. The assigned VMT as a percentage of the counted VMT was computed and is summarized for each of the area types. While the CBD Assigned VMT is approximately $85 \%$ of counted VMT, this is not unusual for CBD's and is considered within acceptable limits. The assigned and counted VMT in the other area types compare very favorably.

Table 4.32 summarizes the total assigned VMT on all 20,603 links by the 8 counties in the region. The assigned VMT on the 18,535 links with counted volumes are also summarized by county. The assigned VMT as a percentage of the counted VMT was computed and is summarized for each of the counties. The assigned VMT in seven of the eight counties are within $10 \%$ of the counted VMT. Brazoria County's VMT is $86 \%$ of the counted which was considered acceptable.

Overall the comparisons of the assigned and counted VMT were considered acceptable and reasonably demonstrate that the models reasonably replace the observed conditions for 1995.

Table 4.30
2002 VMT by Roadway Type

| Roadway <br> Type | Number <br> of links | Total Assigned <br> VMT <br> (all links) | Number of <br> Links With <br> Counts | Assigned VMT <br> on Links <br> With Counts | Assigned VMT as <br> Percent of <br> Counted VMT |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Freeway | 2,460 | $47,423,655$ |  | 1,534 | $32,691,025$ |

Table 4.31
2002 VMT by Area Type

| Area Type | Numbe r of links | Total Assigned VMT (all links) | Number of Links With Counts | Assigned VMT on Links <br> With Counts | Assigned VMT as Percent of Counted VMT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBD | 799 | 611,934 | 117 | 126,267 | 90.7\% |
| Urban | 4,741 | 19,045,953 | 2,377 | 11,201,853 | 101.9\% |
| Urban Fringe | 10,688 | 52,963,475 | 6,081 | 35,237,639 | 101.6\% |
| Suburban | 6,772 | 28,238,038 | 3,420 | 16,824,054 | 100.2\% |
| Rural | 4,556 | 17,177,778 | 2,321 | 9,336,885 | 109.0\% |
| All Areas | 27,556 | 118,037,178 | 14,316 | 72,726,699 | 102.2\% |

Table 4.32
1995 VMT by County

| County | Number of links | Total Assigned VMT (all links) | Number of Links With Counts | Assigned VMT on Links With Counts | Assigned VMT as Percent of Counted VMT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazoria | 2,109 | 5,154,406 | 921 | 2,324,604 | 99.4\% |
| Chambers | 482 | 2,310,946 | 247 | 1,325,260 | 101.2\% |
| Fort Bend | 1,731 | 6,944,606 | 973 | 4,053,165 | 101.0\% |
| Galveston | 1,996 | 4,337,961 | 850 | 2,305,781 | 88.5\% |
| Harris | 18,449 | 87,614,181 | 9,811 | 55,701,124 | 102.4\% |
| Liberty | 606 | 1,961,019 | 310 | 1,023,427 | 97.8\% |
| Montgomer |  |  |  |  |  |
| y | 1,661 | 8,069,604 | 914 | 4,866,533 | 103.8\% |
| Waller | 522 | 1,644,455 | 290 | 1,126,805 | 98.7\% |
| All Counties | 27,556 | 118,037,178 | 14,316 | 72,726,699 | 102.2\% |

### 5.0 HPMS VMT ADJUSTMENT

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

H-GAC has validated its travel models to the year 2002. As part of the validation, there is a need to re-calculate the factor by which travel model VMT is made to be consistent with VMT estimated by the FHWA Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS). The H-GAC Regional Travel Models have been validated to observed vehicle miles of travel (VMT) that are estimated based on roughly 14,000 traffic counts. The estimates and forecasts of vehicle miles of travel produced by the model set are used directly in all transportation planning applications conducted by H-GAC and its transportation planning partners. For purposes of air quality conformity analysis of RTPs and TIPs and the development of State Implementations Plans, $\mathrm{H}-$ GAC, through consultation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), U.S. DOT and EPA has chosen to reconcile its Base Year (2002) model estimated regional VMT against regional 2002 VMT estimated by HPMS. The factor needed to reconcile model estimated VMT to HPMS estimated VMT is used for all air quality conformity analysis and development of SIPs.

### 5.2 COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED VMT

In order to compare Base Year 2002 estimated regional VMT to HPMS estimated 2002 VMT, an estimate of total model estimated regional VMT is calculated. Model assigned regional network VMT is combined with assigned regional centroid connector VMT and an estimate of travel within each zone (intrazonal VMT). Because the reconciliation is made for estimated nonsummer weekday VMT, both VMT estimates (model and HPMS) are made to represent nonsummer weekday VMT. The model VMT is produced in its original form as non-summer weekday VMT, as shown. HPMS VMT represent average annual daily travel (AADT) and is adjusted to represent average non-summer weekday travel, based on an adjusted factor developed using TxDOT permanent traffic recorder data.

Model estimated average non-summer weekday travel (ANSWT)
$=($ Model network VMT) + (Model Centroid Connector VMT) + (Model Intrazonal VMT)
$=(117,334,840)+(11,443,779)+(747,712)$
= 129,526,331
HPMS estimated average non-summer weekday travel (ANSWT)
$=($ HPMS AADT $) *\left(\right.$ AADT to Non-Summer Weekday Travel Adjustment Factor ${ }^{\text {A }}$ )
$=(122,832,328) *(1.0558338)$
$=129,690,524$
A - taken from 2002, 2009 and 2012 Emission Inventory Document, TTI, August 2005

### 5.3 CALCULATION OF HPMS ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

The factor used to reconcile model estimated regional VMT to HPMS estimated regional VMT is calculated by dividing the HPMS estimated average non-summer weekday VMT as follows:

HPMS Adjustment Factor
$=(H P M S$ estimated ANSWT) / (Model estimated ANSWT)
$=(129,690,524) /(129,526,331)$
= 1.001267641

### 5.4 APPLICATION OF HPMS ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

The HPMS adjustment factor is applied to the model estimated time-of-day VMT prior to the estimation of time-of-day speed. In this way, the time-of-day speeds used in the estimation of emissions are based upon HPMS adjusted VMT.


[^0]:    Source: 1990 Houston Long-Range Patronage Forecasting Model Validation

[^1]:    Source: H-GAC

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bias Constants are computed by mode, trip purpose, and income level.
    ${ }^{2}$ "Review of the Shirley Highway Corridor Mode Choice Analysis", COMSIS Corporation, October, 1990

